

UNITEDHEALTHCARE® COMMUNITY PLAN: RADIOLOGY IMAGING COVERAGE DETERMINATION GUIDELINE

Adult Spine Imaging Guidelines (For Ohio Only)

V1.0.2025

Guideline Number: CSRAD014OH.D

Effective Date: November 1, 2025

Application (for Ohio Only)

This Medical Policy only applies to the state of Ohio. Any requests for services that are stated as unproven or services for which there is a coverage or quantity limit will be evaluated for medical necessity using Ohio Administrative Code 5160-1-01.

Adult Spine Imaging Guidelines (For Ohio Only): CSRAD014OH.D UnitedHealthcare Community Plan Coverage Determination Guideline

Table of Contents

Guideline

Related Community Plan Policies

Application (For Ohio Only)

Guideline Development (Preface-1)

Benefits, Coverage Policies, and Eligibility Issues (Preface-2)

Clinical Information (Preface-3)

Coding Issues (Preface-4)

Whole-Body Imaging (Preface-5)

References (Preface-6)

Copyright Information (Preface-7)

Trademarks (Preface-8)

General Guidelines (SP-1)

Imaging Techniques (SP-2)

Neck (Cervical Spine) Pain Without/With Neurological Features (Including Stenosis) and Trauma (SP-3)

Upper Back (Thoracic Spine) Pain Without/With Neurological Features (Including Stenosis) and Trauma (SP-4)

Low Back (Lumbar Spine) Pain/Coccydynia without Neurological Features (SP-5)

Lower Extremity Pain with Neurological Features (Radiculopathy, Radiculitis, or Plexopathy and Neuropathy) With or Without Low Back (Lumbar Spine) Pain (SP-6)

Myelopathy (SP-7)

Lumbar Spine Spondylolysis/Spondylolisthesis (SP-8)

Lumbar Spinal Stenosis (SP-9)

Sacro-Iliac (SI) Joint Pain, Inflammatory Spondylitis/Sacroiliitis and Fibromyalgia (SP-10)

Spinal Compression Fractures (SP-11)

Spinal Pain related to Cancer (SP-12)

Spinal Canal/Cord Disorders (e.g. Syringomyelia) (SP-13)

Spinal Deformities (e.g. Scoliosis/Kyphosis) (SP-14)

Post-Operative Spinal Disorders (SP-15)

Other Imaging Studies and Procedures Related to the Spine Imaging Guidelines (SP-16)

Nuclear Medicine (SP-17)

Policy History and Instructions for Use

V1.0.2025

Related Community Plan Policies

$\overline{}$				
Gu	IC	е	lır	ıe

Related Community Plan Policies

Page 3 of 166

Effective: November 1, 2025

Proprietary Information of United Healthcare. Copyright © 2025 United Healthcare Services, Inc.

V1.0.2025

Related Community Plan Policies

Related Community Plan Policies

v1.0.2025

General Policies

- · General Musculoskeletal Imaging Guidelines
- General Head Imaging Guidelines

Pediatric Policies

Pediatric Spine Imaging Guidelines

Page 4 of 166

V1.0.2025

Page 5 of 166

Application (For Ohio Only)

$\overline{}$				
Gι	JId	le	line	,

Application (For Ohio Only)

Proprietary Information of United Healthcare. Copyright © 2025 United Healthcare Services, Inc.

V1.0.2025

Application (For Ohio Only)

Application for Ohio OH UHC

v1.0.2025

• This Medical Policy only applies to the state of Ohio. Any requests for services that are stated as unproven or services for which there is a coverage or quantity limit will be evaluated for medical necessity using Ohio Administrative Code 5160-1-01.

V1.0.2025

Guideline Development (Preface-1)

$\overline{}$				
Gu	IC	е	lır	ıe

Guideline Development (Preface-1.1)

Page 7 of 166

Effective: November 1, 2025

Proprietary Information of United Healthcare. Copyright © 2025 United Healthcare Services, Inc.

Guideline Development (Preface-1.1)

PRF.GG.0001.1.UOH

v1.0.2025

- These evidence-based, proprietary clinical guidelines evaluate a range of advanced imaging and procedures, including NM, US, CT, MRI, PET, Radiation Oncology, Sleep Studies, as well as Cardiac, musculoskeletal and Spine interventions.
- UnitedHealthcare reserves the right to change and update the guidelines. The
 guidelines undergo a formal review annually. These clinical guidelines are based
 on current evidence supported by major national and international association and
 society guidelines and criteria, peer-reviewed literature, major treatises as well as,
 input from health plans, and practicing academic and community-based physicians.
- These guidelines are not intended to supersede or replace sound medical judgment, but instead, should facilitate the identification of the most appropriate imaging or other designated procedure given the individual's clinical condition. These guidelines are written to cover medical conditions as experienced by the majority of individuals. However, these guidelines may not be applicable in certain clinical circumstances, and physician judgment can override the guidelines.
- These guidelines provide evidence-based, clinical benefits with a focus on health care quality and patient safety.
- Clinical decisions, including treatment decisions, are the responsibility of the individual and his/her provider. Clinicians are expected to use independent medical judgment, which takes into account the clinical circumstances to determine individual management decisions.
- UnitedHealthcare supports the Choosing Wisely initiative (https://www.choosingwisely.org/) by the American Board of Internal Medicine (ABIM) Foundation and many national physician organizations, to reduce the overuse of diagnostic tests that are low value, no value, or whose risks are greater than the benefits.

V1.0.2025

Benefits, Coverage Policies, and Eligibility Issues (Preface-2)

Guideline

Benefits, Coverage Policies, and Eligibility Issues (Preface-2.1) References (Preface-2)

Effective: November 1, 2025 Page 9 of 166

Proprietary Information of United Healthcare. Copyright © 2025 United Healthcare Services, Inc.

Benefits, Coverage Policies, and **Eligibility Issues (Preface-2.1)**

PRF.BC.0002.1.UOH

v1.0.2025

Investigational and Experimental Studies

- Certain studies, treatments, procedures, or devices may be considered experimental, investigational, or unproven for any condition, illness, disease, injury being treated if one of the following is present:
 - if there is a paucity of supporting evidence;
 - if the evidence has not matured to exhibit improved health parameters;
 - if clinical utility has not been demonstrated in any condition; OR
 - · if the study, treatment, procedure, or device lacks a collective opinion of support
- Supporting evidence includes standards that are based on credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature (such as well conducted randomized clinical trials or cohort studies with a sample size of sufficient statistical power) generally recognized by the relevant medical community. Collective opinion of support includes physician specialty society recommendations and the views of physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas when physician specialty society recommendations are not available.

Clinical and Research Trials

- Similar to investigational and experimental studies, clinical trial imaging requests will be considered to determine whether they meet these evidence-based clinical guidelines.
- Imaging studies which are inconsistent with established clinical standards, or are requested for data collection and not used in direct clinical management are not supported.1

Legislative Mandate

 State and federal legislations may need to be considered in the review of advanced imaging requests.

V1.0.2025

References (Preface-2)

v1.0.2025

1. Coverage of Clinical Trials under the Patient Protection and Affordable Care Act; 42 U.S.C.A. § 300gg-8

V1.0.2025

Clinical Information (Preface-3)

Guideline

Clinical Information (Preface-3.1) References (Preface-3)

Clinical Information (Preface-3.1)

PRF.CL.0003.1.UOH

v1.0.2025

Clinical Documentation and Age Considerations

- These clinical guidelines use an evidence-based approach to determine the most appropriate procedure for each individual, at the most appropriate time in the diagnostic and treatment cycle. These clinical guidelines are framed by:
 - clinical presentation of the individual, rather than the studies requested
 - adequate clinical information that must be submitted to UnitedHealthcare in order to establish medical necessity for advanced imaging or other designated procedures includes, but is not limited to, the following:
 - Pertinent clinical evaluation should include a recent detailed history, physical examination²⁰ since the onset or change in symptoms, and/or laboratory and prior imaging studies.
 - Condition-specific guideline sections may describe additional clinical information which is required for a pertinent clinical evaluation.
 - The Spine and Musculoskeletal guidelines require x-ray studies from when the current episode of symptoms has started or changed.
 - Advanced imaging or other designated procedures should not be ordered prior to clinical evaluation of an individual by the physician treating the individual. This may include referral to a consultant specialist who will make further treatment decisions.
 - Other meaningful technological contact (telehealth visit, telephone or video call, electronic mail or messaging) since the onset or change in symptoms by an established individual can serve as a pertinent clinical evaluation.
 - Some conditions may require a face-to-face evaluation as discussed in the applicable condition-specific guideline sections.
 - A recent clinical evaluation may be unnecessary if the individual is undergoing a guideline-supported, scheduled follow-up imaging or other designated procedural evaluation. Exceptions due to routine surveillance indications are addressed in the applicable condition-specific guideline sections.
 - the evidence-based approach to determine the most appropriate procedure for each individual requires submission of medical records pertinent to the requested imaging or other designated procedures.
- Many conditions affecting the pediatric population are different diagnoses than those
 occurring in the adult population. For those diseases which occur in both pediatric
 and adult populations, minor differences may exist in management due to individual

age, comorbidities, and differences in disease natural history between children and adults.

• Individuals who are 18 years old or younger¹⁹ should be imaged according to the Pediatric Imaging Guidelines if discussed in the condition-specific guideline sections. Any conditions not specifically discussed in the Pediatric Imaging Guidelines should be imaged according to the General Imaging Guidelines. Individuals who are >18 years old should be imaged according to the General Imaging Guidelines, except where directed otherwise by a specific guideline section.

General Imaging Information

- "Standard" or "conventional" imaging is most often performed in the initial and subsequent evaluations of malignancy. Standard or conventional imaging includes plain film, CT, MRI, or US.
 - Often, further advanced imaging is needed when initial imaging, such as ultrasound, CT, or MRI does not answer the clinical question. Uncertain, indeterminate, inconclusive, or equivocal may describe these situations.
- Appropriate use of contrast is a very important component of evidence-based advanced imaging use.
 - The appropriate levels of contrast for an examination (i.e., without contrast, with contrast, without and with contrast) is determined by the evidence-based guidance reflected in the condition-specific guideline sections.
 - If, during the performance of a non-contrast imaging study, there is the unexpected need to use contrast in order to evaluate a possible abnormality, then that is appropriate.¹

Ultrasound

- Diagnostic ultrasound uses high-frequency sound waves to evaluate soft tissue structures and vascular structures utilizing grey scale and Doppler techniques.
- Ultrasound allows for dynamic real-time imaging at the bedside.
 - Ultrasound is limited in areas where there is dense bone or other calcification.
 - Ultrasound also has a relatively limited imaging window so may be of limited value in evaluating very large abnormalities.
 - In general, ultrasound is highly operator-dependent, and proper training and experience are required to perform consistent, high-quality evaluations.

- Indications for ultrasound may include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - Obstetric and gynecologic imaging
 - Soft tissue and visceral imaging of the chest, abdomen, pelvis, and extremities
 - Brain and spine imaging when not obscured by dense bony structures
 - Vascular imaging when not obscured by dense bony structures
 - Procedural guidance when not obscured by dense bony structures
 - Initial evaluation of ill-defined soft tissue masses or fullness and differentiating adenopathy from mass or cyst. Prior to advanced imaging, ultrasound can be very beneficial in selecting the proper modality, body area, image sequences, and contrast level that will provide the most definitive information for the individual.
- More specific guidance for ultrasound usage, including exceptions to this general guidance, can be found throughout the condition-specific guidelines.

Computed Tomography (CT)

- The AMA CPT® manual does not describe nor assign any minimum or maximum number of sequences for any CT study. CT imaging protocols are often influenced by the individual's clinical situation and additional sequences are not uncommon. There are numerous CT protocols that may be performed to evaluate specific clinical questions, and this technology is constantly undergoing development.
- CT utilizes ionizing radiation to create cross-sectional and volumetric images of the body.
 - Advantages over ultrasound include a much larger field of view and faster completion time in general. Disadvantages compared to ultrasound include lack of portability and exposure to ionizing radiation.
 - Advantages over MRI include faster imaging and a more spacious scanner area limiting claustrophobia. Disadvantages compared to MRI include decreased soft tissue definition, especially with non-contrast imaging, and exposure to ionizing radiation.
- CT can be performed without, with, or without and with intravenous (IV) contrast depending on the clinical indication and body area.
 - In general, non-contrast imaging is appropriate for evaluating structures with significant tissue density differences such as lung parenchyma and bony structures, or when there is a contraindication to contrast.
 - In general, CT with contrast is the most common level of contrast and can be used when there is need for improved vascular or soft tissue resolution, including better characterization of known or suspected malignancy, as well as infectious and inflammatory conditions.

- CT without and with contrast has a limited role as the risks of doubling the ionizing radiation exposure rarely outweigh the benefits of multiphasic imaging, though there are some exceptions which include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - Characterization of a mass
 - Characterization of arterial and venous anatomy
 - CT with contrast may be used to better characterize findings on a very recent (within two weeks) inconclusive non-contrast CT where the guidelines would support CT without and with contrast.
- More specific guidance for CT contrast usage, including exceptions to this general guidance, can be found throughout the condition-specific guidelines.
- · Shellfish allergy:
 - It is commonly assumed that an allergy to shellfish indicates iodine allergy, and that this implies an allergy to iodinated contrast media used with CT. However, this is NOT true. Shellfish allergy is due to tropomyosins. Iodine plays no role in these allergic reactions. Allergies to shellfish do not increase the risk of reaction to iodinated contrast media any more than that of other allergens.¹
- Enteric contrast (oral or rectal) is sometimes used in abdominal imaging. There is no specific CPT® code which refers to enteric contrast.
- The appropriate contrast level and anatomic region in CT imaging is specific to the clinical indication, as listed in the condition-specific guideline sections.
- CT should not be used to replace MRI in an attempt to avoid sedation unless it is listed as a recommended study in the appropriate condition-specific guideline.
- There are significant potential adverse effects associated with the use of iodinated contrast media. These include hypersensitivity reactions, thyroid dysfunction, and contrast-induced nephropathy (CIN). Individuals with impaired renal function are at increased risk for CIN.²
- Both contrast CT and MRI may be considered to have the same risk profile with renal failure (GFR <30 mL/min).
- The use of CT contrast should proceed with caution in pregnant and breastfeeding individuals. There is a theoretical risk of contrast toxicity to the fetal and infant thyroid. The procedure can be performed if the specific need for that contrast-enhanced procedure outweighs risk to the fetus. Breastfeeding individuals may reduce this risk by choosing to pump and discard breast milk for 12-24 hours after the contrast injection.
- CT without contrast may be appropriate if clinical criteria for CT with contrast are met AND the individual has/is:
 - elevated blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and/or creatinine
 - renal insufficiency
 - allergies to iodinated contrast

- thyroid disease which could be treated with I-131
- diabetes
- very elderly
- urgent or emergent settings due to availability
- trauma
- CT is superior to other imaging modalities in certain conditions including, but not limited to, the following:
 - Screening following trauma
 - Imaging pulmonary disease
 - Imaging abdominal and pelvic viscera
 - Imaging of complex fractures
 - Evaluation of inconclusive findings on Ultrasound or MRI, or if there is a contraindication to MRI
- More specific guidance for CT usage, including exceptions to this general guidance, can be found throughout the condition-specific guidelines.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)

- The AMA CPT® manual does not describe nor assign any minimum or maximum number of sequences for any MRI study. MRI protocols are often influenced by the individual's clinical situation and additional sequences are not uncommon. There are numerous MRI sequences that may be performed to evaluate specific clinical questions, and this technology is constantly undergoing development.
- Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) utilizes the interaction between the intrinsic radiofrequency of certain molecules in the body (hydrogen in most cases) and a strong external magnetic field.
 - MRI is often superior for advanced imaging of soft tissues and can also define physiological processes in some instances (e.g., edema, loss of circulation [AVN], and increased vascularity [tumors]).
 - MRI does not use ionizing radiation and even non-contrast images have much higher soft tissue definition than CT or Ultrasound.
 - MRI typically takes much longer than either CT or Ultrasound, and for some individuals may require sedation. It is also much more sensitive to individual motion that can degrade image quality than either CT or Ultrasound.
- MRI Breast and MRI Chest are not interchangeable, as they focus detailed sequences on different adjacent body parts.
- MRI may be utilized either as the primary advanced imaging modality, or when further definition is needed based on CT or ultrasound imaging.
- Most orthopedic and dental implants are not magnetic. These include hip and knee replacements; plates, screws, and rods used to treat fractures; and cavity fillings. Yet,

all of these metal implants can distort the MRI image if near the part of the body being scanned.

- Other implants, however, may have contraindications to MRI. These include the following:
 - Pacemakers
 - ICD or heart valves
 - Metal implants in the brain
 - Metal implants in the eyes or ears
 - Infusion catheters and bullets or shrapnel
- CT can therefore be an alternative study to MRI in these scenarios.
- The contrast level and anatomic region in MRI imaging is specific to the clinical indication, as listed in the specific guideline sections.
- MRI utilizing Xenon Xe 129 (CPT® C9791) for contrast is considered investigational and experimental at this time. MRI with or with and without contrast in these guidelines refers to MRI utilizing gadolinium for contrast.
- MRI is commonly performed without, without and with contrast.
 - Non-contrast imaging offers excellent tissue definition.
 - Imaging without and with contrast is commonly used when needed to better characterize tissue perfusion and vascularization.
 - Most contrast is gadolinium based and causes T2 brightening of the vascular and extracellular spaces.
 - Some specialized gadolinium and non-gadolinium contrast agents are available, and most commonly used for characterizing liver lesions.
 - MRI with contrast only is rarely appropriate and is usually used to better characterize findings on a recent inconclusive non-contrast MRI, commonly called a completion study.
 - MRI contrast is contraindicated in pregnant individuals.
 - More specific guidance for MRI contrast usage, including exceptions to this general guidance, can be found throughout the condition-specific guidelines.
- MRI may be preferred in individuals with renal failure and in individuals allergic to intravenous CT contrast.
 - Both contrast CT and MRI may be considered to have the same risk profile with renal failure (GFR <30 mL/min).²
 - Gadolinium can cause Nephrogenic Systemic Fibrosis (NSF). The greater the
 exposure to gadolinium in individuals with a low GFR (especially if on dialysis), the
 greater the chance of individuals developing NSF.
 - Multiple studies have demonstrated potential for gadolinium deposition following the use of gadolinium-based contrast agents (GBCAs) for MRI studies.³⁻⁷ The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has noted that there is currently no evidence to suggest that gadolinium retention in the brain is harmful and restricting

gadolinium-based contrast agents (GBCAs) use is not warranted at this time. It has been recommended that GBCA use should be limited to circumstances in which additional information provided by the contrast agent is necessary and the necessity of repetitive MRIs with GBCAs should be assessed.⁸

- A CT may be approved in place of an MRI when clinical criteria are met for MRI AND there is a contraindication to having an MRI (pacemaker, ICD, insulin pump, neurostimulator, etc.).
 - When replacing MRI with CT, contrast level matching should occur as follows:
 - MRI without contrast → CT without contrast
 - MRI without and with contrast → CT with contrast or CT without and with contrast
- The following situations may impact the appropriateness for MRI and or MR contrast:
 - Caution should be taken in the use of gadolinium in individuals with renal failure.
 - The use of gadolinium contrast agents is contraindicated during pregnancy unless the specific need for that procedure outweighs risk to the fetus.
 - MRI can be performed for non-ferromagnetic body metals (i.e., titanium), although some imaging facilities will consider it contraindicated if recent surgery, regardless of the metal type.
- MRI should not be used as a replacement for CT for the sole reason of avoidance of ionizing radiation when MRI is not supported in the condition-based guidelines, since it does not solve the problem of overutilization.
- MRI is superior to other imaging modalities in certain conditions including, but not limited to, the following:
 - Imaging the brain and spinal cord
 - Characterizing visceral and musculoskeletal soft tissue masses
 - Evaluating musculoskeletal soft tissues including ligaments and tendons
 - Evaluating inconclusive findings on ultrasound or CT
 - Individuals who are pregnant or have high radiation sensitivity
 - Suspicion, diagnosis, or surveillance of infections
- More specific guidance for MRI usage, including exceptions to this general guidance, can be found throughout the condition-specific guidelines.

Positron Emission Tomography (PET)

- PET is a nuclear medicine study that uses a positron emitting radiotracer to create cross-sectional and volumetric images based on tissue metabolism.
- Conventional imaging (frequently CT, sometimes MRI or bone scan) of the affected area(s) drives much of initial and restaging and surveillance imaging for malignancy and other chronic conditions. PET is not indicated for surveillance imaging unless specifically stated in the condition-specific guideline sections.
- PET/MRI is generally not supported, see <u>PET-MRI (Preface-5.3)</u>.

- PET is rarely performed as a single modality, but is typically performed as a combined PET/CT.
 - The unbundling of PET/CT into separate PET and diagnostic CT CPT[®] codes is not supported, because PET/CT is done as a single study.
- PET/CT lacks the tissue definition of CT or MRI, but is fairly specific for metabolic activity based on the radiotracer used.
- Indications for PET/CT may include the following:
 - Oncologic Imaging for evaluation of tumor metabolic activity
 - Cardiac Imaging for evaluation of myocardial metabolic activity
 - Brain Imaging for evaluation of metabolic activity for procedural planning
- More specific guidance for PET usage, including exceptions to this general guidance, can be found throughout the condition-specific guidelines.

Overutilization of Advanced Imaging

- A number of recent reports describe overutilization in many areas of advanced imaging and other procedures, which may include the following:
 - High-level testing without consideration of less invasive, lower cost options which may adequately address the clinical question at hand
 - Excessive radiation and costs with unnecessary testing
 - Defensive medical practice
 - CT without and with contrast (so called "double contrast studies") requests, which have few current indications
 - MRI requested in place of CT to avoid radiation without considering the primary indication for imaging
 - Adult CT settings and protocols used for smaller people and children
 - Unnecessary imaging procedures when the same or similar studies have already been conducted
- A review of the imaging or other relevant procedural histories of all individuals presenting for studies has been recognized as one of the more important processes that can be significantly improved. By recognizing that a duplicate or questionably indicated examination has been ordered for individuals, it may be possible to avoid exposing them to unnecessary risks.^{9,10} To avoid these unnecessary risks, the precautions below should be considered:
 - The results of initial diagnostic tests or radiologic studies to narrow the differential diagnosis should be obtained prior to performing further tests or radiologic studies.
 - The clinical history should include a potential indication such as a known or suspected abnormality involving the body part for which the imaging study is being requested. These potential indications are addressed in greater detail within the applicable guidelines.

V1.0.2025

- The results of the requested imaging procedures should be expected to have an impact on individual management or treatment decisions.
- Repeat imaging studies are not generally necessary unless there is evidence of disease progression, recurrence of disease, and/or the repeat imaging will affect an individual's clinical management.
- Pre-operative imaging/pre-surgical planning imaging/pre-procedure imaging is not indicated if the surgery/procedure is not indicated. Once the procedure has been approved or if the procedure does not require prior authorization, the appropriate preprocedural imaging may be approved.

References (Preface-3)

v1.0.2025

- Bettmann MA. Frequently Asked Questions: Iodinated Contrast Agents. RadioGraphics. 2004;24(suppl_1):S3-S10. doi:10.1148/rg.24si045519
- 2. Andreucci M, Solomon R, Tasanarong A. Side Effects of Radiographic Contrast Media: Pathogenesis, Risk Factors, and Prevention. *BioMed Res Int.* 2014;2014:1-20. doi:10.1155/2014/741018
- 3. McDonald RJ, McDonald JS, Kallmes DF, et al. Intracranial Gadolinium Deposition after Contrast-enhanced MR Imaging. *Radiology*. 2015;275(3):772-782. doi:10.1148/radiol.15150025
- 4. Kanda T, Ishii K, Kawaguchi H, Kitajima K, Takenaka D. High Signal Intensity in the Dentate Nucleus and Globus Pallidus on Unenhanced T1-weighted MR Images: Relationship with Increasing Cumulative Dose of a Gadolinium-based Contrast Material. *Radiology*. 2014;270(3):834-841. doi:10.1148/radiol.13131669
- 5. Olchowy C, Cebulski K, Łasecki M, et al. The presence of the gadolinium-based contrast agent depositions in the brain and symptoms of gadolinium neurotoxicity A systematic review. Mohapatra S, ed. *PLOS ONE*. 2017;12(2):e0171704. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0171704
- 6. Ramalho J, Castillo M, AlObaidy M, et al. High Signal Intensity in Globus Pallidus and Dentate Nucleus on Unenhanced T1-weighted MR Images: Evaluation of Two Linear Gadolinium-based Contrast Agents. *Radiology*. 2015;276(3):836-844. doi:10.1148/radiol.2015150872
- Radbruch A, Weberling LD, Kieslich PJ, et al. Intraindividual Analysis of Signal Intensity Changes in the Dentate Nucleus After Consecutive Serial Applications of Linear and Macrocyclic Gadolinium-Based Contrast Agents. *Invest Radiol*. 2016;51(11):683-690. doi:10.1097/rli.00000000000000008
- 8. FDA Warns That Gadolinium-Based Contrast Agents (GBCAs) Are Retained in the Body; Requires New Class Warnings. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. May 16, 2018. https://www.fda.gov/media/109825/download
- 9. Amis ES, Butler PF, Applegate KE, et al. American College of Radiology White Paper on Radiation Dose in Medicine. *J Am Coll Radiol.* 2007;4(5):272-284. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2007.03.002
- 10. Powell AC, Long JW, Kren EM, Gupta AK, Levin DC. Evaluation of a Program for Improving Advanced Imaging Interpretation. *J Patient Saf.* 2019;15(1):69-75. doi:10.1097/PTS.0000000000034.5
- 11. White Paper: Initiative to Reduce Unnecessary Radiation Exposure from Medical Imaging. U.S. Food and Drug Administration and Center for Devices and Radiological Health. February 2010. https://www.fda.gov/Radiation-EmittingProducts/RadiationSafety/RadiationDoseReduction/ucm199994.htm
- Fotenos A. Update on FDA approach to safety issue of gadolinium retention after administration of gadoliniumbased contrast agents. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. September 20, 2018. https://www.fda.gov/ media/116492/download
- 13. Blumfield E, Swenson DW, lyer RS, Stanescu AL. Gadolinium-based contrast agents review of recent literature on magnetic resonance imaging signal intensity changes and tissue deposits, with emphasis on pediatric patients. *Pediatr Radiol*. 2019;49(4):448-457. doi:10.1007/s00247-018-4304-8
- 14. American College of Radiology. ACR SPR SRU Practice Parameter for the Performance and Interpretation of Diagnostic Ultrasound Examinations. Revised 2023. (Resolution 32). https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/US-Perf-Interpret.pdf
- 15. American College of Radiology. ACR ACNM SNMMI SPR Practice Parameter for Performing FDG-PET/CT in Oncology. Revised 2021. (Resolution 20). https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/FDG-PET-CT.pdf
- 16. American College of Radiology. ACR Practice Parameter for Performing and Interpreting Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI). Revised 2022. (Resolution 8). https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/MR-Perf-Interpret.pdf
- 17. American College of Radiology. ACR SPR Practice Parameter for Performing and Interpreting Diagnostic Computed Tomography (CT). Revised 2022. (Resolution 9). https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/CT-Perf-Interpret.pdf
- 18. Lohrke J, Frenzel T, Endrikat J, et al. 25 Years of Contrast-Enhanced MRI: Developments, Current Challenges and Future Perspectives. *Adv Ther.* 2016;33(1):1-28. doi:10.1007/s12325-015-0275-4
- 19. Implementation Guide: Medicaid State Plan Eligibility Eligibility Groups Mandatory Coverage Infants and Children under Age 19. U.S. Department of Health & Human Services. August 25, 2020. HHS-0938-2017-

Adult Spine Imaging Guidelines (For Ohio Only): CSRAD014OH.D

UnitedHealthcare Community Plan Coverage Determination Guideline

Effective: November 1, 2025 Page 22 of 166

Proprietary Information of United Healthcare. Copyright © 2025 United Healthcare Services, Inc.

V1.0.2025

- F-5484. https://www.hhs.gov/guidance/document/implementation-guide-medicaid-state-plan-eligibility-eligibility-groups-aeu-mandatory-2
- 20. History and Physicals Understanding the Requirements: What are the key elements organizations need to understand regarding History and Physical Requirements?. The Joint Commission. Reviewed July 12, 2022. https://www.jointcommission.org/standards/standard-faqs/hospital-and-hospital-clinics/provision-of-care-treatment-and-services-pc/000002272/
- 21. Mammarappallil JG, Rankine L, Wild JM, Driehuys B. New Developments in Imaging Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis With Hyperpolarized Xenon Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *J Thorac Imaging*. 2019;34(2):136-150. doi:10.1097/rti.00000000000000392
- 22. Wang JM, Robertson SH, Wang Z, et al. Using hyperpolarized 129Xe MRI to quantify regional gas transfer in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Thorax*. 2017;73(1):21-28. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2017-210070

Coding Issues (Preface-4)

Guideline

3D Rendering (Preface-4.1)

CT-, MR-, or Ultrasound-Guided Procedures (Preface-4.2)

Unlisted Procedures/Therapy Treatment Planning (Preface-4.3)

CPT® 76380 Limited or Follow-up CT (Preface-4.5)

SPECT/CT Imaging (Preface-4.6)

CPT® 76140 Interpretation of an Outside Study (Preface-4.7)

Quantitative MR Analysis (Preface-4.8)

HCPCS Codes (Preface-4.9)

References (Preface-4)

Page 24 of 166

Effective: November 1, 2025

Proprietary Information of United Healthcare. Copyright © 2025 United Healthcare Services, Inc.

3D Rendering (Preface-4.1)

PRF.CD.0004.1.UOH

v1.0.2025

CPT® 76376 and CPT® 76377

- Both codes require concurrent supervision of the image post-processing 3D manipulation of the volumetric data set and image rendering.
 - Concurrent supervision is defined as active physician participation in and monitoring of the reconstruction process including design of the anatomic region that is to be reconstructed; determination of the tissue types and actual structures to be displayed (e.g., bone, organs, and vessels); determination of the images or cine loops that are to be archived; and, monitoring and adjustment of the 3D work product. The American College of Radiology (ACR) recommends that it is best to document the physician's supervision or participation in the 3D reconstruction of images.
- These two codes differ in the need for and use of an independent workstation for post-processing.
 - CPT® 76376 reports procedures not requiring image post-processing on an independent workstation.
 - CPT® 76377 reports procedures that require image post-processing on an independent workstation.
- These 3D rendering codes should not be used for 2D reformatting.
- Two-dimensional reconstruction (e.g., reformatting an axial scan into the coronal plane) is now included in all cross-sectional imaging base codes and is not separately reimbursable.
- The codes used to report 3D rendering for ultrasound and echocardiography are also used to report the 3D post processing work on CT, MRI, and other tomographic modalities.
- Providers may be required to obtain prior authorization on these 3D codes even if prior authorization is not required for the echocardiography and/or ultrasound procedure codes. It may appear that UnitedHealthcare pre-authorizes echocardiography and/or ultrasound when, in fact, it may only be the 3D code that needs the prior authorization.
- CPT® codes for 3D rendering should not be billed in conjunction with computeraided detection (CAD), MRA, CTA, nuclear medicine SPECT studies, PET, PET/ CT, Mammogram, MRI Breast, US Breast, CT Colonography (virtual colonoscopy), Cardiac MRI, Cardiac CT, or Coronary CTA studies.

Adult Spine Imaging Guidelines (For Ohio Only): CSRAD014OH.D

- CPT® 76377 (3D rendering requiring image post-processing on an independent workstation) or CPT® 76376 (3D rendering not requiring image post-processing on an independent workstation) can be considered in the following clinical scenarios:
 - Bony conditions:
 - Evaluation of congenital skull abnormalities in newborns, infants, and toddlers (usually for pre-operative planning)
 - Complex fractures (comminuted or displaced)/dislocations of any joint (for preoperative planning when conventional imaging is insufficient)
 - Spine fractures, pelvic/acetabulum fractures, intra-articular fractures (for preoperative planning when conventional imaging is insufficient)
 - Pre-operative planning for other complex surgical cases
 - Complex facial fractures
 - Pre-operative planning for other complex surgical cases
 - Cerebral angiography
 - · Pelvis conditions:
 - Uterine intra-cavitary lesion when initial US is equivocal: See <u>Abnormal Uterine</u> <u>Bleeding (AUB) (PV-2.1)</u> and <u>Leiomyoma/Uterine Fibroids (PV-12.1)</u> in the Pelvis Imaging Guidelines.
 - Hydrosalpinxes or peritoneal cysts when initial US is indeterminate: See
 Complex Adnexal Masses (PV-5.3) in the Pelvis Imaging Guidelines.
 - Lost IUD (inability to feel or see IUD string) with initial US: See <u>Intrauterine</u>
 <u>Device (PV-10.1)</u> in the Pelvis Imaging Guidelines.
 - Uterine anomalies with initial US: See <u>Uterine Anomalies (PV-14.1)</u> in the Pelvis Imaging Guidelines.
 - Infertility: See <u>Initial Infertility Evaluation</u>, <u>Female (PV-9.1)</u> in the Pelvis Imaging Guidelines.
 - Abdomen conditions:
 - CT Urogram: See <u>Hematuria and Hydronephrosis (AB-39)</u> in the Abdomen Imaging Guidelines.
 - MRCP: See <u>MR Cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) (AB-27)</u> in the Abdomen Imaging Guidelines.

CT-, MR-, or Ultrasound-Guided Procedures (Preface-4.2)

PRF.CD.0004.2.A

v1.0.2025

- CT-, MR-, and Ultrasound-guidance procedure codes contain all of the imaging necessary to guide a needle or catheter. It is inappropriate to routinely bill a diagnostic procedure code in conjunction with a guidance procedure code.
- Imaging studies performed as part of a CT-, MR-, or Ultrasound-guided procedure should be reported using the CPT® codes in the following table:

TABLE: Imaging Guidance Procedure Codes

CPT [®]	Description
19085	Biopsy, breast, with placement of breast localization device(s), when performed, and imaging of the biopsy specimen, when performed, percutaneous; first lesion, including MR guidance
19086	Biopsy, breast, with placement of breast localization device(s), when performed, and imaging of the biopsy specimen, when performed, percutaneous; each additional lesion, including MR guidance
75989	Imaging guidance for percutaneous drainage with placement of catheter (all modalities)
76942	Ultrasonic guidance for needle placement
77011	CT guidance for stereotactic localization
77012	CT guidance for needle placement
77013	CT guidance for, and monitoring of parenchymal tissue ablation
77021	MR guidance for needle placement
77022	MR guidance for, and monitoring of parenchymal tissue ablation

CPT® 19085 and CPT® 19086

- The proper way to bill an MRI-guided breast biopsy is CPT® 19085 (Biopsy, breast, with placement of breast localization device(s), when performed, and imaging of the biopsy specimen, when performed, percutaneous; first lesion, including MR guidance). Additional lesions should be billed using CPT® 19086.
 - CPT® 77021 (MR guidance for needle placement) is not an appropriate code for a breast biopsy.

CPT® 75989

- This code is used to report imaging guidance for a percutaneous drainage procedure in which a catheter is left in place.
- This code can be used to report whether the drainage catheter is placed under fluoroscopy, Ultrasound-, CT-, or MR-guidance modality.

CPT® 77011

- A stereotactic CT localization scan is frequently obtained prior to sinus surgery. The dataset is then loaded into the navigational workstation in the operating room for use during the surgical procedure. The information provides exact positioning of surgical instruments with regard to the individual's 3D CT images.³
- In most cases, the pre-operative CT is a technical-only service that does not require interpretation by a radiologist.
 - The imaging facility should report CPT® 77011 when performing a scan not requiring interpretation by a radiologist.
 - If a diagnostic scan is performed and interpreted by a radiologist, the appropriate diagnostic CT code (e.g., CPT® 70486) should be used.
 - It is not appropriate to report both CPT® 70486 and CPT® 77011 for the same CT stereotactic localization imaging session.
 - 3D Rendering (CPT® 76376 or CPT® 76377) should not be reported in conjunction with CPT® 77011 (or CPT® 70486 if used). The procedure inherently generates a 3D dataset.

CPT® 77012 (CT) and CPT® 77021 (MR)

- These codes are used to report imaging guidance for needle placement during biopsy, aspiration, and other percutaneous procedures.
- They represent the radiological supervision and interpretation of the procedure and are often billed in conjunction with surgical procedure codes.
 - For example, CPT® 77012 is reported when CT guidance is used to place the needle for a conventional arthrogram.
 - Only codes representing percutaneous surgical procedures should be billed with CPT® 77012 and CPT® 77021. It is inappropriate to use with surgical codes for open, excisional, or incisional procedures.

Adult Spine Imaging Guidelines (For Ohio Only):

- CPT® 77021 (MR guidance for needle placement) is not an appropriate code for breast biopsy.
 - CPT® 19085 would be appropriate for the first breast biopsy site and CPT® 19086 would be appropriate for additional concurrent biopsies.

CPT® 77013 (CT) and CPT® 77022 (MR)

- These codes include the initial guidance to direct a needle electrode to the tumor(s), monitoring for needle electrode repositioning within the lesion, and as necessary for multiple ablations to coagulate the lesion and confirmation of satisfactory coagulative necrosis of the lesion(s) and comparison to pre-ablation images.
 - **NOTE:** CPT[®] 77013 should only be used for non-bone ablation procedures.
 - CPT® 20982 includes CT guidance for bone tumor ablations.
 - Only codes representing percutaneous surgical procedures should be billed with CPT® 77013 and CPT® 77022. It is inappropriate to use with surgical codes for open, excisional, or incisional procedures.
- CPT® 77012 and CPT® 77021 (as well as guidance codes CPT® 76942 [US], and CPT® 77002 - CPT® 77003 [fluoroscopy]) describe radiologic guidance by different modalities.
 - Only one unit of any of these codes should be reported per individual encounter (date of service). The unit of service is considered to be the individual encounter, not the number of lesions, aspirations, biopsies, injections, or localizations.

Unlisted Procedures/Therapy Treatment Planning (Preface-4.3)

PRF.CD.0004.3.UOH

v1.0.2025

CPT®	Description
76497	Unlisted CT procedure (e.g., diagnostic or interventional)
76498	Unlisted MR procedure (e.g., diagnostic or interventional)
78999	Unlisted procedure, diagnostic nuclear medicine

- These unlisted codes should be reported whenever a diagnostic or interventional CT or MR study is performed in which an appropriate anatomic site-specific code is not available.
 - A Category III code that describes the procedure performed must be reported rather than an unlisted code if one is available.
- CPT® 76497 or CPT® 76498 (Unlisted CT or MRI procedure) can be considered in the following clinical scenarios:
 - Studies done for navigation and planning for neurosurgical procedures (i.e., Stealth or Brain Lab Imaging)^{1,2}
 - Custom joint arthroplasty planning (not as an alternative recommendation): See
 Osteoarthritis (MS-12.1) in the Musculoskeletal Imaging Guidelines.
 - Any procedure/surgical planning if thinner cuts or different positional acquisition (than those on the completed diagnostic study) are needed. These could include navigational bronchoscopy: See <u>Navigational Bronchoscopy (CH-1.7)</u> in the Chest Imaging Guidelines.

Therapy Treatment Planning

 Radiation Therapy Treatment Planning: See <u>Unlisted Procedure Codes in</u> <u>Oncology (ONC-1.5)</u> in the Oncology Imaging Guidelines.

CPT® 76380 Limited or Follow-up CT (Preface-4.5)

PRF.CD.0004.5.UOH

v1.0.2025

- CPT® 76380 describes a limited or follow-up CT scan. The code is used to report any CT scan, for any given area of the body, in which the work of a full diagnostic code is not performed.
- Common examples include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - Limited sinus CT imaging protocol
 - Limited or follow-up slices through a known pulmonary nodule
 - Limited slices to assess a non-healing fracture (such as the clavicle)
- Limited CT (CPT® 76380) is not indicated for treatment planning purposes. See <u>Unlisted Procedure Codes in Oncology (ONC-1.5)</u> in the Oncology Imaging Guidelines.
- It is inappropriate to report CPT[®] 76380, in conjunction with other diagnostic CT codes, to cover 'extra slices' in certain imaging protocols.
 - There is no specific number of sequences or slices defined in any CT CPT® code definition.
 - The AMA, in CPT® 2019, does not describe nor assign any minimum or maximum number of sequences or slices for any CT study.
 - A few additional slices or sequences are not uncommon.
 - CT imaging protocols are often influenced by the individual's clinical situation. Sometimes the protocols require more time and sometimes less.

V1.0.2025

SPECT/CT Imaging (Preface-4.6)

PRF.CD.0004.6.A

v1.0.2025

- SPECT/CT involves SPECT (Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography)
 nuclear medicine imaging and CT for optimizing location, accuracy, and attenuation
 correction and combines functional and anatomic information.
 - Common studies using this modality include ¹²³I- or ¹³¹I-Metaiodobenzylguanidine (MIBG) and octreotide scintigraphy for neuroendocrine tumors.
- Hybrid Nuclear/CT scan can be reported as CPT[®] 78830 (single area and single day), CPT[®] 78831 (2 or more days), or CPT[®] 78832 (2 areas with one day and 2-day study).
- CPT® 78072 became effective January 1, 2013 for SPECT/CT parathyroid nuclear imaging.

V1.0.2025

CPT® 76140 Interpretation of an Outside Study (Preface-4.7)

PRF.CD.0004.7.UOH

v1.0.2025

- It is inappropriate to use diagnostic imaging codes for interpretation of a previously performed exam that was completed at another facility.
 - If the outside exam is being used for comparison with a current exam, the diagnostic code for the current examination includes comparison to the prior study.⁴
 - CPT® 76140 is the appropriate code to use for an exam which was completed elsewhere and a secondary interpretation of the images is requested.⁵

Quantitative MR Analysis (Preface-4.8)

PRF.CD.0004.8.A

v1.0.2025

- Category III CPT® codes for quantitative analysis of multiparametric-MR (mp-MRI) data with and without an associated diagnostic MRI have been established. Quantitative mp-MRI uses software to analyze tissue physiology of visceral organs and other anatomic structures non-invasively. At present, these procedures are primarily being used in clinical trials and there is no widely recommended indications in clinical practice. As such, these procedures are considered to be investigational and experimental for coverage purposes.
 - CPT® 0648T (without diagnostic MRI) and CPT® 0649T (with diagnostic MRI) refer to data analysis with and without associate imaging of a single organ, with its most common use being LiverMultiScan (LMS).
 - See <u>Fatty Liver (AB-29.2)</u> in the Abdomen Imaging Guidelines.
 - CPT® 0697T (without diagnostic MRI) and CPT® 0698T (with diagnostic MRI) refer to data analysis with and without associate imaging of a multiple organs, with its most common use being CoverScan.
 - Volumetric and quantitative MRI analysis of the brain (CPT® 0865T or CPT® 0866T) lack sufficient specificity and sensitivity to be clinically useful. Its use is limited to research studies and is otherwise considered to be not medically necessary in routine clinical practice.

V1.0.2025

HCPCS Codes (Preface-4.9)

PRF.CD.0004.9.UOH

v1.0.2025

- Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS) codes are utilized by some hospitals in favor of the typical Level-III CPT[®] codes. These codes are typically 4 digits preceded by a C or S.⁶
 - Many of these codes have similar code descriptions to Level-III CPT[®] codes (i.e., C8931 – MRA with dye, Spinal Canal; and, CPT[®] 72159 – MRA Spinal Canal).
 - If cases are submitted with HCPCS codes with similar code descriptions to the typical Level-III CPT[®] codes, those procedures should be managed in the same manner as the typical CPT[®] codes.
 - HCPCS code management is discussed further in the applicable guideline sections.
- Requests for many Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS) codes, including non-specific codes such as S8042 (Magnetic resonance imaging [MRI], low-field), should be redirected to a more appropriate and specific CPT[®] code. Exceptions are noted in the applicable guideline sections.

V1.0.2025

References (Preface-4)

v1.0.2025

- Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging Coding Corner. http://www.snmmi.org/ClinicalPractice/ CodingCornerPT.aspx?ItemNumber=1786
- 2. Intraoperative MR. Brainlab. https://www.brainlab.com/surgery-products/overview-neurosurgery-products/intraoperative-mr/
- 3. Citardi MJ, Agbetoba A, Bigcas JL, Luong A. Augmented reality for endoscopic sinus surgery with surgical navigation: a cadaver study. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol*. 2016;6(5):523-528. doi:10.1002/alr.21702
- 4. ACR Radiology Coding SourceTM March-April 2007 Q and A. American College of Radiology. https://www.acr.org/Advocacy-and-Economics/Coding-Source/ACR-Radiology-Coding-Source-March-April-2007-Q-and-A
- 5. Chung CY, Alson MD, Duszak R, Degnan AJ. From imaging to reimbursement: what the pediatric radiologist needs to know about health care payers, documentation, coding and billing. *Pediatr Radiol*. 2018;48(7):904-914. doi:10.1007/s00247-018-4104-1
- 6. Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS). Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services. www.cms.gov/medicare/coding/medhcpcsgeninfo.

V1.0.2025

Whole-Body Imaging (Preface-5)

Guideline

Whole-Body CT Imaging (Preface-5.1) Whole-Body MR Imaging (Preface-5.2) PET-MRI (Preface-5.3) References (Preface-5)

V1.0.2025

Whole-Body CT Imaging (Preface-5.1)

PRF.WB.0005.1.UOH

v1.0.2025

- Whole-body CT or LifeScan (CT Brain, Chest, Abdomen, and Pelvis) for screening of asymptomatic individuals is not indicated. The performance of whole-body screening CT examinations in healthy individuals does not meet any of the current validity criteria for screening studies and there is no clear documentation of benefit versus radiation risk.
- Whole-body low-dose CT is supported for oncologic staging in Multiple Myeloma.
 See <u>Multiple Myeloma and Plasmacytomas (ONC-25)</u> in the Oncology Imaging Guidelines.

Whole-Body MR Imaging (Preface-5.2)

PRF.WB.0005.2.A

v1.0.2025

- Whole-body MRI (WBMRI) is, with the exception of select cancer predisposition syndromes and autoimmune conditions discussed below, generally not supported at this time due to lack of standardization in imaging technique and lack of evidence that WBMRI improves outcome for any individual disease state.
 - While WBMRI has the benefit of whole-body imaging and lack of radiation exposure, substantial variation still exists in the number of images, type of sequences (STIR vs. diffusion weighting, for example), and contrast agent(s) used.
- Coding considerations:
 - There are no established CPT® or HCPCS codes for reporting WBMRI.
 - WBMRI is at present only reportable using CPT® 76498. All other methods of reporting whole-body MRI are inappropriate including the following:
 - Separate diagnostic MRI codes for multiple individual body parts
 - MRI Bone Marrow Supply (CPT[®] 77084)
- Disease-specific considerations:
 - Cancer screening:
 - Interval WBMRI is recommended for cancer screening in individuals with select cancer predisposition syndromes. Otherwise, WBMRI has not been shown to improve outcomes for cancer screening.
 - For additional information, see <u>Li-Fraumeni Syndrome (LFS)</u> (PEDONC-2.2), <u>Neurofibromatosis 1 and 2 (NF1 and NF2) (PEDONC-2.3)</u>, <u>Rhabdoid Tumor Predisposition Syndrome (PEDONC-2.11)</u>, <u>Hereditary Paraganglioma-Pheochromocytoma (HPP) Syndromes (PEDONC-2.13)</u>, <u>Constitutional Mismatch Repair Deficiency (CMMRD or Turcot Syndrome) (PEDONC-2.15)</u>, or <u>Infantile Myofibromatosis (PEDONC-2.18)</u> in the Pediatric and Special Populations Oncology Imaging Guidelines.
 - Cancer staging and restaging:
 - While the feasibility of WBMRI has been established, data remain conflicting on whether WBMRI is of equivalent diagnostic accuracy compared with standard imaging modalities such as CT, scintigraphy, and PET imaging.
 - Evidence has not been published establishing WBMRI as a standard evaluation for any type of cancer.
 - Autoimmune disease:
 - WBMRI can be approved in some situations for individuals with chronic recurrent multifocal osteomyelitis.
 - For additional information, see <u>Chronic Recurrent Multifocal Osteomyelitis</u> (<u>PEDMS-10.2</u>) in the Pediatric Musculoskeletal Imaging Guidelines.

Adult Spine Imaging Guidelines (For Ohio Only): CSRAD014OH.D

PET-MRI (Preface-5.3)

PRF.WB.0005.3.A

v1.0.2025

- PET-MRI is generally not supported for a vast majority of oncologic and neurologic conditions due to lack of standardization in imaging technique and interpretation.
 However, it may be appropriate in select circumstances when the following criteria are met:
 - The individual meets condition-specific guidelines for PET-MRI OR
 - The individual meets ALL of the following:
 - The individual meets guideline criteria for PET-CT, AND
 - PET-CT is not available at the treating institution, AND
 - The provider requests PET-MRI in lieu of PET-CT
- When the above criteria are met, PET-MRI may be reported using the code combination of PET Whole-Body (CPT[®] 78813) and MRI Unlisted (CPT[®] 76498). All other methods of reporting PET-MRI are inappropriate.
 - When clinically appropriate, diagnostic MRI codes may be indicated at the same time as the PET-MRI code combination.
- For more information, see <u>PET Imaging in Pediatric Oncology (PEDONC-1.4)</u> in the Pediatric and Special Populations Oncology Imaging Guidelines, and <u>PET Brain</u> <u>Imaging (PEDHD-2.3)</u> and <u>Special Imaging Studies in Evaluation for Epilepsy</u> <u>Surgery (PEDHD-6.3)</u> in the Pediatric Head Imaging Guidelines.

V1.0.2025

References (Preface-5)

v1.0.2025

- Villani A, Tabori U, Schiffman J, et al. Biochemical and imaging surveillance in germline TP53 mutation carriers with Li-Fraumeni syndrome: a prospective observational study. *Lancet Oncol*. 2011;12(6):559-567. doi:10.1016/ S1470-2045(11)70119-X
- 2. Siegel MJ, Acharyya S, Hoffer FA, et al. Whole-Body MR Imaging for Staging of Malignant Tumors in Pediatric Patients: Results of the American College of Radiology Imaging Network 6660 Trial. *Radiology*. 2013;266(2):599-609. doi:10.1148/radiol.12112531
- 3. Antoch G. Whole-Body Dual-Modality PET/CT and Whole-Body MRI for Tumor Staging in Oncology. *JAMA*. 2003;290(24):3199. doi:10.1001/jama.290.24.3199
- 4. Lauenstein TC, Semelka RC. Emerging techniques: Whole-body screening and staging with MRI. *J Magn Reson Imaging*. 2006;24(3):489-498. doi:10.1002/jmri.20666
- 5. Khanna G, Sato TSP, Ferguson P. Imaging of Chronic Recurrent Multifocal Osteomyelitis. *RadioGraphics*. 2009;29(4):1159-1177. doi:10.1148/rg.294085244
- 6. Ferguson PJ, Sandu M. Current Understanding of the Pathogenesis and Management of Chronic Recurrent Multifocal Osteomyelitis. *Curr Rheumatol Rep.* 2012;14(2):130-141. doi:10.1007/s11926-012-0239-5
- 7. National Comprehensive Cancer Network® (NCCN®). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®): Genetic/Familial High Risk Assessment: Breast, Ovarian, and Pancreatic. Version 3.2024. February 12, 2024. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®) for Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Breast, Ovarian, and Pancreatic V.3.2024. ©2024 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. The NCCN Guidelines® and illustrations herein may not be reproduced in any form for any purpose without the express written permission of the NCCN. To view the most recent and complete version of the NCCN Guidelines®, go online to NCCN.org.

V1.0.2025

References (Preface-6)

Guideline

References (Preface-6.1)

Effective: November 1, 2025

Page 42 of 166

V1.0.2025

References (Preface-6.1)

PRF.RF.0006.1.A

v1.0.2025

- Complete reference citations for the journal articles are embedded within the body
 of the guidelines and/or may be found on the Reference pages at the end of some
 guideline sections.
- The website addresses for certain references are included in the body of the guidelines but are not hyperlinked to the actual website.
- The website address for the American College of Radiology (ACR) Appropriateness Criteria[®] is http://www.acr.org.

V1.0.2025

Copyright Information (Preface-7)

\sim				
Gu	IC	е	lır	ıe

Copyright Information (Preface-7.1)

V1.0.2025

Copyright Information (Preface-7.1)

PRF.CI.0007.1.UOH

v1.0.2025

©2025 United HealthCare Services, Inc. All rights reserved. No part of these
materials may be changed, reproduced, or transmitted in any form or by any means,
electronic or mechanical, including photocopying or recording, or in any information
storage or retrieval system, without the prior express written permission of United
HealthCare Services, Inc.

V1.0.2025

Trademarks (Preface-8)

Guideline

Trademarks (Preface-8.1)

V1.0.2025

Trademarks (Preface-8.1)

PRF.TM.0008.1.A

v1.0.2025

 CPT® (Current Procedural Terminology) is a registered trademark of the American Medical Association (AMA). CPT® five-digit codes, nomenclature, and other data are copyright 2025 American Medical Association. All Rights Reserved. No fee schedules, basic units, relative values, or related listings are included in the CPT® book. AMA does not directly or indirectly practice medicine or dispense medical services. AMA assumes no liability for the data contained herein or not contained herein.

V1.0.2025

General Guidelines (SP-1)

Guideline

Procedure Codes Associated with Spine Imaging General Guidelines (SP-1.0) General Considerations (SP-1.1) Red Flag Indications (SP-1.2) Definitions (SP-1.3) Evidence Discussion (SP-1) References (SP-1)

Procedure Codes Associated with Spine Imaging

SP.GG.ProcedureCodes.A

v1.0.2025

MRI/MRA	CPT®
MRI Cervical without contrast	72141
MRI Cervical with contrast	72142
MRI Cervical without and with contrast	72156
MRI Thoracic without contrast	72146
MRI Thoracic with contrast	72147
MRI Thoracic without and with contrast	72157
MRI Lumbar without contrast	72148
MRI Lumbar with contrast	72149
MRI Lumbar without and with contrast	72158
MRA Spinal Canal	72159
MRI Pelvis without contrast	72195
MRI Pelvis with contrast	72196
MRI Pelvis without and with contrast	72197
MR Spectroscopy	76390

Page 49 of 166

Effective: November 1, 2025

MRI/MRA	CPT®
Magnetic resonance spectroscopy, determination and localization of discogenic pain (cervical, thoracic, or lumbar); acquisition of single voxel data, per disc, on biomarkers (ie, lactic acid, carbohydrate, alanine, laal, propionic acid, proteoglycan, and collagen) in at least 3 discs	0609T
Magnetic resonance spectroscopy, determination and localization of discogenic pain (cervical, thoracic, or lumbar); transmission of biomarker data for software analysis	0610T
Magnetic resonance spectroscopy, determination and localization of discogenic pain (cervical, thoracic, or lumbar); postprocessing for algorithmic analysis of biomarker data for determination of relative chemical differences between discs	
Magnetic resonance spectroscopy, determination and localization of discogenic pain (cervical, thoracic, or lumbar); interpretation and report	0612T

СТ	CPT®
CT Cervical without contrast	72125
CT Cervical with contrast (Post-Myelography CT)	72126
CT Cervical without and with contrast	72127
CT Thoracic without contrast	72128
CT Thoracic with contrast (Post-Myelography CT)	72129
CT Thoracic without and with contrast	72130
CT Lumbar without contrast (Post-Discography CT)	72131
CT Lumbar with contrast (Post-Myelography CT)	72132
CT Lumbar without and with contrast	72133

Adult Spine Imaging Guidelines (For Ohio Only):

CSRAD014OH.D

UnitedHealthcare Community Plan Coverage Determination Guideline

Effective: November 1, 2025 Page 50 of 166

СТ	CPT®
CT Pelvis without contrast	72192
CT Pelvis with contrast	72193
CT Pelvis without and with contrast	72194

Ultrasound	CPT®
Spinal canal ultrasound	76800

Nuclear Medicine	CPT®
Bone Marrow Imaging, Limited	78102
Bone Marrow Imaging, Multiple	78103
Bone Marrow Imaging, Whole Body	78104
Bone or Joint Imaging, Limited	78300
Bone or Joint Imaging, Multiple	78305
Bone Scan, Whole Body	78306
Bone Scan, 3 Phase Study	78315
Radiopharmaceutical localization of tumor, inflammatory process or distribution of radiopharmaceutical agent(s) (includes vascular flow and blood pool imaging, when performed); planar, single area (e.g., head, neck, chest, pelvis), single day imaging	
Radiopharmaceutical localization of tumor, inflammatory process or distribution of radiopharmaceutical agent(s) (includes vascular flow and blood pool imaging, when performed); planar, 2 or more areas (e.g., abdomen and pelvis, head and chest), 1 or more days imaging or single area imaging over 2 or more days	78801

Adult Spine Imaging Guidelines (For Ohio Only):

CSRAD014OH.D

UnitedHealthcare Community Plan Coverage Determination Guideline Page 51
Proprietary Information of United Healthcare. Copyright © 2025 United Healthcare Services, Inc.

Effective: November 1, 2025 Page 51 of 166

Nuclear Medicine	CPT [®]
Radiopharmaceutical localization of tumor, inflammatory process or distribution of radiopharmaceutical agent(s) (includes vascular flow and blood pool imaging, when performed); planar, whole body, single day imaging	78802
Radiopharmaceutical localization of tumor, inflammatory process or distribution of radiopharmaceutical agent(s) (includes vascular flow and blood pool imaging, when performed); tomographic (SPECT), single area (e.g., head, neck, chest, pelvis), single day imaging	78803
Radiopharmaceutical localization of tumor, inflammatory process or distribution of radiopharmaceutical agent(s) (includes vascular flow and blood pool imaging, when performed); tomographic (SPECT) with concurrently acquired computed tomography (CT) transmission scan for anatomical review, localization and determination/detection of pathology, single area (e.g., head, neck, chest, pelvis), single day imaging	78830
Radiopharmaceutical localization of tumor, inflammatory process or distribution of radiopharmaceutical agent(s) (includes vascular flow and blood pool imaging, when performed); tomographic (SPECT), minimum 2 areas (e.g., pelvis and knees, abdomen and pelvis), single day imaging, or single area imaging over 2 or more days	78831

General Guidelines (SP-1.0)

SP.GG.0001.0.A

v1.0.2025

- Before advanced diagnostic imaging can be considered, there must be an inperson clinical evaluation as well as a clinical re-evaluation after a trial of failed conservative therapy; the clinical re-evaluation may consist of an in-person evaluation or other meaningful contact with the provider's office such as email, web, telephone communications, or clinical documentation from a provider.
- An in-person clinical evaluation for the current episode of the condition is required to have been performed before advanced imaging is considered. This may have been either the initial clinical evaluation or a clinical re-evaluation.
- The in-person clinical evaluation should include a relevant history and physical examination (including a detailed neurological examination), appropriate laboratory studies, non-advanced imaging modalities, results of manual motor testing, the specific dermatomal distribution of altered sensation, reflex examination, and nerve root tension signs (e.g., straight leg raise test, slump test, femoral nerve tension test). The clinical evaluation must be in-person; other forms of meaningful contact (telephone call, electronic mail, telemedicine, or messaging) are not acceptable as an in-person evaluation.
 - For those spinal conditions/disorders for which the Spine Imaging Guidelines require a plain x-ray of the spine prior to consideration of an advanced imaging study, the plain x-ray must be performed after the current episode of symptoms started or changed and results need to be available to the requesting provider of the advanced imaging study (see: Anatomic Guidelines [SP-2.1]).
- Clinical re-evaluation is required prior to consideration of advanced diagnostic imaging to document failure of significant clinical improvement following a recent (within 12 weeks) six week trial of provider-directed treatment. Clinical reevaluation can include documentation of an in-person encounter with a provider or documentation of other meaningful contact with a provider's office by the individual (e.g., telephone call, electronic mail, telemedicine, or messaging).
 - Provider-directed treatment may include education, activity modification, NSAIDs (non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs), narcotic and non-narcotic analgesic medications, oral or injectable corticosteroids, a provider-directed home exercise/ stretching program, cross-training, avoidance of aggravating activities, physical/ occupational therapy, spinal manipulation, interventional pain procedures and other pain management techniques.
- Any bowel/bladder abnormalities or emergent or urgent indications should be documented at the time of the initial clinical evaluation and clinical re-evaluation.
- Altered sensation to pressure, pain, and temperature should be documented by the specific anatomic distribution (e.g., dermatomal, stocking/glove or mixed distribution).

Adult Spine Imaging Guidelines (For Ohio Only): CSRAD014OH.D

UnitedHealthcare Community Plan Coverage Determination Guideline

Page 53 of 166

 Motor deficits (weakness) should be defined by the specific myotomal distribution (e.g., weakness of toe flexion/extension, knee flexion/extension, ankle dorsi/ plantar flexion, wrist dorsi/palmar flexion) and gradation of muscle testing should be documented as follows:

	Grading of Manual Muscle Testing		
0	No muscle activation		
1	Trace muscle activation, such as a twitch, without achieving full range of motion		
2	Muscle activation with gravity eliminated, achieving full range of motion		
3	Muscle activation against gravity, full range of motion		
4	Muscle activation against some resistance, full range of motion		
5	Muscle activation against examiner's full resistance, full range of motion		

- Pathological reflexes (e.g. Hoffmann's, Babinski, and Chaddock sign) should be reported as positive or negative.
- Asymmetric reflexes and reflex examination should be documented as follows:

	Grading of Reflex Testing		
0	No response		
1+	A slight but definitely present response		
2+	A brisk response		
3+	A very brisk response without clonus		
4+	A tap elicits a repeating reflex (clonus)		

- Advanced diagnostic imaging is often urgently indicated and may be necessary if serious underlying spinal and/or non-spinal disease is suggested by the presence of certain patient factors referred to as "red flags." See: Red Flag Indications (SP-1.2).
- Spinal specialist evaluation can be helpful in determining the need for advanced diagnostic imaging, especially for individuals following spinal surgery.

- The need for repeat advanced diagnostic imaging should be carefully considered
 and may not be indicated if prior advanced diagnostic imaging has been performed.
 Requests for simultaneous, similar studies such as spinal MRI and CT need to be
 documented as required for preoperative surgical planning. These studies may
 be helpful in the evaluation of complex failed spinal fusion cases or needed for
 preoperative surgical planning when the determination of both soft tissue and bony
 anatomy is required.
- Serial advanced imaging, whether CT or MRI, for surveillance of healing or recovery from spinal disease is not supported by the currently available scientific evidencebased medicine for the majority of spinal disorders.
 - Requests for repeat imaging may be considered on a case-by-case basis (e.g. concern for delayed union or non-union of spinal fracture, pseudoarthrosis of fusion, etc.)
- Advanced imaging is generally unnecessary for resolved or improving spinal pain and/or radiculopathy.
- Advanced diagnostic imaging has not been shown to be of value in individuals with stable, longstanding spinal pain without neurological features or without clinically significant or relevant changes in symptoms or physical examination findings.
- Anatomic regions of the spine/pelvis that are included in the following MRI and CT advanced diagnostic imaging studies:
 - Cervical spine: from the skull base/foramen magnum through T1
 - Thoracic spine: from C7 through L1
 - Lumbar spine: from T12 through mid-sacrum
 - Pelvis: includes hips, sacroiliac joints, sacrum, coccyx
- CT or MRI of the cervical and thoracic spine will image the entire spinal cord since the end of the spinal cord or conus medullaris usually ends at L1 in adults. Therefore, lumbar spine imaging is not needed when the goal is to image only the spinal cord unless there is known or suspected low lying conus medullaris (e.g. tethered cord).

General Considerations (SP-1.1)

SP.GG.0001.1.A

v1.0.2025

See: General Guidelines (SP-1.0)

Background and Supporting Information

Straight leg raise test (also known as the Lasegue's test) – With the individual in the supine position, the hip medially rotated and adducted, and the knee extended, the examiner flexes the hip until the individual complains of pain or tightness in the back or back of the leg. If the pain is primarily back pain, it is less specific whereas if the pain is primarily in the leg, it is more likely nerve root irritation/radiculopathy. Disc herniation or pathology causing pressure between the two extremes are more likely to cause pain in both areas. The examiner then slowly and carefully drops the leg back (extends it) slightly until the individual feels no pain or tightness. The individual is then asked to flex the neck so the chin is on the chest, or the examiner may dorsiflex the individual's foot, or both actions may be done simultaneously. Both of these maneuvers are considered to be provocative tests for neurological tissue.

Slump test – The individual is seated on the edge of the examination table with the legs supported, the hips in neutral position, and the hands behind the back. The examination is performed in sequential steps. First, the individual is asked to "slump" the back into thoracic and lumbar flexion. The examiner maintains the individual's chin in neutral position to prevent neck and head flexion. The examiner then uses one arm to apply overpressure across the shoulders to maintain flexion of the thoracic and lumbar spines. While this position is held, the individual is asked to actively flex the cervical spine and head as far as possible (i.e., chin to chest). The examiner then applies overpressure to maintain flexion of all three parts of the spine (cervical, thoracic, and lumbar) using the hand of the same arm to maintain overpressure in the cervical spine. With the other hand, the examiner then holds the individual's foot in maximum dorsiflexion. While the examiner holds these positions, the individual is asked to actively straighten the knee as much as possible. The test is repeated with the other leg and then with both legs at the same time. If the individual is unable to fully extend the knee because of pain, the examiner releases the overpressure to the cervical spine and the individual actively extends the neck. If the knee extends further, the symptoms decrease with neck extension, or the positioning of the individual increases the individual's symptoms, then the test is considered positive.

Femoral nerve tension test (also known as the prone knee bending test) – The individual lies prone while the examiner passively flexes the knee as far as possible so that the individual's heel rests against the buttock. At the same time, the examiner should ensure that the individual's hip is not rotated. If the examiner is unable to flex the

Adult Spine Imaging Guidelines (For Ohio Only): CSRAD014OH.D

UnitedHealthcare Community Plan Coverage Determination Guideline

Effective: November 1, 2025 Page 56 of 166

V1.0.2025

individual's knee past 90 degrees because of a pathological condition in the hip, the test may be performed by passive extension of the hip while the knee is flexed as much as possible. The flexed knee position should be maintained for 45 to 60 seconds. Unilateral neurological pain in the lumbar area, buttock, and/or posterior thigh may indicate an L2 or L3 nerve root lesion. Pain in the anterior thigh indicates tight quadriceps muscles or stretching of the femoral nerve.

Hoffmann's sign – The examiner holds the individual's middle finger and briskly flicks the distal phalanx. A positive test is noted if the interphalangeal joint of the thumb of the same hand flexes.

Babinski's sign – The examiner runs a sharp instrument along the plantar surface of the foot from the calcaneus along the lateral border to the forefoot. A positive test occurs with extension of the great toe with flexion and splaying of the other toes. A negative test occurs with no movement of the toes at all or uniform bunching up of the toes.

Chaddock sign – The examiner strokes the lateral malleolus. A positive test occurs with extension of the great toe.

Red Flag Indications (SP-1.2)

SP.GG.0001.2.A

v1.0.2025

Red Flag Indications are intended to represent the potential for life or limb threatening conditions. Red Flag Indications are clinical situations in which localized spine pain and associated neurological features are likely to reflect serious underlying spinal and/or non-spinal disease and warrant exception to the requirement for documented failure of six weeks of provider-directed treatment. Advanced diagnostic imaging of the symptomatic level is indicated and/or work-up for a non-spinal source of spine pain for Red Flag Indications.

- Red Flag Indications include:
 - Motor Weakness
 - Aortic Aneurysm or Dissection
 - Cancer
 - Cauda Equina Syndrome
 - Fracture
 - Infection
 - Severe Radicular Pain

Motor Weakness

(See: Grading of Manual Muscle Testing and Reflex Testing in **General Guidelines** [SP-1.0])

History, Symptoms or Physical Exam Findings (In-person clinical evaluation for the current episode of the condition required)	Advanced Diagnostic Imaging
 Clinical presentation including one or more of the following: New onset motor weakness of grade 3/5 or less of specified muscle(s); New onset foot drop; New onset bilateral lower extremity weakness; Progressive objective motor /sensory/deep tendon reflex deficits on clinical re-evaluation. 	MRI of the relevant spinal level without contrast OR MRI of the relevant spinal level without and with contrast

Adult Spine Imaging Guidelines (For Ohio Only): CSRAD014OH.D

UnitedHealthcare Community Plan Coverage Determination Guideline

Effective: November 1, 2025 Page 58 of 166

Aortic Aneurysm or Dissection

History, Symptoms or Physical Exam Findings (In-person clinical evaluation for the current episode of the condition required)	Advanced Diagnostic Imaging
 New onset of back and/or abdominal pain in an individual with a known AAA; or Acute dissection is suspected. 	No spine imaging indicated, see: Aortic Disorders, Renal Vascular Disorders and Visceral Artery Aneurysms (PVD-6) in the Peripheral Vascular Disease Imaging Guidelines

Cancer

History, Symptoms or Physical Exam Findings (In-person clinical evaluation for the current episode of the condition required)	Advanced Diagnostic Imaging
 There is clinical suspicion of spinal malignancy AND ONE or more of the following: Night pain Uncontrolled or unintended weight loss Pain unrelieved by change in position Age >70 years Severe and worsening spinal pain despite a reasonable (generally after 1 week) trial of provider-directed treatment with re-evaluation 	 ONE of the following: MRI of the relevant spinal level without contrast OR MRI of the relevant spinal level without and with contrast OR CT of the relevant spinal level without contrast OR CT Myelogram of the relevant spinal level

History, Symptoms or Physical Exam Findings (In-person clinical evaluation for the current episode of the condition required)	Advanced Diagnostic Imaging
ANY of the following:	See: Spinal/Vertebral Metastases (ONC-31.6)
Known malignancy(ies) and acute spinal cord compression from primary or metastatic spinal neoplastic disease is suspected by history and physical examination	in the Oncology Imaging Guidelines
OR	
Individual with a known history of cancer AND metastatic or Stage IV cancer with new onset back pain	
OR	
Individual with known history of cancer AND back pain AND suspicion of spinal malignancy	

Cauda Equina Syndrome

History, Symptoms or Physical Exam Findings (In-person clinical evaluation for the current episode of the condition required)	Advanced Diagnostic Imaging
Clinical presentation including one or more of the following: • Acute onset of bilateral sciatica; • Perineal sensory loss ("saddle anesthesia"); • Decreased anal sphincter tone; • New onset bowel/bladder incontinence; • Otherwise unexplained acute urinary retention.	MRI Lumbar Spine without contrast (CPT® 72148) OR MRI Lumbar Spine without and with contrast (CPT® 72158)

Page 60 of 166

Fracture

History, Symptoms or Physical Exam Findings (In-person clinical evaluation for the current episode of the condition required)	Advanced Diagnostic Imaging	
 Clinical suspicion of a pathological spinal fracture. Advanced imaging is indicated after x-ray; no conservative treatment is needed. 	See: Spinal Compression Fractures (SP-11.1) for appropriate imaging studies	
 Clinical suspicion of a spinal fracture after trauma Advanced imaging is indicated after x-ray; no conservative treatment is needed. 	See: Neck (Cervical Spine) Trauma (SP-3.2), Upper Back (Thoracic Spine) Trauma (SP-4.2), or Low Back (Lumbar Spine) Trauma (SP-6.2) for appropriate imaging studies	
 Clinical suspicion of a spinal fracture related to ankylosing spondylitis or DISH Advanced imaging is indicated without x-ray or conservative treatment. 	See: Neck (Cervical Spine) Trauma (SP-3.2), Upper Back (Thoracic Spine) Trauma (SP-4.2), Low Back (Lumbar Spine) Trauma (SP-6.2), or Inflammatory Spondylitis (SP-10.2) for appropriate imaging studies	

Page 61 of 166

Infection

History, Symptoms or Physical Exam Advanced Diagnostic Imaging Findings (In-person clinical evaluation for the current episode of the condition required) There is a clinical suspicion of spinal infection (e.g., disc space infection, epidural abscess or spinal osteomyelitis) and one or more of the following: Fever: · History of IV drug use; Recent bacterial infection (UTIs, pyelonephritis, **ONE** of the following: pneumonia); MRI of the relevant spinal level • Recent spinal intervention (e.g., surgery, pain without and with contrast OR injection, or stimulator implantation); MRI without contrast **OR** Immunocompromised states; • 3-phase bone scan complete • Long term use of systemic glucocorticoids; spine **OR** Organ transplant recipient taking anti-rejection Gallium scan whole body OR medication; CT Spine area of interest with Diabetes mellitus; IV contrast **OR** HIV/AIDS; CT Spine area of interest Chronic dialysis; without IV contrast Immunosuppressant therapy; Neoplastic involvement of the spine; Laboratory values indicative of infection (e.g., elevated WBC, ESR, CRP, positive cultures); Decubitus ulcer or wound overlying spine; Abnormal x-ray or CT suspicious for infection **ONE** of the following: MRI of the relevant spinal level There is a clinical suspicion of spinal infection (e.g., without and with contrast **OR** disc space infection, epidural abscess or spinal osteomyelitis) and one or more of the following: MRI without contrast **OR** CT Spine area of interest with New neurologic deficit on physical examination IV contrast **OR** Cauda equina syndrome CT Spine area of interest without IV contrast

V1.0.2025

Severe Radicular Pain

e	All of the following must be present (In-person clinical valuation for the current episode of the condition required)	Advanced Diagnostic Imaging
•	Severe radicular pain in a specified spinal nerve root distribution (minimum 9/10 on the VAS); and Documented significant functional loss at work or at home;	
•	and Severity of pain unresponsive to a minimum of seven (7) days of provider-directed treatment; and	MRI of the relevant spinal level without contrast OR MRI without and with
•	Treatment plan includes one of the following:Transforaminal epidural steroid injection (TFESI) at any level(s); or	
	 Interlaminar epidural steroid injection (ILESI) at the cervical or thoracic levels; or 	contrast
	 A plan for urgent/emergent spinal surgery; or A plan for an urgent/emergent referral to/consultation from a spine specialist (Interventional Pain physician or Spine Surgeon) 	

Page 63 of 166

Effective: November 1, 2025

Definitions (SP-1.3)

SP.GG.0001.3.A

v1.0.2025

- Radiculopathy, for the purpose of this policy, is defined as the presence of pain
 resulting in significant functional limitations (i.e., diminished quality of life and
 impaired, age-appropriate activities of daily living), dysaesthesia(s) or paraesthesia(s)
 reported by the individual in a specified dermatomal distribution of an involved named
 spinal root(s) and ONE or MORE of the following:
 - Loss of strength of specific named muscle(s) or myotomal distribution(s) or demonstrated on detailed neurologic examination (within the prior 3 months), concordant with nerve root compression of the involved named spinal nerve root(s).
 - Altered sensation to light touch, pressure, pin prick or temperature demonstrated on a detailed neurologic examination (within the prior 3 months) in the sensory distribution concordant with nerve root compression of the involved named spinal nerve root(s).
 - Diminished, absent or asymmetric reflex(es) on a detailed neurologic examination (within the prior 3 months) concordant with nerve root compression of the involved named spinal nerve root(s).
 - Either of the following:
 - A concordant radiologist's interpretation of an advanced diagnostic imaging study (MRI or CT) of the spine demonstrating compression of the involved named spinal nerve root(s) or foraminal stenosis at the concordant level(s) (Performed within the prior 12 months).
 - Electrodiagnostic studies (EMG/NCV's) diagnostic of nerve root compression of the involved named spinal nerve root(s). (Performed within the prior 12 months).
- Radicular pain is pain which radiates to the upper or lower extremity along the course of a spinal nerve root, typically resulting from compression, inflammation and/ or injury to the nerve root.
- Radiculitis is defined, for the purpose of this policy, as radicular pain without objective neurological findings.

V1.0.2025

Evidence Discussion (SP-1)

v1.0.2025

Prior to advanced imaging, it is critical to perform a detailed history and physical examination in the evaluation of a patient for spinal pathology. Features of the clinical history and physical examination not only help in the formulation of a differential diagnosis but also influence decisions about diagnostic imaging. Also, as the more common findings on imaging studies are often nonspecific and nondiagnostic, clinical history and exam findings play a crucial role. These incidental findings may lead to unnecessary further diagnostic workup and additional negative downstream outcomes.

Multiple studies have shown most patients with acute neck or back pain will improve with 6 weeks of conservative care, however, conservative care would not be necessary for patients with red flag indications.

Risks associated with imaging include but are not limited to radiation exposure and contrast complications. Studies have also linked the increase rate of imaging with the increase rate of surgery and also found early magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) had an eightfold increased risk of surgery. It should also be of note that routine repeat advanced imaging for many spinal conditions has been shown to have limited value.

References (SP-1)

v1.0.2025

- 1. el Barzouhi A, Vleggeert-Lankamp C, Lycklama à Nijeholt GJ, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging in follow-up assessment of sciatica. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;368(11):999-1007. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa1209250.
- Deyo RA, Dieh AK, Rosenthal M. Reducing roentgenography use. Arch Intern Med. 1987;147(1):141-145. doi:10.1001/archinte.1987.00370010139029.
- 3. Deyo RA, Rainville J, Kent DL. What can the history and physical examination tell us about low back pain? *JAMA*. 1992; 268(6):760-765. doi:10.1001/jama.1992.03490060092030.
- 4. Panagopoulos J, Hush J, Steffens D, Hancock, MJ. Do MRI findings change over a period of up to 1 year in patients with low back pain and/or sciatica? *Spine*. 2017;42(7):504-512. doi:10.1097/BRS.000000000001790.
- 5. Fabiano V, Franchino G, Napolitano M, et. al. Utility of magnetic resonance imaging in the follow-up of children affected by actue osteomyelitis. *Curr Pediatr Res.* 2017;21(2):354-358.
- 6. Gilbert FJ, Grant AM, Gillan MG, et al. Low back pain: influence of early MR imaging or CT on treatment and outcome multicenter randomized trial. *Radiology*. 2004;231:343-351. doi:10.1148/radiol.2312030886.
- 7. Hoppenfeld S. Physical Examination of the Spine and Extremities. Upper Saddle River: Prentice Hall; 1976.
- Magee DJ. Orthopedic Physical Assessment. 4th ed. Philadelphia, PA:Saunders; 2002.
- 9. Hutchins TA, Peckham M, Shah LM, et. al. Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®: Low Back Pain. American College of Radiology (ACR); Date of Origin: 1996. Revised: 2021. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69483/Narrative/.
- 10. Patrick N, Emanski E, Knaub MA. Acute and chronic low back pain. Med Clin North Am. 2016;100(1):169-81.
- 11. Reinus WR. Clinician's Guide to Diagnostic Imaging. New York, NY: Springer; 2014. doi:10.1007/978-1-4614-8769-2.
- 12. Sharma H, Lee SWJ, Cole AA. The management of weakness caused by lumbar and lumbosacral nerve root compression. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2012;94-B(11):1442-1447. doi:10.1302/0301-620X.94B11.29148.
- 13. Stiell IG, Clement CM, McKnight RD, et al. The Canadian c-spine rule versus the NEXUS low-risk criteria in patients with trauma. *N Engl J Med*. 2003;349:2510-2518. doi:10.1056/NEJMoa031375.
- 14. Underwood M, Buchbinder R. Red flags for back pain. BMJ. 2013;347:f7432. doi:10.1136/bmj.f7432.
- 15. Verhagen A, Downie A, Popal N, et al. Red flags presented in current low back pain guidelines: a review. *Eur Spine J*. 2016; 25:2788-2802. doi:10.1007/s00586-016-4684-0.
- 16. Visconti AJ, Biddle J, Solomon M. Follow-up imaging for vertebral osteomyelitis a teachable moment. *JAMA*. 2014;174(2):184. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2013.12742.
- 17. Tsiang JT, Kinzy TG, Thompson N, et al. Sensitivity and specificity of patient-entered red flags for lower back pain. *The Spine Journal*. 2019;19(2):293-300. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2018.06.342.
- 18. Ortiz AO, Levit A, Shah LM, et. al. Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®: Suspected Spine Infection. American College of Radiology (ACR); Date of Origin: 2021. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3148734/Narrative/.
- 19. Naqvi U, Sherman Al. Muscle Strength Grading. In: StatPearls [Internet]. Treasure Island (FL): StatPearls Publishing; 2022. https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK436008/.
- 20. Le HV, Wick JB, Van BW, Klineberg EO. Diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis of the spine: pathophysiology, diagnosis, and management. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. 2021;29:1044-1051. doi:10.5435/JAAOS-D-20-01344.
- 21. Goodwin ML, Buchowski JM, Sciubba DM. Why x-rays? The importance of radiographs in spine surgery. *The Spine Journal*. 2022;22(11):1759-1767. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2022.07.102.
- 22. Chou R, Qaseem A, Snow V, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of low back pain: a joint clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians and the American Pain Society. *Ann Intern Med.* 2007;147:478-91.
- 23. Childress MA, Stuek SJ. Neck Pain: Initial Evaluation and Management. *Am Fam Physician*. 2020 Aug 1;102(3):150-156.
- 24. Mathieu J, Pasquier M, Descarreaux M, Marchand AA. Diagnosis Value of Patient Evaluation Components Applicable in Primary Care Settings for the Diagnosis of Low Back Pain: A Scoping Review of Systematic Reviews. *J Clin Med*. 2023;12(10):3581. Published 2023 May 21. doi:10.3390/jcm12103581.

Adult Spine Imaging Guidelines (For Ohio Only): CSRAD014OH.D

V1.0.2025

- 25. Chou R, Fu R, Carrino JA, et al. Imaging strategies for low-back pain: systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet. 2009;373:463-472.
- 26. Childress MA, Becker BA. Nonoperative management of cervical radiculopathy. Am Fam Physician. 2016;93(9):746-54.
- 27. Shubha SV, Deyo RA, Berger ZD. Application of "Less is More" to Low Back Pain. Arch Intern Med. 2012;172(13):1016-1020.
- 28. Webster BS, Cifuentes M. Relationship of early magnetic resonance imaging for work-related acute low back pain with disability and medical utilization outcomes. J Occup Environ Med. 2010;52:900-907.
- 29. Watson RE, Yu L. Safety Considerations in MRI and CT. Continuum (Minneap Minn). 2023 Feb 1;29(1):27-53.
- 30. Lee BS, Nault R, Grabowski M, et al. Utility of repeat magnetic resonance imaging in surgical patients with lumbar stenosis without disc herniation. Spine J. 2019;19(2):191-198.
- 31. Ries ZG, Glassman SD, Vasilyev I, Metcalfe L, Carreon LY. Updated imaging does not affect revision rates in adults undergoing spine surgery for lumbar degenerative disease. J Neurosurg Spine. 2018;30(2):228-223. Published 2018 Nov 16. doi:10.3171/2018.8.SPINE18586.
- 32. Linna NB, Zhang S, Faroogi AS, et al. Association of Thoracic MRI Findings With Specialty and Training. Global Spine Journal. 2024;14(5):1472-1476. doi:10.1177/21925682221143991.

V1.0.2025

Imaging Techniques (SP-2)

Guideline

Anatomic Guidelines (SP-2.1)

MRI of the Spine (SP-2.2)

CT of the Spine (SP-2.3)

CT/Myelography (SP-2.4)

Imaging of Intervertebral Discs (SP-2.5)

Ultrasound of the Spinal Canal (SP-2.6)

Limitations of Spinal Imaging in Degenerative Disorders (SP-2.7)

Miscellaneous Spinal Lesions (SP-2.8)

MRA Spinal Canal (SP-2.9)

Spine PET/CT (SP-2.10)

Cone-beam CT (SP-2.11)

3D Rendering (SP-2.12)

Evidence Discussion (SP-2)

References (SP-2)

Effective: November 1, 2025 Page 68 of 166

Anatomic Guidelines (SP-2.1)

SP.IM.0002.1.A

v1.0.2025

- Anatomic regions of the spine/pelvis that are included in the following MRI and CT advanced diagnostic imaging studies:
 - Cervical spine: from the skull base/foramen magnum through T1
 - Thoracic spine: from C7 through L1
 - Lumbar spine: from T12 through mid-sacrum
 - Pelvis: includes hips, sacroiliac joints, sacrum, coccyx
- CT or MRI cervical and thoracic spine will image the entire spinal cord since the end of the spinal cord or conus medullaris usually ends at L1 in adults. Therefore, lumbar spine imaging is not needed when the goal is to image only the spinal cord unless there is known or suspected low lying conus medullaris (e.g. tethered cord).
- The results of plain x-rays performed after the current episode of symptoms started or changed need to be available to the requesting provider of the advanced imaging study for the following conditions:
 - See: Spinal Compression Fractures (SP-11)
 - See: <u>Lumbar Spine Spondylolysis/Spondylolisthesis (SP-8)</u>
 - See: Inflammatory Spondylitis (SP-10.2)
 - See: <u>Neck (Cervical Spine) Trauma (SP-3.2)</u>, <u>Upper Back (Thoracic Spine)</u>
 <u>Trauma (SP-4.2)</u>, and <u>Low Back (Lumbar Spine) Trauma (SP-6.2)</u>
 - See: Coccydynia without Neurological Features (SP-5.2)
 - See: <u>Spinal Deformities (e.g. Scoliosis/Kyphosis) (SP-14)</u> and <u>Spinal</u>
 <u>Dysraphism (PEDSP-4)</u> in the Pediatric and Special Populations Spine Imaging
 Guidelines
 - See: <u>Sacro-Iliac (SI) Joint Pain, Inflammatory Spondylitis/Sacroiliitis and</u> <u>Fibromyalgia (SP-10)</u>
 - See: Post-Operative Spinal Disorders (SP-15)

MRI of the Spine (SP-2.2)

SP.IM.0002.2.A

v1.0.2025

- See: Procedure Codes Associated with Spine Imaging
- For MR Spectroscopy, all spine uses are considered not medically necessary.
 - See: <u>Imaging of Intervertebral Discs</u> (SP-2.5)
- MRI Spine is performed either without contrast, with contrast *or* without and with contrast. A "with contrast" study alone is indicated only to complete a study begun without contrast. Contrast is generally not indicated for most disc and nerve root disorders, fractures and degenerative disease.
- MRI Spine indications include:
 - Evaluation of disc disease, spinal cord and nerve root disorders and most other spinal conditions including evaluation of congenital anomalies of the spine and spinal cord
 - Suspicion for or surveillance of known spine/spinal canal/spinal cord neoplastic disease
 - Suspicion, diagnosis of or surveillance of spinal infections, multiple sclerosis or other causes of myelitis, syringomyelia, cauda equina syndrome or other "red flag" indications. See: Red Flag Indications (SP-1.2).
 - Preoperative evaluation to define abnormal or variant spinal anatomy that could influence the outcome of a potential surgical procedure. See: Prior to Spine **Surgery (SP-16.1)**.
 - Spinal imaging for individuals having undergone recent spinal surgery e.g., laminectomy, discectomy, spinal decompression, when history and physical examination is suspicious for hematoma, post-surgical infection, or cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) leak.

Positional MRI:

Positional MRI is also referred to as dynamic, weight-bearing or kinetic MRI. Currently, there is inadequate scientific evidence to support the medical necessity of this study. As such, it should be considered not medically necessary.

CT of the Spine (SP-2.3)

SP.IM.0002.3.A

v1.0.2025

- See: Procedure Codes Associated with Spine Imaging
- CT Spine indications include:
 - Contraindication to MRI
 - CT (contrast as requested) can be approved when ANY of the following MRI contraindications are documented:
 - Implanted ferromagnetic materials
 - Electronically, magnetically or mechanically activated implanted devices that are not determined by the manufacturer as MRI compatible/conditional
 - CT without contrast, or CT without and with contrast (even if MRI has already been performed), for any spinal trauma/fractures, especially spinal trauma/fractures that could result in spinal instability and spinal cord/spinal nerve compression
 - CT without contrast, or CT without and with contrast (even if MRI has already been performed), for spinal neoplastic disease – primary or metastatic
 - CT without contrast, or CT without and with contrast (even if MRI has already been performed), in conjunction with myelography or discography (see: <u>CT/ Myelography [SP-2.4]</u> and <u>Imaging of Intervertebral Discs [SP-2.5]</u>)
 - CT without contrast, or CT without and with contrast (even if MRI has already been performed), for preoperative evaluation to define abnormal or variant bony spinal anatomy that could influence the outcome of a potential surgical procedure (see: Prior to Spine Surgery [SP-16.1])
 - CT without contrast, or CT without and with contrast, (even if MRI has already been performed), to assess spinal fusions when pseudoarthrosis is suspected (not to be used for routine post-operative assessment where x-rays are sufficient and/or there are no concordant clinical signs or symptoms)
 - CT without contrast, or CT without and with contrast (even if MRI has already been performed), for congenital, developmental or acquired spinal deformity (see: <u>Spinal Deformities [e.g. Scoliosis/Kyphosis] [SP-14]</u>)
 - CT without contrast, or CT without and with contrast, for spondylolysis when routine x-rays are negative and/or MRI is equivocal, indeterminate or nondiagnostic (see: <u>Lumbar Spine Spondylolysis/Spondylolisthesis [SP-8]</u>)
 - CT without contrast, or CT without and with contrast, to evaluate calcified lesions,
 (e.g., osteophytes, ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament [OPLL])

V1.0.2025

CT/Myelography (SP-2.4)

SP.IM.0002.4.A

v1.0.2025

- See: Procedure Codes Associated with Spine Imaging
- CT/Myelography is generally unnecessary as an initial study when a diagnostic quality MRI has been obtained.
- CT/Myelography indications include:
 - To clarify equivocal, indeterminate or non-diagnostic MRI findings or to further evaluate the significance of multiple spinal abnormalities
 - When an MRI is contraindicated (see: CT of the Spine [SP-2.3])
 - Preoperative planning for spine surgery, (e.g., multilevel spinal stenosis or when a previous MRI is insufficient, equivocal, indeterminate or non-diagnostic) (see: <u>Prior</u> <u>to Spine Surgery (SP-16.1)</u>)
 - Evaluation after previous spinal surgery when an MRI without and with contrast is contraindicated or MRI results are equivocal, indeterminate or non-diagnostic
 - The guidelines allow for the approval of the post-myelogram CT (i.e., CPT[®] 72126, CPT[®] 72129, and CPT[®] 72132) only and not any other myelogram-related procedure codes (i.e., CPT[®] 72265 or CPT[®] 62284).

Imaging of Intervertebral Discs (SP-2.5)

SP.IM.0002.5.A

v1.0.2025

Post-lumbar Discography CT:

- The guidelines allow for the post-lumbar discography CT procedure codes only and do not include any other discography-related procedure codes. A postlumbar discography CT is considered medically necessary following an approved discography and ALL of the following apply:
 - A post-discography CT is coded as without contrast.
 - A CT Lumbar Spine without contrast (CPT® 72131) is indicated if verified to be performed as a post-discography CT.
 - When a post-discography CT is requested and the discography has already been approved, authorization will be issued for the post-discography CT procedure codes.

Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy:

- Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy (MRS) involves the analysis of the levels of certain chemicals in pre-selected voxels (small regions) on an MRI scan done at the same time.
 - MRS (CPT[®] 76390, 0609T, 0610T, 0611T, and 0612T) is considered not medically necessary for all spine imaging uses at this time.

Background and Supporting Information

- Provocative Discography/CT and MR Spectroscopy lumbar spine are procedures purported to diagnose (or rule-out) a discogenic "pain generator" i.e., the source of non-specific axial spinal pain. These diagnostic studies, when reported as positive, are often used as an indication for spinal fusion in individuals with non-specific axial back pain.
- The following uses of discography are considered controversial:
 - To identify a symptomatic pseudoarthrosis in a failed spinal fusion
 - To identify which of two herniated discs seen on MRI is symptomatic when not determined clinically or otherwise
 - To confirm the discogenic nature of pain in an individual with an abnormal disc seen on MRI and to rule out pain from an adjacent disc level
 - To confirm the presumptive diagnosis of "internal disc disruption"
 - Discography of the cervical and/or thoracic spine
- The following uses of MR Spectroscopy lumbar spine are considered controversial:

V1.0.2025

- To identify which of two herniated discs seen on MRI is symptomatic when not determined clinically or otherwise
- To confirm the discogenic nature of pain in an individual with an abnormal disc seen on MRI and to rule out pain from an adjacent disc level
- To confirm the presumptive diagnosis of "internal disc disruption"

Ultrasound of the Spinal Canal (SP-2.6)

SP.IM.0002.6.A

v1.0.2025

- Spinal canal ultrasound (CPT[®] 76800) describes the evaluation of the spinal cord (canal and contents) most often performed in newborns, infants, young children and intraoperatively.
- CPT® 76800 describes evaluation of the entire spine and should not be reported multiple times for imaging of different areas of the spinal canal.
- CPT® 76998, rather than CPT® 76800, should be used to report intraoperative spinal canal ultrasound (ultrasonic guidance). Intraoperative use of spinal ultrasound (CPT® 76998) would not require prior authorization.

Indications for spinal canal ultrasound (CPT® 76800):

- This study is generally limited to infants, newborns and young children because
 of incomplete ossification of the vertebral segments surrounding the spinal cord,
 including the assessment of CSF in the spinal canal and for image-guided lumbar
 puncture.
- When ossification of the vertebral segments is incomplete for evaluation of suspected or known tethered cord (see: <u>Tethered Cord [PEDSP-5]</u> in the Pediatric and Special Populations Spine Imaging Guidelines).
- Evaluation of suspected occult and non-occult spinal dysraphism (see: <u>Spinal</u> <u>Dysraphism [PEDSP-4]</u> in the Pediatric and Special Populations Spine Imaging Guidelines).
- Evaluation of spinal cord tumors, vascular malformations and cases of birth-related trauma.
- Contraindicated for use in the adult spine for the assessment of spinal pain, radiculopathy, facet inflammation, nerve root inflammation, disc herniation, and soft tissue conditions surrounding the adult spine other than for superficial masses.

Limitations of Spinal Imaging in Degenerative Disorders (SP-2.7)

SP.IM.0002.7.A

v1.0.2025

- Non-specific axial spinal pain is ubiquitous. Advanced diagnostic imaging infrequently identifies the source of the spinal pain (pain generator).
- Incidental findings on MRI and CT, including bulging, protruding, extruding or herniated discs, are often non-concordant, asymptomatic and increase in incidence as the spine ages.
- In individuals with poorly defined clinical presentations, "abnormal" spinal advanced diagnostic imaging results are infrequently clinically concordant, significant, material or substantive and may even lead to inappropriate treatment.
- Performing advanced spinal imaging based only on the presence of spinal degenerative findings identified on x-rays is not generally indicated in individuals who are either asymptomatic or present with non-specific axial spinal pain.

Miscellaneous Spinal Lesions (SP-2.8)

SP.IM.0002.8.A

v1.0.2025

Vertebral body hemangiomas:

- Vertebral body hemangiomas are common and are generally benign and incidental findings on plain x-rays and advanced diagnostic imaging studies.
- If the appearance of a vertebral body hemangioma is typical on plain x-ray, further spinal advanced diagnostic imaging is not usually required, unless there are associated neurologic symptoms or signs on physical examination.
- If the appearance of a vertebral body hemangioma is atypical on plain x-ray, with or without neurological signs or symptoms on physical exam, MRI without contrast or MRI without and with contrast is indicated.
- Occasionally, MRI may be equivocal, indeterminate or non-diagnostic and CT without contrast of the spinal area is indicated to help clarify the diagnosis.
- No follow-up imaging is necessary once the diagnosis of a vertebral body hemangioma is established without neurological features.

Tarlov cysts:

- Tarlov cysts are most often cystic dilatations of nerve root sleeves in the lumbar spine and sacrum.
- Controversy exists as to whether Tarlov cysts can result in neurologic signs and symptoms but they can result in erosion of the adjacent bone.
- Usually Tarlov cysts are benign, incidental findings on advanced diagnostic imaging studies. Further evaluation of a known or suspected Tarlov cyst can be performed with an MRI Lumbar Spine without and with contrast study (CPT® 72158) or CT/ Myelography Lumbar Spine (CPT® 72132).

Other spinal lesions:

- MRI without and with contrast or a CT without contrast is indicated if:
 - Other spinal lesions are seen on routine x-rays or a non-contrast MRI; and
 - These additional advanced imaging studies are recommended by a spine specialist or radiologist to further characterize or diagnose the lesion; or
 - Required for surgical planning.

MRA Spinal Canal (SP-2.9)

SP.IM.0002.9.A

v1.0.2025

- MR angiography (MRA) Spine imaging is utilized infrequently.
- Cerebrospinal Fluid (CSF) flow studies using MRI are included in CPT[®] codes 70551, 70552, and 70553 and should not be coded or reported separately.

Indications may include:

- Suspected spinal cord arteriovenous malformation (AVM) or arteriovenous fistula (AVF):
 - MRI Spine of the relevant spine region without and with contrast should be the initial imaging study.
 - If suspicion for a spinal AVM or AVF is high based upon the results of the MRI Spine, catheter angiography is recommended (CPT® 72159 or CPT® 70496).
- Subarachnoid hemorrhage where no brain aneurysm has been previously identified
 - Catheter angiography (CPT® 70496) should be performed and is the most definitive study to define possible spinal pathology resulting in a spinal canal subarachnoid hemorrhage.
 - See: <u>General Guidelines CT and MR Angiography (CTA and MRA) (HD-1.5)</u>
 in the Head Imaging Guidelines
 - See: <u>Intracranial Aneurysms (HD-12.1)</u> in the Head Imaging Guidelines
- · Preoperative planning
 - MRA Spinal canal may be useful in identifying major intercostal feeder vessels to the spinal cord prior to surgical procedures that might interfere with this blood supply. However, catheter angiography (CPT® 72159) is generally a more definitive study for this purpose.

V1.0.2025

Spine PET/CT (SP-2.10)

SP.IM.0002.10.A

v1.0.2025

- At the present time there is controversy regarding spine PET/CT due to inadequate scientific evidence to support the medical necessity of PET/CT for the routine assessment of spinal disorders, other than for neoplastic disease.
- See: <u>Spinal/Vertebral Metastases (ONC-31.6)</u> in the Oncology Imaging Guidelines
- Spine PET/CT should be considered not medically necessary.

V1.0.2025

Cone-beam CT (SP-2.11)

SP.IM.0002.11.A

v1.0.2025

 Cone-beam CT for imaging of the cervical spine should be considered not medically necessary.

V1.0.2025

3D Rendering (SP-2.12)

SP.IM.0002.12.A

v1.0.2025

• See: 3D Rendering (MS-3) in the Musculoskeletal Imaging Guidelines

Evidence Discussion (SP-2)

v1.0.2025

- X-rays are first line imaging for suspected inflammatory spine disease, following trauma, concerns of spinal deformities, or post-operative spinal disorders. Although x-rays may not confirm a definitive diagnosis, they provide information that can better direct advanced imaging modalities.
- MRI utilizes a magnetic field and radio waves with computer processing to produce detailed images which have excellent soft tissue characterization and is the primary modality for evaluating the spinal cord, intervertebral disc disease and other soft tissue pathology of the spine. Positional MRI and MR Spectroscopy lacks sufficient scientific evidence to support its routine clinical use.
- CT is indicated as an alternative to MRI when MRI is contraindicated or equivocal. CT
 is also indicated for evaluation of bony pathology including but not limited to fractures,
 bony neoplastic disease, calcified lesions, post traumatic and perioperative bony
 processes. Following lumbar discography CT may be approved to evaluate disc
 anatomy.
- CT/Myelography provides indirect visualization of the thecal sack in the spinal canal.
 MRI is the primary indicated advanced imaging for these indications as the cord,
 thecal sac, and spinal canal can be directly visualized. CT/Myelography may be
 indicated when MRI is indeterminate or contraindicated.
- Ultrasound can be used to visualize the spinal canal in young children before the posterior elements ossify. Ultrasound will not penetrate ossified bone.
- MRA has limited indications in spine imaging but may be appropriate for evaluating spinal vascular malformations.
- There is inadequate scientific evidence to support the medical necessity of PET/CT for the routine assessment of spinal disorders, other than for neoplastic disease.
- There is inadequate scientific evidence to support the medical necessity of cone beam CT for the routine assessment of spinal disorders outside of the operative setting which is outside the coverage of these guidelines.

References (SP-2)

v1.0.2025

- 1. American Academy of Neurology. Review of the literature on spinal ultrasound for the evaluation of back pain and radicular disorders. Report of the Therapeutics and Technology Assessment Subcommittee of the American Academy of Neurology. *Neurology*. 1998; 51:343-344. Reaffirmed July 13, 2013.
- 2. Weishaupt D, Schmid MR, Zanetti M, et al. Positional MR imaging of the lumbar spine: does it demonstrate nerve root compromise not visible at conventional MR imaging? *Radiology*. 2000;215:247-253.
- 3. Zhang L, Zeitoun D, Rangel A, et al. Preoperative evaluation of the cervical spondylotic myelopathy with flexion-extension magnetic resonance imaging. *Spine Journal*. 2011; 36(17): E1134-E1139.
- 4. Deyo RA, Dieh AK, Rosenthal M. Reducing roentgenography use. *Arch Intern Med.* 1987;147(1):141-145. doi:10.1001/archinte.1987.00370010139029.
- North American Spine Society (NASS). Diagnosis and treatment of lumbar disc herniation with radiculopathy. Technical Report. 2012. Available at: https://www.spine.org/researchclinicalcare/qualityimprovement/clinicalquidelines.aspx.
- Czuczman GJ, Mandell JC, Wessell DE, et. al. Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal imaging. ACR Appropriateness Criteria[®] Inflammatory Back Pain: Known or Supected Axial Spondyloarthritis. Available at http:// acsearch.acr.org/docs/3094107/Narrative/. American College of Radiology. Revised: 2021.
- 7. Boas SR. Kyphoscoliosis: Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis and Congenital Scoliosis. In: Kliegman RM, Behrman RE, Jenson HB, et al, eds. Nelson Textbook of Pediatrics. 18th ed. Philadelphia, PA: *Elsevier*, 2007:1843-1844,
- Corona-Cedillo R, Saavedra-Navarrete MT, Espinoza-Garcia JJ, Mendoza-Aguilar AN, Ternovoy SK, Roldan-Valadez E. Imaging Assessment of the Postoperative Spine: An Updated Pictorial Review of Selected Complications. *Biomed Res Int.* 2021 May 18;2021:9940001. doi: 10.1155/2021/9940001. PMID: 34113681; PMCID: PMC8154286.
- 9. ACR Practice Parameter for Performing and Interpreting Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI). Revised 2017. (Resolution 10). Available at: https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/MR-Perf-Interpret.pdf
- 10. McDonald M, Kirsch C, Amin B, et. al. Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®: Cervical Neck Pain or Cervical Radiculopathy. American College of Radiology (ACR); Revised: 2018.
- 11. Agarwal V, Shah LM, Parsons MS, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria[®] Myelopathy. Available at https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69484/Narrative/. American College of Radiology. Revised 2020.
- 12. ACR Practice Parameter for Performing and Interpreting Diagnostic Computed Tomography (CT). Revised 2017. (Resolution 22). Available at: https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/CT-Perf-Interpret.pdf.
- 13. Beckmann NM, West OC, Nunez Jr D, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®Suspected Spine Trauma. Available at https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/ 69359/Narrative/. American College of Radiology. Revised 2018.
- 14. Weissman BN, Palestro CJ, Fox MG, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria[®] Imaging After Total Hip Arthroplasty. Available at https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3094200/Narrative/. American College of Radiology. Revised 2023.
- 15. Bestic JM, Wessell DE, Beaman FD, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Primary Bone Tumors. Available at https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69421/Narrative/ American College of Radiology. Revised 2019.
- Harsh GR 4th, Sypert GW, Weinstein PR, Ross DA, Wilson CB. Cervical spine stenosis secondary to ossification of the posterior longitudinal ligament. *J Neurosurg*. 1987 Sep;67(3):349-57. doi: 10.3171/jns.1987.67.3.0349. PMID: 3112327.
- 17. ACR ASNR SPR Practice Parameter for the Performance of Myelography and Cisternography. Revised 2019
- 18. Patel DM, Weinberg BD, Hoch MJ. CT Myelography: Clinical Indications and Imaging Findings. *Radiographics*. 2020 Mar-Apr;40(2):470-484. doi: 10.1148/rg.2020190135. Epub 2020 Feb 14. PMID: 32058837.
- 19. Xi MA, Tong HC, Fahim DK, Perez-Cruet M. Using Provocative Discography and Computed Tomography to Select Patients with Refractory Discogenic Low Back Pain for Lumbar Fusion Surgery. *Cureus*. 2016 Feb 27;8(2):e514. doi: 10.7759/cureus.514. PMID: 27026838; PMCID: PMC4807919.
- Jakoniuk M, Kochanowicz J, Lankau A, Wilkiel M, Socha K. Concentration of Selected Macronutrients and Toxic Elements in the Blood in Relation to Pain Severity and Hydrogen Magnetic Resonance Spectroscopy in People with Osteoarthritis of the Spine. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022 Sep 9;19(18):11377. doi: 10.3390/ ijerph191811377. PMID: 36141646; PMCID: PMC9517490.

Adult Spine Imaging Guidelines (For Ohio Only): CSRAD014OH.D

UnitedHealthcare Community Plan Coverage Determination Guideline

Effective: November 1, 2025 Page 83 of 166

21.	Rees MA, Squires JH, Coley BD, Hoehne B, Ho ML. Ultrasound of congenital spine anomalies. <i>P</i> 2021 Dec;51(13):2442-2457. doi: 10.1007/s00247-021-05178-6. Epub 2021 Sep 16. PMID: 3453	
22.	Pattany PM, Saraf-Lavi E, Bowen BC. MR angiography of the spine and spinal cord. <i>Top Magn Re</i> 2003;14(6):444-460. doi:10.1097/00002142-200312000-00003. Dec 1;14(6):444-60.	eson Imaging.
	2000,11(0),1111 1001 0011111 1011111 1111 1111 1	
Adult	Spine Imaging Guidelines (For Ohio Only):	
		vember 1, 2025

Neck (Cervical Spine) Pain Without/With Neurological Features (Including Stenosis) and Trauma (SP-3)

Guideline

Neck (Cervical Spine) Pain without and with Neurological Features (Including Stenosis) (SP-3.1)

Neck (Cervical Spine) Trauma (SP-3.2) Evidence Discussion (SP-3) References (SP-3)

Effective: November 1, 2025 Page 85 of 166

Neck (Cervical Spine) Pain without and with Neurological Features (Including Stenosis) (SP-3.1)

SP.NP.0003.1.A

v1.0.2025

All of the following are required prior to advanced imaging:

- An in-person clinical evaluation for the current episode of the condition is required
 to have been performed before advanced imaging is considered. This may have
 been either the initial clinical evaluation or a clinical re-evaluation (see also: <u>General</u>
 <u>Guidelines (SP-1.0)</u>).
- Failure of recent (within 12 weeks) 6-week trial of provider-directed treatment (unless
 presence of a red flag as defined in <u>Red Flag Indications (SP-1.2)</u>)
- Clinical re-evaluation after treatment period (may consist of an in-person evaluation or other meaningful contact (see also: <u>General Guidelines (SP-1.0)</u>)

Advanced Diagnostic Imaging:	MRI Cervical Spine, without contrast (CPT® 72141)
Comments:	CT Cervical Spine without contrast (CPT® 72125) OR CT Myelography (CPT® 72126) is indicated when MRI is contraindicated.

Page 86 of 166

Neck (Cervical Spine) Trauma (SP-3.2)

SP.NP.0003.2.A

v1.0.2025

All of the following are required prior to advanced imaging:

- An in-person clinical evaluation for the current episode of the condition is required
 to have been performed before advanced imaging is considered. This may have
 been either the initial clinical evaluation or a clinical re-evaluation (see also: <u>General</u>
 <u>Guidelines (SP-1.0)</u>).
- Failure of recent (within 12 weeks) 6-week trial of provider-directed treatment (unless presence of a red flag as defined in **Red Flag Indications (SP-1.2)**)
- Clinical re-evaluation after treatment period (may consist of an in-person evaluation or other meaningful contact (see also: **General Guidelines (SP-1.0)**)
- Results of plain x-rays of the cervical spine performed after the current episode of symptoms started or changed need to be available to the requesting provider (not required for high risk mechanisms as below**)

Adult Spine Imaging Guidelines (For Ohio Only): CSRAD014OH.D

UnitedHealthcare Community Plan Coverage Determination Guideline

Effective: November 1, 2025 Page 87 of 166

**High risk factors of suspected cervical spine injury may include:

- · Long term use of systemic glucocorticoids
- · History of prior low energy fractures
- History of low bone mineral density
- Age ≥65 years
- Head trauma and/or maxillofacial trauma
- · Pedestrian in a motor vehicle accident
- Fall from elevation ≥3 feet/5 stairs
- Diving accident
- Head-on motor vehicle collision without/with airbag deployment
- Rollover motor vehicle collision
- Ejection from the vehicle in a motor vehicle collision
- High speed of the vehicle at the time of collision
- Not wearing a seatbelt/shoulder harness in a motor vehicle collision
- Minor direct/indirect trauma to the cervical spine/maxillofacial areas in individuals with ankylosing spondylitis or DISH

Background and Supporting Information

- Pain radiation patterns from the cervical spine area into the thoracic spine area do not necessarily justify the addition of thoracic spine advanced diagnostic imaging.
- Cervical radiculopathy is often confused with shoulder disorders, brachial plexopathy, peripheral nerve entrapment and/or motor/sensory neuropathies. Electrodiagnostic testing (EMGs/NCVs) is generally used to confirm, not establish, a diagnosis of peripheral nerve entrapment and/or a motor/sensory neuropathy based upon history and physical examination findings. Electrodiagnostic testing is often considered when advanced imaging of the spine does not reveal neurocompressive pathology and/or after 6 weeks of unimproved symptoms of extremity pain, weakness, numbness and/or tingling.
- Individuals with ankylosing spondylitis or DISH are at high risk of cervical spine fractures even with minor direct/indirect trauma to the cervical spine which can result in quadriparesis/quadriplegia

V1.0.2025

Evidence Discussion (SP-3)

v1.0.2025

X-rays provide critical information that cannot be obtained with advanced imaging modalities and remain central to providing optimal care for spine patients. Unnecessary CT scans increase patients' radiation exposure, however, is the initial imaging for patients involved in trauma with a high-risk factor for cervical spine injury. Additionally, for patients with diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis (DISH) or ankylosing spondylitis with a history of low-energy trauma, whole spine MRI or CT imaging is mandatory due to the high prevalence of acute fractures and the low specificity for fracture detection on radiographs. The American College of Radiology (ACR) Appropriateness Criteria for Cervical Neck Pain or Cervical Radiculopathy (revised 2018) indicates that in the absence of red flag symptoms, early advanced imaging may not be required as abnormal findings are not uncommon in asymptomatic patients and correlate poorly with the presence of neck pain.

References (SP-3)

v1.0.2025

- 1. Thompson WL, Stiell IG, Clement CM, et al. Association of injury mechanism with the risk of cervical spine fractures. *CJEM*. 2009;11(1):14-22.
- 2. Bogduk N, Karasek M. Precision diagnosis and treatment of back and neck pain. *Continuum: Pain and Palliative Care*. 2005;11(6):94-136.
- 3. Levin KH, Covington ED, Devereaux MW, et al. Neck and back pain part A. Continuum. 2001;7(1):142-151.
- 4. Werner, B, Samartzis, D, Shen, F. Spinal fractures in patients with ankylosing spondylitis: etiology, diagnosis and management. *JAAOS*. 2016;24(4):241-249.
- 5. Koivikko MP, Koskinen SK. MRI of cervical spine injuries complicating ankylosing spondylitis. *Skeletal Radiology*. 2008;37(9):813-819.
- Hoffman JR, Mower WR, Wolfson AB, Todd KH, Zucker MI. Validity of a set of clinical criteria to rule out injury to the cervical spine in patients with blunt trauma. National Emergency X-Radiography. Utilization Study Group. N Engl J Med. 2000;343(2):94-99.
- Beckmann NM, West OC, Nunez Jr D, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®Suspected Spine Trauma. Available at https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/ 69359/Narrative/. American College of Radiology. Revised 2018.
- 8. Newman JS, Weissman BN, Angevine PD, et. al. Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging. ACR Appropriateness Criteria[®]: Chronic Neck Pain. American College of Radiology (ACR); Date of Origin: 1998. Revised: 2018. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69426/Narrative/.
- 9. Bernard SA, Kransdorf MJ, Beaman FD, et. al. Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®: Chronic Back Pain: Suspected Sacroiliitis/Spondyloarthropathy. American College of Radiology (ACR); Date of Origin: 2016. Revised: 2021. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3094107/Narrative/.
- Lantsman CD, Barkay G, Friedlander A, Barbi M, Stern M, Eshed I. Whole spine CT scan for the detection of acute spinal fractures in Diffuse Idiopathic Skeletal Hyperostosis patients who sustained low-energy trauma. Spine. 2020;45(19):1348-1353. doi:10.1097/BRS.00000000003536.
- 11. Saragiotto BT, Maher CG, Lin CC, Verhagen AP, Goergen S, Michaleff ZA. Canadian C#spine rule and the National Emergency X#Radiography Utilization Study (NEXUS) for detecting clinically important cervical spine injury following blunt trauma. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev.* 2018;2018(4):CD012989. doi:10.1002/14651858.CD012989.
- 12. Le HV, Wick JB, Van BW, Klineberg EO. Diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis of the spine: pathophysiology, diagnosis, and management. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. 2021;29:1044-1051. doi:10.5435/JAAOS-D-20-01344.
- 13. Childress MA, Becker BA. Nonoperative management of cervical radiculopathy. *Am Fam Physician*. 2016;93(9):746-54.
- 14. McDonald M, Kirsch C, Amin B, et. al. Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®: Cervical Neck Pain or Cervical Radiculopathy. American College of Radiology (ACR); Revised: 2018.
- 15. Brinjikji W, Luetmer PH, Comstock B, et al. Systematic literature review of imaging features of spinal degeneration in asymptomatic populations. *AJNR Am J Neuroradiol*. 2015;36:811-816. doi:10.3174/ajnr.A4173
- 16. Matsumoto M, Fujimura Y, Suzuki N, Nishi Y, Nakamura M, Yabe Y, Shiga H. MRI of cervical intervertebral discs in asymptomatic subjects. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 1998 Jan;80(1):19-24.
- 17. Goodwin ML, Buchowski JM, Sciubba DM. Why X-rays? The importance of radiographs in spine surgery. *Spine J.* 2022 Nov;22(11):1759-1767.
- 18. Baker M, Jaeger C, Hafley C, Waymack J. Appropriate CT cervical spine utilisation in the emergency department. *BMJ Open Qual*. 2020 Oct;9(4):e000844. doi: 10.1136/bmjoq-2019-000844. PMID: 33028655; PMCID: PMC7542617.

Upper Back (Thoracic Spine) Pain Without/With Neurological Features (Including Stenosis) and Trauma (SP-4)

Guideline

Upper Back (Thoracic Spine) Pain without and with Neurological Features (Including Stenosis) (SP-4.1) Upper Back (Thoracic Spine) Trauma (SP-4.2) Evidence Discussion (SP-4) References (SP-4)

Effective: November 1, 2025 Page 91 of 166

Upper Back (Thoracic Spine) Pain without and with Neurological Features (Including Stenosis) (SP-4.1)

SP.TS.0004.1.A

v1.0.2025

All of the following are required prior to advanced imaging:

- · An in-person clinical evaluation for the current episode of the condition is required to have been performed before advanced imaging is considered. This may have been either the initial clinical evaluation or a clinical re-evaluation (see also: General Guidelines (SP-1.0)).
- Failure of recent (within 12 weeks) 6-week trial of provider-directed treatment (unless presence of a red flag as defined in **Red Flag Indications (SP-1.2)**).
- Clinical re-evaluation after treatment period (may consist of an in-person evaluation or other meaningful contact (see also: General Guidelines (SP-1.0)).

Advanced Diagnostic Imaging:	MRI Thoracic Spine without contrast (CPT® 72146)
Comments:	A CT Thoracic spine without contrast (CPT® 72128) OR CT Myelography (CPT® 72129) is indicated when MRI is contraindicated.

Page 92 of 166

Upper Back (Thoracic Spine) Trauma (SP-4.2)

SP.TS.0004.2.A

v1.0.2025

All of the following are required prior to advanced imaging:

- An in-person clinical evaluation for the current episode of the condition is required to have been performed before advanced imaging is considered. This may have been either the initial clinical evaluation or a clinical re-evaluation (see also: <u>General</u> <u>Guidelines (SP-1.0)</u>).
- Failure of recent (within 12 weeks) 6-week trial of provider-directed treatment (unless presence of a red flag as defined in **Red Flag Indications (SP-1.2)**).
- Clinical re-evaluation after treatment period (may consist of an in-person evaluation or other meaningful contact (see also: <u>General Guidelines (SP-1.0)</u>).
- Results of plain x-rays of thoracic spine performed after the current episode of symptoms started or changed need to be available to the requesting provider

Advanced Diagnostic Imaging:	MRI Thoracic Spine without contrast (CPT® 72146) OR CT Thoracic Spine without contrast (CPT® 72128)
Comments:	For individuals with ankylosing spondylitis or DISH (diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis), both MRI of the whole spine (CPT® 72141, 72146, and/or 72148) AND CT of the whole spine (CPT® 72125, 72128, and/or 72131) can be approved. For individuals with ankylosing spondylitis or DISH (diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis) plain x-rays and a 6 week trial of provider-directed treatment and clinical evaluation are NOT required

Background and Supporting Information

- Thoracic radiculopathy presents with pain radiation from the thoracic spine around the trunk. At upper thoracic spine levels, the pain radiation is from the thoracic spine around the rib cage following the sensory distribution of an intercostal nerve.
- Advanced diagnostic imaging is generally not indicated in evaluation of axial low back pain with radiation toward the thoracic region unless there are documented clinical features indicating a thoracic spine disorder.

Adult Spine Imaging Guidelines (For Ohio Only): CSRAD014OH.D

Effective: November 1, 2025 Page 93 of 166

Evidence Discussion (SP-4)

v1.0.2025

The precision in identifying thoracic spine diseases is dependent on a meticulous association with the patient's clinical examination and medical history, because the usual observations from imaging studies are frequently ambiguous and non-conclusive. Wood et al. demonstrated that asymptomatic patients may exhibit positive findings on thoracic spine MRI at a rate as high as 70%. For patients with atraumatic thoracic back pain, data from Linna et al. supports initial conservative management followed by evaluation by a surgical specialist before ordering a thoracic spine MRI. Red flag indications, however, obviate the need for conservative care. Regarding the value of x-rays, Goodwin et al. stated that plain films provide critical information that cannot be obtained with other imaging modalities, and they remain central to providing optimal care for spine patients. When there is a history of blunt trauma and a high-risk factor for thoracic spine injury, however, CT imaging is appropriate for initial imaging. Additionally, for patients with diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis (DISH) or ankylosing spondylitis with a history of low-energy trauma, whole spine MRI or CT imaging is mandatory due to the high prevalence of acute fractures and the low specificity for fracture detection on radiographs.

References (SP-4)

v1.0.2025

- 1. Nadgir R, Yousem DM. Neuroradiology: the requisites. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier; 2017.
- 2. Beckmann NM, West OC, Nunez Jr D, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®Suspected Spine Trauma. Available at https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/ 69359/Narrative/. American College of Radiology. Revised 2018.
- 3. Lantsman CD, Barkay G, Friedlander A, Barbi M, Stern M, Eshed I. Whole spine CT scan for the detection of acute spinal fractures in Diffuse Idiopathic Skeletal Hyperostosis patients who sustained low-energy trauma. *Spine*. 2020;45(19):1348-1353. doi:10.1097/BRS.000000000003536.
- 4. Le HV, Wick JB, Van BW, Klineberg EO. Diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis of the spine: pathophysiology, diagnosis, and management. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. 2021;29:1044-1051. doi:10.5435/JAAOS-D-20-01344.
- 5. Linna NB, Zhang S, Farooqi AS, et al. Association of Thoracic MRI Findings With Specialty and Training. *Global Spine Journal*. 2024;14(5):1472-1476. doi:10.1177/21925682221143991.
- 6. Wood KB, Garvey TA, Gundry C, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging of the thoracic spine. Evaluation of asymptomatic individuals. *J Bone Jt Surg.* 1995;77:1631-1638.
- Goodwin ML, Buchowski JM, Sciubba DM. Why X-rays? The importance of radiographs in spine surgery. Spine J. 2022 Nov;22(11):1759-1767.
- 8. Inaba K, Nosanov L, Menaker J, et al. Prospective derivation of a clinical decision rule for thoracolumbar spine evaluation after blunt trauma: An American Association for the Surgery of Trauma Multi-Institutional Trials Group Study. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 2015;78:459-65; discussion 65-7.

Low Back (Lumbar Spine) Pain/Coccydynia without Neurological Features (SP-5)

Guideline

Low Back (Lumbar Spine) Pain without Neurological Features (SP-5.1) Coccydynia without Neurological Features (SP-5.2) Evidence Discussion (SP-5) References (SP-5)

Low Back (Lumbar Spine) Pain without **Neurological Features (SP-5.1)**

SP.LB.0005.1.A

v1.0.2025

All of the following are required prior to advanced imaging:

- An in-person clinical evaluation for the current episode of the condition is required to have been performed before advanced imaging is considered. This may have been either the initial clinical evaluation or a clinical re-evaluation (see also: General Guidelines (SP-1.0).
- Failure of recent (within 12 weeks) 6-week trial of provider-directed treatment (unless presence of a red flag as defined in **Red Flag Indications (SP-1.2)**).
- Clinical re-evaluation after treatment period (may consist of an in-person evaluation or other meaningful contact (see also: General Guidelines (SP-1.0))

Advanced Diagnostic Imaging:	MRI Lumbar Spine without contrast (CPT® 72148)	
Comments:	A CT Lumbar spine without contrast (CPT® 72131) OR CT Myelography (CPT® 72132) is indicated when MRI is contraindicated	

Coccydynia without Neurological Features (SP-5.2)

SP.LB.0005.2.A

v1.0.2025

All of the following are required prior to advanced imaging:

- An in-person clinical evaluation for the current episode of the condition is required
 to have been performed before advanced imaging is considered. This may have
 been either the initial clinical evaluation or a clinical re-evaluation (see also: <u>General</u>
 <u>Guidelines (SP-1.0)</u>).
- Failure of recent (within 12 weeks) 6-week trial of provider-directed treatment (unless presence of a red flag as defined in **Red Flag Indications (SP-1.2)**).
- Clinical re-evaluation after treatment period (may consist of an in-person evaluation or other meaningful contact (see also: <u>General Guidelines (SP-1.0)</u>)
- Plain x-rays of the sacrum/coccyx are negative for fracture.

Advanced Diagnostic Imaging:	MRI Pelvis without contrast (CPT® 72195)
Comments:	A CT Pelvis without contrast (CPT® 72192) when MRI is contraindicated.

Background and Supporting Information

Coccydynia is often reported by individuals as "tailbone" pain that is usually idiopathic or post-traumatic and generally follows a benign course.

V1.0.2025

Evidence Discussion (SP-5)

v1.0.2025

Acute low back pain is usually a self limited condition and improves with conservative treatment in 6 weeks. The American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria for low back pain (revised 2021) states that imaging may be considered in those patients who have had up to 6 weeks of medical management and physical therapy that resulted in little or no improvement in their back pain. A meta-analysis by Chou et al found no clinically significant difference in patient outcomes between those who had immediate lumbar imaging versus usual care. It should also be noted that there are risks associated with imaging including but not limited to radiation exposure and contrast complications. Studies have also linked the increase rate of imaging with the increase rate of surgery and also found early magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) had an eightfold increased risk of surgery.

References (SP-5)

v1.0.2025

- Puhakka KB. Magnetic resonance imaging of sacroiliitis in early seronegative spondyloarthropathy. Abnormalities correlated to clinical and laboratory findings. *Rheumatology*. 2003;43(2):234-237. doi:10.1093/rheumatology/keh008.
- 2. Rao, RD, Smuck M. Orthopaedic Knowledge Update 4: Spine, AAOS, 41:477-478.
- 3. American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons (AAOS) clinical guidelines on low back pain/sciatica (acute) (phase I and II). Clinical Practice Guidelines.
- 4. NASS Task Force on clinical guidelines. Herniated disc. In: *Phase III clinical guidelines for multidisciplinary spine care specialists*. Unremitting low back pain. 1st ed. Burr Ridge, IL: North American Spine Society; 2000.
- 5. Chou R, Qaseem A, Owens DK, et al. Diagnostic imaging for low back pain: Advice for high-value health care from the American College of Physicians. *Ann Intern Med.* 2011;154:181-189.
- 6. Roudsari B, Jarvik JG. Lumbar spine MRI for low back pain: indications and yield. AJR. 2010;195:550-559.
- 7. Weinstein JN, Lurie JD, Tosteson TD, et al. Surgical versus nonoperative treatment for lumbar disc herniation. *Spine*. 2008;33(25):2789-2800.
- 8. Chou R, Qaseam A, Snow V, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of low back pain: a joint clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians and the American Pain Society. *Ann Intern Med*. 2007;147:478-491.
- 9. Levin KH, Covington ED, Devereaux MW, et al. Neck and back pain part A. Continuum. 2001;7(1):142-151.
- 10. Roudsari B, Jarvik JG. Lumbar spine MRI for low back pain: indications and yield. *American Journal of Roentgenology*. 2010;195(3):550-559. doi:10.2214/ajr.10.4367.
- 11. Cherkin DC, Deyo RA, Battié M, Street J, Barlow W. A comparison of physical therapy, chiropractic manipulation, and provision of an educational booklet for the treatment of patients with low back pain. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1998;339(15):1021-1029. doi:10.1056/nejm199810083391502.
- 12. Lieberman JR, ed. AAOS comprehensive orthopaedic review 2009. Rosemont, IL.: AAOS (American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons); 2009.
- 13. Deyo RA, Mirza SK, Turner JA, et al. Overtreating chronic back pain: time to back off? *J Am Board Fam Med*. 2009;22(1):62-68.
- 14. Jarvik JG, Deyo R. Diagnostic evaluation of low back pain with emphasis on imaging. *Ann Intern Med*. 2000;137:586-597.
- 15. Gillan MGC, Gilbert FJ, Andrew JE. Influence of imaging on clinical decision making in the treatment of low back pain. *Radiol*. 2001;220:393-395.
- 16. Deyo RA, Wenstein JN. Low back pain. N Engl J Med. 2001;344(5):363-370.
- 17. Carragee EJ. Persistent low back pain. N Engl J Med. 2005;352:1891-1898.
- 18. Sheybani EF, Khanna G, White AJ, Demertzis JL. Imaging of juvenile idiopathic arthritis: a multimodality approach. *Radiographics*. 2013;33(5):1253-1273.
- 19. Restropo R, Lee EY, Babyn PS. Juvenile idiopathic arthritis: Current practical imaging assessment with emphasis on magnetic resonance imaging. *Radiol Clin N Am.* 2013;51:703-719.
- 20. Landewe RBM, Hermann KGA, Van Der Heijde DMFM, Baraliakos X, et al. Scoring sacroiliac joints by magnetic resonance imaging. A multiple-reader reliability experiment. *The Journal of Rheumatology*. 2005;32:10.
- 21. Lambert RGW, Salonen D, Rahman P, Inman RD, et al. Adalimumab significantly reduces both spinal and sacroiliac joint inflammation in patients with ankylosing spondylitis. *Arthritis & Rheumatism*. 2007;56(12):4005-4014.
- 22. Modic M, Obuchowski N, Ross J, et al. Acute low back pain and radiculopathy: MR imaging findings and their prognostic role and effect on outcome. *Neuroradiology*. 2005;237:597-604. doi:10.1148/radiol.2372041509.
- 23. Jarvik JG, Gold LS, Comstock BA, et al. Association of early imaging for back pain with clinical outcomes in older patients. *JAMA*. 2015;313(11):1143-1153. doi:10.1001/jama.2015.1871.
- 24. Ayers JW, Leas EC, Dredze M, et al. Clinicians' perceptions of barriers to avoiding inappropriate imaging for low back pain-knowing is not enough. *JAMA*. 2014;311(14):1399-1400. doi:10.1001/jamainternmed.2016.6274.
- 25. Panagopoulos J, Hush J, Steffens D, et al. Do MRI findings change over a period of up to 1 year in patients with low back pain and/or sciatica. *Spine Journal*. 2017;42:504-512. doi:10.1097/BRS.0000000000001790.

Adult Spine Imaging Guidelines (For Ohio Only): CSRAD014OH.D

UnitedHealthcare Community Plan Coverage Determination Guideline

Effective: November 1, 2025 Page 100 of 166

V1.0.2025

- 26. Gilbert FJ, Grant AM, Gillan MG, et al. Low back pain: influence of early MR imaging or CT on treatment and outcome-multicenter randomized trial. Radiology. 2004; 231:343-351. doi:10.1148/radiol.2312030886.
- 27. Kerry S, Hilton S, Dundas D, et al. Radiography for low back pain: a randomized controlled trial and observational study in primary care. British Journal of General Practice. 2002;52:469-474.
- 28. Djais N, Kalim H. The role of lumbar spine radiography in the outcomes of patients with simple acute low back pain. APLAR Journal of Rheumatology. 2005;8:45-50.
- 29. Hutchins TA, Peckham M, Shah LM, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Low Back Pain. Available at https:// acsearch.acr.org/docs/69483/Narrative/. American College of Radiology. Revised 2021.
- 30. Deyo RA, Rainville J, Kent DL. What can the history and physical examination tell us about low back pain? JAMA. 1992;268(6):760-765.
- 31. Patrick N, Emanski E, Knaub MA. Acute and Chronic Low Back Pain. Med Clin N Am. 2016; 100:169–181.
- 32. Chutkan NB, Lipson AC, Lisi AJ, et. al. Evidence-based clinical guidelines for multidisciplinary spine care: diagnosis and treatment of low back pain. Burr Ridge, IL: North American Spine Society. 2020.
- 33. Chou R, Fu R, Carrino JA, et al. Imaging strategies for low-back pain: systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet. 2009;373:463-472.
- 34. Shubha SV, Deyo RA, Berger ZD. Application of "Less is More" to Low Back Pain. Arch Intern Med. 2012;172(13):1016-1020.
- 35. Webster BS, Cifuentes M. Relationship of early magnetic resonance imaging for work-related acute low back pain with disability and medical utilization outcomes. J Occup Environ Med. 2010;52:900-907.

Lower Extremity Pain with Neurological Features (Radiculopathy, Radiculitis, or Plexopathy and Neuropathy) With or Without Low Back (Lumbar Spine) Pain (SP-6)

Guideline

Lower Extremity Pain with Neurological Features (Radiculopathy, Radiculitis, or Plexopathy and Neuropathy) with or without Low Back (Lumbar Spine) Pain (SP-6.1) Low Back (Lumbar Spine) Trauma (SP-6.2) Evidence Discussion (SP-6) References (SP-6)

Adult Spine Imaging Guidelines (For Ohio Only): CSRAD014OH.D

UnitedHealthcare Community Plan Coverage Determination Guideline Proprietary Information of United Healthcare. Copyright © 2025 United Healthcare Services, Inc.

Effective: November 1, 2025 Page 102 of 166

Lower Extremity Pain with Neurological Features (Radiculopathy, Radiculitis, or Plexopathy and Neuropathy) with or without Low Back (Lumbar Spine) Pain (SP-6.1)

SP.LE.0006.1.A

v1.0.2025

All of the following are required prior to advanced imaging:

- An in-person clinical evaluation for the current episode of the condition is required
 to have been performed before advanced imaging is considered. This may have
 been either the initial clinical evaluation or a clinical re-evaluation (see also: <u>General</u>
 <u>Guidelines (SP-1.0)</u>).
- Failure of recent (within 12 weeks) 6-week trial of provider-directed treatment (unless presence of a red flag as defined in **Red Flag Indications (SP-1.2)**).
- Clinical re-evaluation after treatment period (may consist of an in-person evaluation or other meaningful contact (see also: <u>General Guidelines (SP-1.0)</u>).

Advanced Diagnostic Imaging:	MRI Lumbar Spine without contrast (CPT® 72148)
Comments:	A CT Lumbar spine without contrast (CPT® 72131) OR CT Myelography (CPT® 72132) is indicated when MRI is contraindicated.
	See also: Lumbar Spinal Stenosis (SP-9.1)

Low Back (Lumbar Spine) Trauma (SP-6.2)

SP.LE.0006.2.A

v1.0.2025

All of the following are required prior to advanced imaging:

- An in-person clinical evaluation for the current episode of the condition is required to have been performed before advanced imaging is considered. This may have been either the initial clinical evaluation or a clinical re-evaluation (see also: General Guidelines (SP-1.0)).
- Failure of recent (within 12 weeks) 6-week trial of provider-directed treatment (unless presence of a red flag as defined in **Red Flag Indications (SP-1.2)**).
- Clinical re-evaluation after treatment period (may consist of an in-person evaluation or other meaningful contact (see also: General Guidelines (SP-1.0)).
- Results of plain x-rays of the lumbar spine performed after the current episode of symptoms started or changed need to be available to the requesting provider

Advanced Diagnostic Imaging:	MRI Lumbar Spine without contrast (CPT® 72148) OR MRI Lumbar Spine without and with contrast (CPT® 72158) OR CT Lumbar Spine without contrast (CPT® 72131) OR CT myelogram (CPT® 72132)
Comments:	For individuals with ankylosing spondylitis or DISH (diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis), both MRI of the whole spine (CPT® 72141, 72146, and/or 72148) AND CT of the whole spine (CPT® 72125, 72128, and/or 72131) can be approved. For individuals with ankylosing spondylitis or DISH (diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis), plain x-rays and a 6 week trial of provider-directed treatment and clinical evaluation are NOT required.

- Definitions of radiculopathy, radiculitis and radicular pain: See **Definitions (SP-1.3)**
- Sciatic Neuropathy, Femoral Neuropathy, Peroneal Neuropathy and Meralgia Paresthetica: See **Focal Neuropathy (PN-2)** in the Peripheral Nerve and Neuromuscular Disorders Imaging Guidelines

Adult Spine Imaging Guidelines (For Ohio Only):

V1.0.2025

- Lumbar and/or Lumbosacral Plexopathy: See <u>Lumbar and Lumbosacral Plexus</u> (PN-5) in the Peripheral Nerve and Neuromuscular Disorders Imaging Guidelines
- Advanced imaging of the hip or pelvis is not generally required in the evaluation of apparent lumbar radiculopathy unless a separate recognized indication for such studies is documented. See: <u>Hip (MS-24)</u> in the Musculoskeletal Imaging Guidelines.

V1.0.2025

Evidence Discussion (SP-6)

v1.0.2025

Acute low back pain is usually a self-limited condition and improves with conservative treatment in 6 weeks. The American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria for low back pain (revised 2021) states that imaging may be considered in those patients who have had up to 6 weeks of medical management and physical therapy that resulted in little or no improvement in their back pain. A meta-analysis by Chou et al found no clinically significant difference in patient outcomes between those who had immediate lumbar imaging versus usual care. It should also be noted that there are risks associated with imaging including but not limited to radiation exposure and contrast complications. Studies have also linked the increase rate of imaging with the increase rate of surgery and also found early magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) had an eightfold increased risk of surgery. In typical patients with low back pain or radiculopathy, MR imaging does not appear to have measurable value in terms of planning conservative care, that patient knowledge of imaging findings does not alter outcome, and that patient knowledge of imaging findings is associated with a lesser sense of well-being.

References (SP-6)

v1.0.2025

- 1. Puhakka KB, Jurik AG, Schiottz-Christensen B, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging of sacroiliitis in early seronegative spondyloarthropathy. Abnormalities associated to clinical and laboratory findings. *Rheumatology*. 2004;43(2):234-237.
- 2. Rao, RD, Smuck M. Orthopaedic Knowledge Update 4: Spine. AAOS. 41:477-478.
- 3. American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons (AAOS) clinical guidelines on low back pain/sciatica (acute) (phase I and II). Clinical Practice Guidelines.
- 4. NASS Task Force on clinical guidelines. *Herniated disc.* In: Phase III clinical guidelines for multidisciplinary spine care specialists. Unremitting low back pain. 1st ed. Burr Ridge, IL: North American Spine Society; 2000.
- Chou R. Diagnostic imaging for low back pain: advice for high-value health care from the American College of Physicians. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 2011;154(3):181-189. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-154-3-201102010-00008.
- 6. Roudsari B, Jarvik JG. Lumbar spine MRI for low back pain: indications and yield. *American Journal of Roentgenology*. 2010;195(3):550-559. doi:10.2214/ajr.10.4367.
- 7. Weinstein JN, Lurie JD, Tosteson TD, et al. Surgical versus nonsurgical treatment for lumbar degenerative spondylolisthesis. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 2007;356(22):2257-2270. doi:10.1056/nejmoa070302.
- 8. Chou R, Qaseam A, Snow V, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of low back pain: A joint clinical practice guideline from the American College of Physicians and the American Pain Society. *Ann Intern Med* 2007;147:478-491.
- 9. Levin KH, Covington ED, Devereaux MW, et al. Neck and back pain part A. Continuum. 2001;7(1):142-151.
- 10. Cherkin DC, Deyo RA, Battié M, Street J, Barlow W. A comparison of physical therapy, chiropractic manipulation, and provision of an educational booklet for the treatment of patients with low back Pain. *New England Journal of Medicine*. 1998;339(15):1021-1029. doi:10.1056/nejm199810083391502.
- 11. Lieberman JR, ed. AAOS comprehensive orthopaedic review 2009. Rosemont, IL.: AAOS (American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons); 2009.
- 12. Deyo RA, Mirza SK, Turner JA, et al. Overtreating chronic back pain: time to back off? *J Am Board Fam Med.* 2009;22(1):62-68.
- 13. Jarvik JG, Deyo R. Diagnostic evaluation of low back pain with emphasis on imaging. *Ann Intern Med.* 2000;137:586-597.
- 14. Gillan MGC, Gilbert FJ, Andrew JE. Influence of imaging on clinical decision making in the treatment of low back pain. *Radiol*, 2001; 220:393-395.
- 15. Deyo RA, Wenstein JN. Low back pain. *N Engl J Med.* 2001;344(5):363-370.
- 16. Carragee EJ. Persistent low back pain. N Engl J Med. 2005;352:1891-1898.
- 17. Sheybani EF, Khanna G, White AJ, Demertzis JL. Imaging of juvenile idiopathic arthritis: A multimodality approach. *Radiographics*. 2013;33(5):1253-1273.
- 18. Restropo R, Lee EY, Babyn PS. Juvenile idiopathic arthritis: Current practical imaging assessment with emphasis on magnetic resonance imaging. *Radiol Clin N Am.* 2013;51:703-719.
- 19. Landewe RBM, Hermann KGA, Van Der Heijde DMFM, Baraliakos X, et al. Scoring sacroiliac joints by magnetic resonance imaging. A multiple-reader reliability experiment. *The Journal of Rheumatology*. 2005;32:10.
- 20. Lambert RGW, Salonen D, Rahman P, Inman RD, et al. Adalimumab significantly reduces both spinal and sacroiliac joint inflammation in patients with ankylosing spondylitis. *Arthritis & Rheumatism*. 2007; 56(12):4005-4014.
- 21. Panagopoulos J, Hush J, Steffens D, et al. Do MRI findings change over a period of up to 1 year in patients with low back pain and/or sciatica. *Spine Journal*. 2017;42:504-512. doi:10.1097/BRS.0000000000001790.
- 22. Gilbert FJ, Grant AM, Gillan MG, et al. Low back pain: influence of early MR imaging or CT on treatment and outcome-multicenter randomized trial. *Radiology*. 2004;231:343-351. doi:10.1148/radiol.2312030886.
- 23. Modic M, Obuchowski N, Ross J, et al. Acute low back pain and radiculopathy: MR imaging findings and their prognostic role and effect on outcome. *Neuroradiology*. 2005;237:597-604. doi:10.1148/radiol.2372041509.
- 24. Barzouhi A, Vleggeert-Lankamp C, Lycklama a Nijehold G, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging in follow-up assessment of sciatica. *N Engl J Med*. 2013;368;11:999-1007.

Adult Spine Imaging Guidelines (For Ohio Only): CSRAD014OH.D

UnitedHealthcare Community Plan Coverage Determination Guideline

Effective: November 1, 2025 Page 107 of 166

V1.0.2025

- 25. Deyo RA, Rainville J, Kent DL. What can the history and physical examination tell us about low back pain? JAMA. 1992;268(6): 760-765.
- 26. Hutchins TA, Peckham M, Shah LM, et. al. Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®: Low Back Pain. American College of Radiology (ACR); Date of Origin: 1996. Revised: 2021. https:// acsearch.acr.org/docs/69483/Narrative/.
- ²⁷. Beckmann NM, West OC, Nunez Jr D, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®Suspected Spine Trauma. Available at https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/ 69359/Narrative/. American College of Radiology. Revised 2018.
- 28. Lantsman CD, Barkay G, Friedlander A, Barbi M, Stern M, Eshed I. Whole spine CT scan for the detection of acute spinal fractures in Diffuse Idiopathic Skeletal Hyperostosis patients who sustained low-energy trauma. Spine. 2020;45(19):1348-1353. doi:10.1097/BRS.000000000003536.
- 29. Chutkan NB, Lipson AC, Lisi AJ, et. al. Evidence-based clinical guidelines for multidisciplinary spine care: diagnosis and treatment of low back pain. Burr Ridge, IL: North American Spine Society. 2020.
- 30. Le HV, Wick JB, Van BW, Klineberg EO. Diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis of the spine: pathophysiology, diagnosis, and management. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2021;29:1044-1051. doi:10.5435/JAAOS-D-20-01344.
- 31. Chou R, Fu R, Carrino JA, Deyo RA. Imaging strategies for low-back pain: systematic review and metaanalysis. Lancet. 2009;373:462-472.
- 32. Shubha SV, Deyo RA, Berger ZD. Application of "Less is More" to Low Back Pain. Arch Intern Med. 2012;172(13):1016-1020.
- 33. Webster BS, Cifuentes M. Relationship of early magnetic resonance imaging for work-related acute low back pain with disability and medical utilization outcomes. J Occup Environ Med. 2010;52:900-907.

V1.0.2025

Myelopathy (SP-7)

Guideline

Myelopathy (SP-7.1) Evidence Discussion (SP-7) References (SP-7)

Myelopathy (SP-7.1)

SP.MI.0007.1.A

v1.0.2025

- Myelopathy is the development of abnormal spinal cord function with long tract signs usually secondary to spinal cord compression, but also inflammation (transverse myelitis, MS, etc.), neoplastic disease or spinal cord infarction.
 - For imaging of transverse myelitis, see: Transverse Myelitis (HD-16.4) in the Head Imaging Guidelines
- Examination findings may include loss of manual dexterity, spastic legs, ataxia, hyperreflexia, upgoing toes (positive Babinski), Hoffmann's sign, sustained clonus, Lhermitte's sign, crossed radial reflex, inverted radial reflex, and/or finger escape sign. Sensory level and urinary incontinence/retention may be seen.
 - Advanced imaging is generally indicated in the initial evaluation of documented or reasonably suspected myelopathy.
- X-rays are not required for advanced imaging in individuals with potential myelopathy regardless of any history of spine surgery, trauma, or other reasons which may otherwise require x-rays (e.g., Neck (Cervical Spine) Trauma (SP-3.2), Upper Back (Thoracic Spine) Trauma (SP-4.2), Post-Operative Spinal Disorders (SP-15)).
- Conservative treatment is not a requirement for advanced imaging in individuals with potential myelopathy.
- MRI Cervical and Thoracic Spine without contrast, or without and with contrast, are indicated for:
 - Evaluation of reasonably suspected myelopathy
 - Post-traumatic syrinx with increased spinal pain or a worsening neurological symptoms
 - Sustained, prominent, and unexplained Lhermitte's sign
 - Unexplained Babinski's or Hoffmann's signs
 - Unexplained hyperreflexia
 - Unexplained bilateral motor weakness
- MRI Cervical, Thoracic, and Lumbar Spine without contrast, or without and with contrast, are indicated for:
 - Suspected tethered cord and/or low lying conus medullaris.
- CT without contrast, or CT with contrast (myelography), can also be considered for either of the following:
 - An alternative to MRI, when MRI is contraindicated
 - In addition to MRI, for surgical planning

V1.0.2025

Background and Supporting Information

Lhermitte's sign – With the individual in the long leg sitting position on the examination table, the examiner passively flexes the individual's head and one hip simultaneously with the leg kept straight. A positive test occurs if there is sharp pain down the spine and into the upper or lower extremities.

Babinski's sign – The examiner runs a sharp instrument along the plantar surface of the foot from the calcaneus along the lateral border to the forefoot. A positive test occurs with extension of the great toe with flexion and splaying of the other toes. A negative test occurs with no movement of the toes at all or uniform bunching up of the toes.

Hoffman's sign – The examiner holds the individual's middle finger and briskly flicks the distal phalanx. A positive test is noted if the interphalangeal joint of the thumb of the same hand flexes.

Evidence Discussion (SP-7)

v1.0.2025

- MRI is the preferred imaging modality for evaluation of myelopathy. It provides superior soft tissue definition to other options and allows direct visualization of intramedullary cord signal changes which can effect prognosis and management.
- CT Myelogram may be appropriate when MRI is contraindicated or for surgical planning. It may allow better visualization of bony neuroforaminal narrowing and may provide additional anatomic information when the MRI is ambiguous.
- CT Can be useful in demonstrating bony encroachment on the cord, but MRI is superior in demonstrating bone marrow changes and intramedullary cord signal. It is of limited value in evaluation of non-compressive causes of myelopathy

Proprietary Information of United Healthcare. Copyright © 2025 United Healthcare Services, Inc.

References (SP-7)

v1.0.2025

- 1. Green C, Butler J, Eustace S, Poynton A, Obyrne JM. Imaging Modalities for Cervical Spondylotic Stenosis and Myelopathy. *Advances in Orthopedics*. 2012;2012:1-4. doi:10.1155/2012/908324.
- 2. Avadhani A, Rajasekaran S, Shetty AP. Comparison of prognostic value of different MRI classifications of signal intensity change in cervical spondylotic myelopathy. *Spine Journal*. 2010;10:475-485.
- 3. Harada T, Tsuji Y, Mikami Y et al. The clinical usefulness of preoperative dynamic MRI to select decompression levels for cervical spondylotic myelopathy. *Magnetic Resonance Imaging*. 2010;28:820-826.
- 4. Ohshio I, Hatayama K, Takahara M, Nagashima K. Correlation between histopathologic features and magnetic resonance images of spinal cord lesions. *Spine*. 1993;18:1140-1149.
- 5. Roth CJ, Angevine PD, Aulino JM, et. al. Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®: Myelopathy. American College of Radiology (ACR); Date of Origin: 1996. Revised: 2020. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69484/Narrative/.
- 6. Zhang L, Zeitoun D, Rangel A, et al. Preoperative evaluation of the cervical spondylotic myelopathy with flexion-extension magnetic resonance imaging. *Spine Journal*. 2011;36(17): E1134-E1139.
- 7. Magee DJ. Orthopedic Physical Assessment. 4th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Saunders; 2002.
- 8. Hoppenfeld S. *Physical Examination of the Spine and Extremities*. Upper Saddle River: Prentice Hall; 1976.
- 9. Hellmann MA, Djaldetti, Luckman J, Dabby R. Thoracic sensory level as a false localizing sign in cervical spinal cord and brain lesions. *Clin Neurol Neurosug.* 2013;115(1):54-56. doi:10.1016/j.clineuro.2012.04.011.
- 10. American College of Radiology. ACR-ASNR-SCBT-MR-SSR practice parameter for the performance of magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of the adult spine. 2001; revised 2018. Available at: https://www.acr.org/-/media/ACR/Files/Practice-Parameters/MR-Adult-Spine.pdf?la=en.
- 11. Agarwal V, Shah LM, Parsons MS, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Myelopathy. Available at https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69484/Narrative/. American College of Radiology. Revised 2020.
- 12. Song KJ, Choi BW, Kim GH, Kim JR. Clinical usefulness of CT-myelogram comparing with the MRI in degenerative cervical spinal disorders: is CTM still useful for primary diagnostic tool?. *Clinical Spine Surgery*. 2009 Jul 1;22(5):353-7.

V1.0.2025

Lumbar Spine Spondylolysis/ Spondylolisthesis (SP-8)

Guideline

Spondylolysis (SP-8.1) Spondylolisthesis (SP-8.2) Evidence Discussion (SP-8) References (SP-8)

Spondylolysis (SP-8.1)

SP.SP.0008.1.A

v1.0.2025

Results of plain x-rays performed after the current episode of symptoms started or changed need to be available to the requesting provider, unless otherwise specified below.

Indication	Imaging Study	
Clinical suspicion of spondylolysis is high	 X-ray is not required^{5,12,13} Tomographic SPECT Planar (CPT[®] 78803 or 78831)^{5,12,13} SPECT/CT Hybrid (CPT[®] 78830 or 78832)^{5,12,13} 	
Negative SPECT bone scan	MRI Lumbar Spine without contrast (CPT® 72148) OR CT Lumbar Spine without contrast (CPT®72131)	
Evaluation of a lesion seen on SPECT bone scan	CT Lumbar Spine without contrast (CPT® 72131) ⁵	
Documented need for preoperative planning	MRI Lumbar Spine without contrast (CPT® 72148) AND/OR CT Lumbar Spine without contrast (CPT®72131)	
Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed conservative treatment (which may include immobilization with a spinal orthosis) with clinical re-evaluation	MRI Lumbar Spine without contrast (CPT® 72148) OR CT Lumbar Spine without contrast (CPT®72131)	
Evaluation for stress reaction in bone, to visualize nerve roots	MRI Lumbar Spine without contrast (CPT® 72148)	
When an MRI is medically necessary, however, it is contraindicated	CT Lumbar Spine without contrast (CPT®72131)	
Evaluation of bony anatomy	CT Lumbar Spine without contrast (CPT®72131)	

Adult Spine Imaging Guidelines (For Ohio Only): CSRAD014OH.D

UnitedHealthcare Community Plan Coverage Determination Guideline Proprietary Information of United Healthcare. Copyright © 2025 United Healthcare Services, Inc.

Effective: November 1, 2025 Page 115 of 166

Cardiayaaaular	and	Dadialagu	Imagina	Cuidalinaa
Cardiovascular	anu	Radiology	IIIIauiiiu	Guidelliles

Indication	Imaging Study
 Monitor healing of a pars interarticularis fracture that was determined to have healing potential on a prior CT (i.e., non- sclerotic lesion) 	CT Lumbar Spine without contrast (CPT® 72131) of the symptomatic spinal level

- For pediatric spondylolysis, see: <u>Spondylolysis (PEDSP-2.4)</u> in the Pediatric and Special Populations Spine Imaging Guidelines
- Bony healing cannot be achieved non-surgically in an established well defined isthmic pars interarticularis defect whether it is developmental or the result of a pars interarticularis fracture non-union. Repeat advanced diagnostic imaging is not medically necessary in this setting.

Background and Supporting Information

- Spondylolysis is most often an incidental finding on plain x-rays, and advanced imaging is generally not indicated.
- MRI is not indicated in the early diagnosis of spondylolysis due to the potential for false negative results.

Spondylolisthesis (SP-8.2)

SP.SP.0008.2.A

v1.0.2025

- CT Lumbar Spine without contrast (CPT® 72131) or MRI Lumbar Spine without contrast (CPT® 72148) can be considered after plain x-ray (results of plain x-rays performed after the current episode of symptoms started or changed need to be available to the requesting provider) for the following:
 - Failure of 6-week trial of provider-directed treatment and clinical re-evaluation (see also: <u>General Guidelines [SP-1.0]</u>); or
 - Preoperative evaluation; or
 - See: Red Flag Indications (SP-1.2)

Background and Supporting Information

- Stress reactions and stress fractures of the pars interarticularis are most common in athletes and others whose activities involve repetitive flexion/extension loading of the lumbar spine and may be acute or chronic and unilateral or bilateral. Pars interarticularis defects can be an incidental finding on plain x-rays and is frequently asymptomatic.
- Spondylolisthesis is the forward (anterolisthesis) or backward (retrolisthesis, usually
 not clinically significant) displacement of one vertebra in relation to an adjacent
 vertebra, most commonly at L4-5 and L5-S1, although other levels of the spine may
 be involved. Spondylolisthesis is often an incidental finding on plain x-ray and is
 frequently asymptomatic.

V1.0.2025

Evidence Discussion (SP-8)

v1.0.2025

Spondylolysis is a very common incidental finding on radiographs in the general population but majority will be asymptomatic. Spondylolysis is one of the potential cause of back pain in gymnasts. Symptomatic pars lesions are particularly a clinical problem in adolescent athletes. Spondylolysis and spondylolisthesis are a common cause of low back pain especially in young athletes but is a less common cause of neurologic compromise. Plain radiographs with particular views display the majority of defects. MRI has sensitivity of 78% for detecting L4-L5 lumbar degenerative spondylolisthesis compared with 98% for lateral standing films. MRI is less sensitive than CT for detecting pars defects but it is useful for evaluating bone marrow edema and nerve root compressions and stress reactions.

Lumbar spondylolysis can heal with conservative treatment depending on the spinal level affected and stage of the defects. The site of defects in the pars, condition of contralateral pars, presence of spondylolisthesis, the degree of lumbar lordosis are among other factors significantly affecting union.

Proprietary Information of United Healthcare. Copyright © 2025 United Healthcare Services, Inc.

References (SP-8)

v1.0.2025

- 1. Rao, RD, Smuck M. Orthopaedic Knowledge Update 4: Spine. AAOS. 41:477-478.
- 2. Lieberman JR, ed. *AAOS comprehensive orthopaedic review 2009*. Rosemont, IL.: AAOS (American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons); 2009. 771-775.
- 3. Kuhns BD, Kouk S, Buchanan C, et al. Sensitivity of magnetic resonance imaging in the diagnosis of mobile and non-mobile L4-5 degenerative spondylolisthesis. *The Spine Journal*; 2014. doi:10.1016/j.spinee.2014.08.006.
- 4. Foreman P, et al. L5 spondylolysis/spondylolisthesis: a comprehensive review with an anatomic focus. *Childs Nerv Syst.* 2013;29:209-16.
- 5. Harvey CJ, Richenberg JL, Saifuddin A, Wolman RL. The radiological investigation of lumbar spondylolysis. *Clin Radiol.* 1998 Oct;53(10):723-8. doi: 10.1016/s0009-9260(98)80313-9. PMID: 9817088.
- Kruse D, Lemmen B. Spine injuries in the sport of gymnastics. Curr Sports Med Rep. 2009;8(1):20-28. doi:10.1249/JSR.0b013e3181967ca6.
- 7. Standaert CJ, Herring SA. Spondylolysis: a critical review. Br J Sports Med. 2000;34(6):415-422. doi:10.1136/bjsm.34.6.415.
- 8. Leone A, et al. Lumbar spondylolysis: a review. Skeletal Radiol. 2011;40:683-700.
- 9. Kobayashi A, et al. Diagnosis of radiographically occult lumbar spondylolysis in young athletes by magnetic resonance imaging. *Am J Sports Med.* 2013;41:169-76.
- 10. Fujii K, Katoh S, Sairyo K, et al. Union of defects in the pars interarticularis of the lumbar spine in children and adolescents: the radiologic outcome after conservative treatment. *J Bone Joint Surg Br.* 2004;86:225-31.
- 11. Puhakka KB, Jurik AG, Schiottz-Christensen B, et. al. Magnetic resonance imaging of sacroiliitis in early seronegative spondyloarthropathy. Abnormalities correlated to clinical and laboratory findings. *Rheumatology*. 2004;43(2):234-237.
- Expert Panel on Pediatric Imaging:; Booth TN, Iyer RS, Falcone RA Jr, Hayes LL, Jones JY, Kadom N, Kulkarni AV, Myseros JS, Partap S, Reitman C, Robertson RL, Ryan ME, Saigal G, Soares BP, Tekes-Brady A, Trout AT, Zumberge NA, Coley BD, Palasis S. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Back Pain-Child. J Am Coll Radiol. 2017 May;14(5S):S13-S24. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2017.01.039. PMID: 28473069.
- 13. Bellah RD, Summerville DA, Treves ST, Micheli LJ. Low-back pain in adolescent athletes: detection of stress injury to the pars interarticularis with SPECT. *Radiology*. 1991 Aug;180(2):509-12. doi: 10.1148/radiology.180.2.1829845. PMID: 1829845.

V1.0.2025

Lumbar Spinal Stenosis (SP-9)

Guideline

Lumbar Spinal Stenosis (SP-9.1) Evidence Discussion (SP-9) References (SP-9)

Lumbar Spinal Stenosis (SP-9.1)

SP.ST.0009.1.A

v1.0.2025

- MRI Lumbar Spine without contrast (CPT® 72148) or CT Lumbar Spine without contrast (CPT® 72131) is indicated for those individuals with clinical suspicion of lumbar spinal stenosis if:
 - Failure of 6-week trial of provider-directed treatment and clinical re-evaluation (see also: <u>General Guidelines (SP-1.0)</u>); or
 - Red Flag Indications (see: <u>Red Flag Indications (SP-1.2)</u>); or
 - Severe symptoms of neurogenic claudication restricting normal activity or requiring the frequent use of narcotic analgesics
- A CT/Myelogram Lumbar Spine (CPT® 72132) may also be considered for individuals who have failed 6-weeks of provider-directed treatment if requested by the operating surgeon for surgical planning, especially for multi-level lumbar spinal stenosis.

Background and Supporting Information

Lumbar spinal stenosis refers to a decrease in the space available for the neural elements within the spinal canal that include spinal nerve roots and the cauda equina. It is usually a degenerative condition of the aging spine which can be asymptomatic or a common cause of buttock/low back and/or leg pain (neurogenic claudication) in this population. Neurogenic claudication is a common symptom of lumbar spinal stenosis that is aggravated by walking, especially down hills or stairs, with prolonged standing and is often relieved by sitting and bending forward. Neurogenic claudication should be differentiated from vascular claudication (leg/calf pain) that is often aggravated by walking and relieved fairly rapidly by stopping and rest. The differential diagnosis for lumbar spinal stenosis should include peripheral vascular disease, hip disorders and peripheral neuropathy.

V1.0.2025

Evidence Discussion (SP-9)

v1.0.2025

A presumptive diagnosis of symptomatic lumbar stenosis can be made with the history and physical examination. Imaging can help differentiate neurogenic claudication from vascular claudication. MRI or CT may confirm the presence of spinal stenosis. The American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria for low back pain (revised 2021) states MRI may be helpful when there is low back pain with radiculopathy or signs of spinal stenosis. Bony findings can be seen better on CT and soft-tissue lesions are more detectable on MRI. In patients with subacute or chronic low back pain with or without radiculopathy that is a surgical or intervention candidate with persisting symptoms after six weeks of conservative treatment, CT lumbar spine without IV contrast can also be used to assess facets and neural foramina and is equal to MRI for predicting significant spinal stenosis. For those not responsive to conservative treatment surgery should be considered. A prospective cohort study evaluating patient outcomes two years after spine surgery for spinal stenosis showed patients had better outcomes than patients who did not have surgery.

References (SP-9)

v1.0.2025

- Hutchins TA, Peckham M, Shah LM, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria[®] Low Back Pain. Available at https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69483/Narrative/. American College of Radiology. Revised 2021.
- 2. North Am Spine Society, Clinical guidelines for multidisciplinary spine care specialists: spinal stenosis. Version 1.02002. http://www.guideline.gov.
- 3. Highlights from the 2007 North American Spine Society Meeting. Sg2 Web Seminar, November 8, 2007.
- Tosteson ANA, Lurie JD, Tosteson TD, et al. Surgical treatment of spinal stenosis with and without degenerative spondylolisthesis: cost-effectiveness after 2 years. *Ann Intern Med*. 2008;149(12):845-853. doi:10.7326/0003-4819-149-12-200812160-00003
- 5. Katz JN, Harris MB. Clinical practice. Lumbar spinal stenosis. N Engl J Med. 2008;358:818-825.
- 6. Deyo RA, Rainville J, Kent DL. What can the history and physical examination tell us about low back pain? *JAMA*. 1992; 268(6):760-765.
- 7. Devin CJ, McCullough KA, Morris BJ, et al. Hip-spine syndrome. J Am Acad Orthrop Surg. 2012;20:434-442.

Sacro-Iliac (SI) Joint Pain, Inflammatory Spondylitis/Sacroiliitis and Fibromyalgia (SP-10)

Guideline

Sacro-Iliac (SI) Joint Pain/Sacroiliitis (SP-10.1) Inflammatory Spondylitis (SP-10.2) Fibromyalgia (SP-10.3) Evidence Discussion (SP-10) References (SP-10)

Page 124 of 166

Effective: November 1, 2025

Proprietary Information of United Healthcare. Copyright © 2025 United Healthcare Services, Inc.

Sacro-Iliac (SI) Joint Pain/Sacroiliitis (SP-10.1)

SP.SI.0010.1.A

v1.0.2025

- CT Pelvis without contrast (CPT® 72192) or MRI Pelvis without contrast (CPT® 72195) is indicated if:
 - Initial plain x-rays are equivocal or not diagnostic; and
 - Failure of 6 weeks of provider-directed treatment and clinical re-evaluation (see also: <u>General Guidelines (SP-1.0)</u>); or
 - Any ONE of the following:
 - Fractures of the sacrum or sacroiliac joint(s); or
 - See: Red Flag Indications (SP-1.2); or
 - Preoperative planning
- MRI Pelvis without and with contrast as indicated for pediatric individuals with juvenile idiopathic arthritis.
- Suspicion of neoplastic, inflammatory, or infectious disease:
 - MRI Pelvis without and with contrast (CPT® 72197) or MRI Pelvis without contrast (CPT® 72195)
 - CT Pelvis without contrast (CPT® 72192) if MRI is contraindicated
- See: Rheumatoid Arthritis (RA) and Inflammatory Arthritis (MS-15.1) in the Musculoskeletal Imaging Guidelines

Inflammatory Spondylitis (SP-10.2)

SP.SI.0010.2.A

v1.0.2025

- Initial plain x-rays are equivocal or not diagnostic:
 - MRI without and with contrast or MRI without contrast of the affected spinal region
 - CT without contrast of the affected spinal region if MRI is contraindicated
- Follow up imaging for treatment response or disease progression:
 - Repeat plain x-rays show no progression of disease of the SI joints, or SI joints and spine area of interest then, 10
 - MRI sacroiliac joints (MRI pelvis) without and with contrast (CPT[®] 72197) AND MRI Spine area of interest without and with contrast) **OR**
 - MRI sacroiliac joints (MRI pelvis) without contrast (CPT® 72195) AND MRI Spine area of interest without contrast OR
 - MRI sacroiliac joints (MRI pelvis) without and with contrast (CPT® 72197) OR
 - MRI sacroiliac joints (MRI pelvis) without contrast (CPT® 72195)¹⁰
- For those with documented ankylosing spondylitis or DISH (diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis) and spine pain following trauma, plain x-rays are not required prior to advanced imaging.
 - See: Neck Trauma (SP-3.2), Upper Back Trauma (SP-4.2), Low Back Trauma (SP-6.2)

Fibromyalgia (SP-10.3)

SP.DI.0010.3.A

v1.0.2025

 Advanced diagnostic imaging is not supported by the scientific evidence for the evaluation and treatment of fibromyalgia.

Background and Supporting Information

- Sacroiliitis can present with pain localized to the SI joint or referred pain to the buttock and/or posterior thigh without neurologic signs or symptoms. Affected individuals can often point to the SI joint as the pain source. Provocative and/or therapeutic SI joint anesthetic/corticosteroid injections can have diagnostic value.
- There is no evidence demonstrating that advanced diagnostic imaging substantiates changes to individual management decisions in individuals with proven SI joint disorders when visible on routine plain x-rays.
- MRI has shown inflammatory changes in the SI joints prior to visible x-ray changes in several studies. However, the ability of MRI to characterize inflammation in early ankylosing spondylitis, the ability of MRI to predict erosive changes, and the value of monitoring treatment effects using serial MRI studies remains controversial in adults.

Evidence Discussion (SP-10)

v1.0.2025

For individuals with proven sacro-iliac joint disorders visible on routine plain x-rays, there is no evidence that advanced diagnostic imaging substantiates changes to individual management decisions.

X-rays are first line imaging for suspected inflammatory sacroiliitis but have a low sensitivity for detecting abnormalities in early disease, and x-ray findings may not be visible until several years after onset of symptoms. SI Joint MRI is appropriate when X-ray is equivocal or nondiagnostic. There is increase the diagnostic accuracy of MRI in sacroiliitis with use of contrast. Contrast use benefits must be weighed against potential disadvantages of need for IV access, potential risk for nephrogenic systemic fibrosis or contrast reaction, and increased cost. If a patient is unable to undergo MRI, a noncontrast CT may be helpful as it has improved sensitivity over conventional radiography for detection of subtle erosions, although it lacks sensitivity for inflammatory changes of inflammatory sacroiliitis.

In juvenile idiopathic arthritis, x-ray findings such as bone erosions tend to occur late in the disease, and early signs of inflammation such as synovitis are undetectable on x-rays. MRI is useful to detect synovitis and bone marrow edema to direct treatment with disease-modifying medications to prevent long-term disability. MRI can also detect extraarticular inflammatory lesions such as tenosynovitis and enthesitis, which are not seen on x-ray. However, MRI exams are lengthy, require IV contrast for increased sensitivity, and may require sedation in younger pediatric patients.

With suspected inflammatory spondylitis, x-rays are useful to assess for structural changes of syndesmophytes, erosions, vertebral body squaring, and ankylosis. When x-rays are nondiagnostic, MRI (with or with contrast) can demonstrate active inflammatory changes. The value of monitoring treatment response using serial MRIs remains controversial and investigational in adults.

Plain x-rays are not required prior to advanced imaging in individuals with documented ankylosing spondylitis or DISH (diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis) and spine pain following trauma, due to high risk of spinal fractures even with low-energy trauma and the low specificity for fracture detection on x-ray in these individuals.

Advanced diagnostic imaging is not supported by scientific evidence for the evaluation and treatment of fibromyalgia.

Proprietary Information of United Healthcare. Copyright © 2025 United Healthcare Services, Inc.

References (SP-10)

v1.0.2025

- 1. Puhakka KB, Jurik AG, Schiottz-Christensen B, et al. Magnetic resonance imaging of sacroiliitis in early seronegative spondylarthropathy. Abnormalities correlated to clinical and laboratory findings. Rheumatology 2004;43:234-237.
- 2. Dreyfuss P, Dreyer SJ, Cole A, et al. Sacroiliac joint pain. Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2004;12:255-265.
- 3. Maigne JY, Tamalet B. Standardized radiologic protocol for the study of common coccygodynia and characteristics of the lesions observed in the sitting position. Clinical elements differentiating luxation, hypermobility, and normal mobility. Spine. 1996;21:2588-2593.
- 4. Maigne JY, Doursounian L, Chatellier G. Causes and mechanisms of common coccydynia: role of BMI and coccygeal trauma. Spine. 2000;25:3072-3079.
- 5. Landewe RBM, Hermann KGA, Van Der Heijde DMFM, Baraliakos X, et al. Scoring sacroiliac joints by magnetic resonance imaging. A multiple-reader reliability experiment. The Journal of Rheumatology. 2005;32:10.
- 6. Lambert RGW, Salonen D, Rahman P, Inman RD, et al. Adalimumab significantly reduces both spinal and sacroiliac joint inflammation in patients with ankylosing spondylitis. Arthritis & Rheumatism. 2007;56(12):4005-4014.
- 7. Shevbani EF, Khanna G, White AJ, Demertzis JL, Imaging of juvenile idiopathic arthritis: a multimodality approach. Radiographics. 2013;33(5):1253-1273. doi:10.1148/rg.335125178
- 8. Restropo R, Lee EY, Babyn PS. Juvenile idiopathic arthritis: Current practical imaging assessment with emphasis on magnetic resonance imaging. RadiolClin N Am. 2013;51:703-719.
- 9. Deyo RA, Rainville J, Kent DL. What can the history and physical examination tell us about low back pain? JAMA. 1992;268(6):760-765.
- 10. Bernard SA, Kransdorf MJ, Beaman FD, et. al. Expert Panel on Musculoskeletal Imaging. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®: Chronic Back Pain: Suspected Sacroiliitis/Spondyloarthropathy. American College of Radiology (ACR); Date of Origin: 2016. Revised: 2021. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3094107/Narrative/.
- 11. Lantsman CD, Barkay G, Friedlander A, Barbi M, Stern M, Eshed I. Whole spine CT scan for the detection of acute spinal fractures in Diffuse Idiopathic Skeletal Hyperostosis patients who sustained low-energy trauma. Spine. 2020;45(19):1348-1353. doi:10.1097/BRS.000000000003536.
- 12. Czuczman GJ, Mandell JC, Wessell DE, et. al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®: Inflammatory Back Pain: Known or Supected Axial Spondyloarthritis. Available at http://acsearch.acr.org/docs/3094107/Narrative/. American College of Radiology. Revised: 2021.
- 13. Le HV, Wick JB, Van BW, Klineberg EO. Diffuse idiopathic skeletal hyperostosis of the spine: pathophysiology, diagnosis, and management. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2021;29:1044-1051. doi:10.5435/JAAOS-D-20-01344.

V1.0.2025

Spinal Compression Fractures (SP-11)

Guideline

Spinal Compression Fractures (SP-11.1) Evidence Discussion (SP-11) References (SP-11)

Spinal Compression Fractures (SP-11.1)

SP.FX.0011.1.A

v1.0.2025

Individuals with no history of malignancy

- MRI without contrast, CT without contrast, or whole body bone scan (CPT® 78306), SPECT (CPT® 78803), or SPECT/CT (CPT® 78830) of the affected spinal region is indicated after plain x-ray evaluation and the location of the individual's spinal pain is concordant with the spinal x-rays for any ONE of the following:
 - X-rays reveal a new spinal compression fracture; or
 - X-rays are non-diagnostic and severe spinal pain persists for more than one week in an individual already predisposed to low energy/insufficiency fractures; or
 - The acuity of the spinal compression fracture deformity on plain x-ray is indeterminate, or
 - Surgical planning following known insufficiency spinal compression fractures in individuals who are candidates for kyphoplasty, vertebroplasty or other spine surgical procedures

Individuals with a history of malignancy

- For individuals with new symptomatic or asymptomatic vertebral compression fractures on radiographs, please refer to the cancer-specific guidelines within the General Oncology Imaging Guidelines for appropriate imaging studies.
- See also: Red Flag Indications (SP-1.2)

Background and Supporting Information

Insufficiency/low energy spinal compression fractures of the spine occur due to the lack of structural integrity to withstand physiologic loads and minor spinal trauma. Low bone mineral density is the primary etiology for most of these fractures but could also occur in the setting of other bone disease and medical conditions, in addition to neoplastic disease and infection. Sudden localized back pain, with or without trauma, is a typical presentation of insufficiency/low energy spinal compression fractures and can often be an incidental finding on plain x-rays and can be asymptomatic.

Evidence Discussion (SP-11)

v1.0.2025

The diagnosis of a spinal compression fracture may be suspected based on history and physical examination. Plain anteroposterior and lateral radiographs should be the initial imaging study obtained for a suspected compression fracture.

For patients (without a known malignancy) with a new symptomatic vertebral compression fracture identified on radiographs, MRI without contrast, CT without contrast, whole body bone scan, SPECT or SPECT/CT is supported by the American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria for Management of Vertebral Compression Fractures (revised 2022). Advanced imaging can also be helpful for identifying a fracture that is not well visualized on plain films.

It has been shown that bone marrow signal on MRI can help identify an acute fracture and distinguish ages of compression fractures. Additionally, the benefits of advanced imaging prior to vertebral augmentation have been reported.

References (SP-11)

v1.0.2025

- 1. Hutchins TA, Peckham M, Shah LM, et. al. Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®: Low Back Pain. American College of Radiology (ACR); Date of Origin: 1996. Revised: 2021. https:// acsearch.acr.org/docs/69483/Narrative/.
- 2. Khan MA, Jennings JW, Baker JC, et. al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Management of Vertebral Compression Fractures. Available at https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/70545/Narrative/. American College of Radiology. Revised 2022.
- 3. Old JL, Calvert M. Vertebral compression fractures in the elderly. Am Fam Physician. 2004;69:111-116.
- 4. Brunton S, Carmichael B, Gold D, et al. Vertebral compression fractures in primary care. J Fam Practice. 2005 Sept. (Supplement):781-788.
- 5. McCarthy J, Davis A. Diagnosis and management of vertebral compression fractures. Am Fam Physician. 2016 94:44-50.
- 6. Deyo RA, Rainville J, Kent DL. What can the history and physical examination tell us about low back pain? JAMA. 1992;268(6):760-765.
- 7. Alexandru D, So W. Evaluation and management of vertebral compression fractures. Perm J. 2012; 16(4):46-51. doi:10.7812/TPP/12-037
- 8. McConnell CT, Wippold FJ, Ray CE, et. al. Expert Panels on Neurologic Imaging, Interventional Radiology, and Musculoskeletal Imaging. ACR Appropriateness Criteria®: Management of Vertebral Compression Fractures. American College of Radiology (ACR); Date of Origin: 2010. Revised: 2022. https://acsearch.acr.org/ docs/70545/Narrative/.
- 9. Yamato M, Nishimura G, Kuramochi E, Saiki N, Fujioka M. MR appearance at different ages of osteoporotic compression fractures of the vertebrae. Radiat Med. 1998;16:329-34.
- 10. Piazzolla A, Solarino G, Lamartina C, et al. Vertebral Bone Marrow Edema (VBME) in Conservatively Treated Acute Vertebral Compression Fractures (VCFs): Evolution and Clinical Correlations. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2015;40:E842-8.
- 11. Benz BK, Gemery JM, McIntyre JJ, Eskey CJ. Value of immediate preprocedure magnetic resonance imaging in patients scheduled to undergo vertebroplasty or kyphoplasty. Spine (Phila Pa 1976). 2009;34:609-12.
- 12. Ma X, Wang LX, Wang HL, Jiang L, Lu FZ, Jiang JY, Value of preoperative magnetic resonance imaging measurements in thoracic percutaneous vertebroplasty using unilateral puncture. Chin Med J (Engl). 2010;123(21):2983-2988. doi: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.0366-6999.2010.21.006.
- 13. Spiegl UJ, Beisse R, Hauck S, Grillhosl A, Buhren V. Value of MRI imaging prior to a kyphoplasty for osteoporotic insufficiency fractures. Eur Spine J. 2009;18(9):1287-1292. doi:10.1007/s00586-009-1045-2

V1.0.2025

Spinal Pain related to Cancer (SP-12)

\sim			
Gι	IIC	lel	line

Spinal Pain related to Cancer (SP-12)

V1.0.2025

Spinal Pain related to Cancer (SP-12)

SP.CA.0012.A

v1.0.2025

 For guidelines regarding advanced diagnostic imaging in this clinical setting, See Spinal/Vertebral Metastases (ONC-31.6)

V1.0.2025

Spinal Canal/Cord Disorders (e.g. Syringomyelia) (SP-13)

Guideline

Initial Imaging Pathway (SP-13.1) Follow-up Imaging (SP-13.2) Evidence Discussion (SP-13) References (SP-13)

Initial Imaging Pathway (SP-13.1)

SP.CD.0013.1.A

v1.0.2025

- MRI Cervical Spine without contrast or without and with contrast (CPT® 72141 or CPT® 72156) and MRI Thoracic Spine without contrast or without and with contrast (CPT® 72146 or CPT® 72157) is indicated when syringomyelia is suspected.
- · Once a syrinx is identified by prior imaging, the following are indicated:
 - MRI Brain without contrast (CPT® 70551) to evaluate for syringobulbia AND
 - MRI Cervical Spine without contrast or without and with contrast (CPT[®] 72141 or CPT[®] 72156) if not already performed AND
 - MRI Thoracic Spine without contrast or without and with contrast (CPT® 72146 or CPT® 72157) and MRI Lumbar Spine without contrast or without and with contrast (CPT® 72148 or CPT® 72158) to define the lower most extent of the syrinx or to identify a skip lesion.

Follow-up Imaging (SP-13.2)

SP.CD.0013.2.A

v1.0.2025

- MRI Cervical Spine without contrast (CPT® 72141) and MRI Brain without contrast (CPT® 70551) and/or MRI Thoracic Spine without contrast (CPT® 72146) when involved
 - If there is a concern for malignancy, imaging can be performed without and with contrast
 - Annual imaging until non-progression of the syringomyelia is established
 - Following surgical treatment (including posterior fossa decompression)
 - Advanced diagnostic imaging every three years for life can be performed once non-progression of the syringomyelia is established
 - Repeat advanced diagnostic imaging is indicated when there is evidence of neurologic deterioration

Background and Supporting Information

Syringomyelia may begin to form in childhood but rarely becomes symptomatic before the adult years.

Proprietary Information of United Healthcare. Copyright © 2025 United Healthcare Services, Inc.

V1.0.2025

Evidence Discussion (SP-13)

v1.0.2025

- · MRI of the spinal cord is the modality of choice to characterize the size and extent of a syrinx both at time of original discovery and on follow up imaging.
- MRI of the brain is the modality of choice to characterize syingobubia in the hindbrain.

Page 139 of 166

Effective: November 1, 2025

Proprietary Information of United Healthcare. Copyright © 2025 United Healthcare Services, Inc.

References (SP-13)

v1.0.2025

- Mancall ER. Syringomyelia. In: Rowland LP, ed. Merritt's Neurology. 11th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Lippincott; 2005:870-874.
- 2. Tsitouras V, Sgouros S. Syringomyelia and tethered cord in children. *Childs Nerv Syst.* 2013;29:1625-1634. doi:10.1007/s00381-013-2180-y.
- 3. Roth CJ, Angevine PD, Aulino JM, et. al. Expert Panel on Neurologic Imaging. ACR Appropriateness Criteria[®]: *Myelopathy*. American College of Radiology (ACR); Date of Origin: 1996. Revised: 2020. https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69484/Narrative/.
- 4. Ciaramitaro P, Massimi L, et al. Diagnosis and treatment of Chiari malformation and syringomyelia in adults: international consensus document. *Neurological Sciences*. 2022 Feb;43(2):1327-42.
- 5. Flint G. Syringomyelia: diagnosis and management. *Practical neurology*. 2021 Oct 1;21(5):403-11.

V1.0.2025

Spinal Deformities (e.g. Scoliosis/ Kyphosis) (SP-14)

Guideline

Spinal Deformities (e.g. Scoliosis/Kyphosis) (SP-14.1) Revision Anterior Spinal Deformity Surgery (SP-14.2) Evidence Discussion (SP-14) References (SP-14)

Spinal Deformities (e.g. Scoliosis/ Kyphosis) (SP-14.1)

SP.SC.0014.1.A

v1.0.2025

- MRI without contrast, MRI without and with contrast, or CT/Myelography if MRI is contraindicated of the affected spinal regions is indicated after plain x-rays (e.g., Cobb radiographs) of the affected spinal regions have been performed and results are available to the requesting provider:
 - For preoperative evaluation; or
 - For cases of congenital scoliosis and other atypical curves that may be associated with spinal canal/cord pathology such as tethered cord, syringomyelia, diastematomyelia, or tumors; or
 - For cases of scoliosis and/or kyphosis when there are associated neurologic signs and symptoms on physical examination; or
 - Scoliosis with a convex left thoracic curve due to a high association of a convex left thoracic curve with underlying spinal canal/cord pathology
- CT of the affected spinal regions (contrast as requested) is indicated in cases with a complex osseous deformity for preoperative evaluation
- CT Angiography (CTA) or MR Angiography (MRA) is not medically necessary for preoperative planning for initial anterior spinal surgery for surgical correction of spinal deformities

Revision Anterior Spinal Deformity Surgery (SP-14.2)

SP.SC.0014.2.A

v1.0.2025

- If requested by the operating surgeon, the following studies can be performed for preoperative planning for revision of anterior thoracic or lumbar spinal surgery:
 - ∘ CTA Pelvis (CPT® 72191) and/or CTA Abdomen (CPT® 74175); or
 - MRA Pelvis (CPT[®] 72198) and/or MRA Abdomen (CPT[®] 74185)

Background and Supporting Information

Scoliosis is defined as a curvature of the spine in the coronal plane. Scoliosis can
involve any or all levels of the spine but generally involves the thoracic and/or lumbar
spine. Scoliosis initially occurs in the pediatric and adolescent population and persists
throughout life. If scoliosis begins in adulthood, it is usually secondary to neurologic
disorders (e.g., posttraumatic paralysis) or degenerative spondylosis. Sagittal plane
spinal deformity (e.g., kyphosis, hyperlordosis) may be associated with scoliosis.

V1.0.2025

Evidence Discussion (SP-14)

v1.0.2025

Plain radiography continues to be the primary imaging modality for the initial diagnosis of spinal deformity and for follow up of deformity progression. Plain x-rays allow the easy measurement of Cobb angles which remains essential in the evaluation of scoliosis.

Patients with congenital scoliosis, atypical curves (for example, left thoracic) or abnormal neurological findings will benefit from MRI to help identify spinal cord abnormalities. CT is considered the gold standard for the evaluation of osseous structures and can be useful in the evaluation of complex bony deformity. Additionally, MRI and CT can be valuable for preoperative evaluation.

For revision anterior spinal deformity surgery, CT angiography or MR angiography may be indicated, however, concerns associated with these modalities are radiation exposure (CT), availability of the imaging modalities in close proximity to patients, potential out of pocket costs to patients and sensitivity to patient movement (MRI).

References (SP-14)

v1.0.2025

- 1. Boas SR. Kyphoscoliosis: Adolescent Idiopathic Scoliosis and Congenital Scoliosis. In: Kliegman RM, Behrman RE, Jenson HB, et al, eds. *Nelson Textbook of Pediatrics*. 18th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier; 2007:1843-1844.
- 2. Spiegel DA, Hosalkar HS, Dormans JP.The Spine. In: Kliegman RM, Behrman RE, Jenson HB, et al., eds. *Nelson Textbook of Pediatrics*. 18th ed. Philadelphia, PA: Elsevier; 2007:2811-2815.
- 3. Do T, Fras C, Burke S, et al. Clinical value of routine preoperative magnetic resonance imaging in adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2001;83:577-579.
- 4. Dobbs MB, Lenke LG, Szymanski DA, et al. Prevalence of neural axis abnormalities in patients with infantile idiopathic scoliosis. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2002;84:2230-2234.
- 5. Rao, RD, Smuck M. Orthopaedic Knowledge Update 4: Spine. AAOS. 41:477-478.
- Lieberman JR. AAOS comprehensive orthopaedic review. Rosemont, IL.: American Academy of Orthopaedic Surgeons; 2009.
- 7. Pollak AN, Ficke JR. Extremity war injuries: Challenges in definitive reconstruction. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg.* 2008;16(11):407-417.
- 8. Swiontkowski MF. The journal of bone and joint surgery. JBJS. 1993:75A(9):1308-1317.
- 9. Bach HG, Goldberg BA. Posterior Capsular Contracture of the Shoulder. *J Am Acad Orthop Surg*. 2006;14(5):101-112.
- 10. Hedequist, D., Emans, J. Congenital scoliosis. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2004;12:266–275.
- 11. Gstottner M, Godny B, Petersen J., et al. CT angiography for anterior lumbar spine access: High radiation exposure and low clinical relevance. *Clin Orthop Relat Res*. 2011;469(3):819-824. doi:10.1007/s11999-010-1520-4
- 12. Kim H, Kim HS, Moon ES, et al. Scoliosis Imaging: what radiologists should know. *Radiographics*. 2010;30:1823-1842.
- 13. Calloni SF, Huisman TA, Poretti A, Soares BP. Back pain and scoliosis in children: When to image, what to consider. *Neuroradiol J.* 2017 Oct;30(5):393-404. doi:10.1177/1971400917697503
- 14. Wright N. Imaging in scoliosis. Arch Dis Child. 2000 Jan;82(1):38-40. doi:10.1136/adc.82.1.38 (references the below 2 articles)
- 15. Winter RB, Lonstein JE, Denis F, Koop SE. Prevalence of spinal canal or cord abnormalities in idiopathic, congenital, and neuromuscular scoliosis. *Orthopedic Transcripts*. 1992; 16:135.
- Barnes PD, Brody JD, Jaramillo D, Akbar JU, Emans JB. Atypical idiopathic scoliosis: MR imaging evaluation. Radiology. 1993;186:247–53
- 17. Belmont PJ, Jr., Kuklo TR, Taylor KF, Freedman BA, Prahinski JR, Kruse RW. Intraspinal anomalies associated with isolated congenital hemivertebra: the role of routine magnetic resonance imaging. *J Bone Joint Surg Am.* 2004;86-A:1704-10.
- Ozturk C, Karadereler S, Ornek I, Enercan M, Ganiyusufoglu K, Hamzaoglu A. The role of routine magnetic resonance imaging in the preoperative evaluation of adolescent idiopathic scoliosis. *Int Orthop*. 2010 Apr;34(4):543-546. doi:10.1007/s00264-009-0817-y
- 19. Garg, B., Aryal, A. (2023). Preoperative Evaluation and Imaging in AIS. In: Zacharia, B., Raja, S.D.C., KV, N. (eds) *Paediatric Scoliosis*. Springer, Singapore.
- Negrini S, Donzelli S, Aulisa AG, et al. 2016 SOSORT guidelines: orthopaedic and rehabilitation treatment of idiopathic scoliosis during growth. Scoliosis Spinal Disord. 2018;13:3. Published 2018 Jan 10. doi:10.1186/ s13013-017-0145-8
- 21. Watson RE, Yu L. Safety Considerations in MRI and CT. Continuum (Minneap Minn). 2023 Feb 1;29(1):27-53.

Post-Operative Spinal Disorders (SP-15)

Guideline

Greater than Six Months Post-Operative (SP-15.1)
Routine Post-Fusion Imaging (SP-15.2)
Prolonged Intractable Pain Following Spinal Surgery Within Six Months (SP-15.3)
Revision Anterior Fusion Surgery (SP-15.4)
Evidence Discussion (SP-15)
References (SP-15)

Greater than Six Months Post-Operative (SP-15.1)

SP.OP.0015.1.A

- Following plain x-rays of the affected spinal regions post-surgical with results available to the requesting provider, MRI without and with contrast, MRI without contrast, or CT without contrast of the affected spinal region(s) is indicated when:
 - Individual is more than six months post-operative; and
 - No significant improvement after a recent (within 12 weeks) six week trial of provider-directed treatment with clinical re-evaluation; or
 - See: Red Flag Indications (SP-1.2)
- See: <u>Nuclear Medicine (SP-17)</u> for nuclear medicine imaging when MRI/CT are nondiagnostic in back pain with suspected failed fusion surgery

V1.0.2025

Routine Post-Fusion Imaging (SP-15.2)

SP.OP.0015.2.A

v1.0.2025

- Following a clinically successful spinal fusion, advanced diagnostic imaging is generally not indicated.
- · PET is not currently indicated for the routine assessment of spinal fusions or unsuccessful spine surgery (see also: Spine PET (SP-2.10)).

Effective: November 1, 2025 Page 148 of 166

Proprietary Information of United Healthcare. Copyright © 2025 United Healthcare Services, Inc.

V1.0.2025

Prolonged Intractable Pain Following Spinal Surgery Within Six Months (SP-15.3)

SP.OP.0015.3.A

- Following plain x-rays of the affected spinal regions post-surgical with results
 available to the requesting provider, MRI without and with contrast of the affected
 spinal region(s) is indicated if there are residual, new, recurrent, or worsening
 symptoms related to the surgical site.
 - CT without contrast, or CT with contrast (Myelography) of the affected spinal region(s) if MRI is contraindicated.

V1.0.2025

Revision Anterior Fusion Surgery (SP-15.4)

SP.OP.0015.4.A

- If requested by the operating surgeon, the following studies for preoperative planning prior to surgical revision of a thoracic or lumbar anterior spinal arthrodesis:
 - ∘ CTA Pelvis (CPT® 72191) and/or CTA Abdomen (CPT® 74175); or
 - MRA Pelvis (CPT[®] 72198) and/or MRA Abdomen (CPT[®] 74185)

Evidence Discussion (SP-15)

v1.0.2025

Despite advances made in high-resolution spinal imaging, plain films remain integral in providing optimal care for spine patients and continue to provide critical information that cannot be obtained with other imaging modalities. X-ray imaging with anteroposterior, lateral, oblique and flexion-extension views is considered the primary imaging modality for postoperative evaluation and can provide complementary information to advanced imaging. X-rays can provide information as to whether a concomitant instability is present which would further assist with pre-operative planning. Also, when prior surgery is a concern, x-ray provides additional clinical information as to the details of the hardware for which this detail can many times be obscured with advanced imaging techniques (MRI/CT). An x-ray often has a larger field-of-view than an MRI or CT and has the potential to identify more proximal or distal pathology in the spine that could ultimately assist in determining the patient's diagnosis. X-rays can also determine whether an advanced diagnostic imaging study is actually needed, what specific advanced diagnostic imaging study is warranted and if contrast is required.

There are risks associated with advanced imaging including but not limited to radiation exposure, implanted device complications, metallic foreign body complications and contrast complications. Studies have also linked the increase rate of imaging with the increase rate of surgery and also found early magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) had an eightfold increased risk of surgery.

Although most patients with acute neck or back pain will improve with 6 weeks of conservative care, conservative care would not be necessary for patients with prolonged intractable pain present within 6 months of surgery or if a red flag indication was present. In general, initial plain x-rays and an initial course of conservative care can provide a significant clinical benefit that would outweigh the clinical harm from perhaps briefly delaying advanced imaging if needed. A course of conservative care or plain x-ray findings many times may obviate the need for advanced imaging which possess their own set of significant risks.

For revision thoracic or lumbar anterior spinal arthrodesis, CT angiography or MR angiography may be indicated, however, risks are present with these modalities including radiation exposure (CT), availability of the imaging modalities in close proximity to patients, potential out-of-pocket costs to patients, and sensitivity to patient movement (MRI).

Proprietary Information of United Healthcare. Copyright © 2025 United Healthcare Services, Inc.

References (SP-15)

v1.0.2025

- 1. Hayashi D, Roemer FW, Mian A, Gharaibeh M, et al. Imaging features of post-operative complications after spinal surgery and instrumentation. AJR Am J Roentgenol. 2012;199(1):W123-W129. doi:10.2214/AJR.11.6497.
- 2. Thakkar RS, Malloy JP, Thakkar SC, Carrino JA, Khanna AJ. Imaging the post-operative spine. Rad Clin North Am. 2012;50:731-747.
- 3. Kathuria S. Post-vertebral augmentation spine imaging. Neuroimaging Clin N Am. 2014;24(2):337-347.
- 4. Savage JW, Schroeder GD, Anderson PA. Vertebroplasty and kyphoplasty for the treatment of osteoporotic vertebral compression fractures. J Am Acad Orthop Surg. 2014;22:653-664.
- 5. Gstottner M, Godny B, Petersen J., et al. CT angiography for anterior lumbar spine access: high radiation exposure and low clinical relevance. Clin Orthop Relat Res. 2011;469(3):819-824. doi:10.1007/ s11999-010-1520-4
- 6. Goodwin ML, Buchowski JM, Sciubba DM. Why X-rays? The importance of radiographs in spine surgery. Spine J. 2022 Nov;22(11):1759-1767.
- 7. Hutchins TA, Peckham M, Shah LM, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Low Back Pain. Available at https:// acsearch.acr.org/docs/69483/Narrative/. American College of Radiology. Revised 2021.
- 8. Chou R, Fu R, Carrino JA, et al. Imaging strategies for low-back pain: systematic review and meta-analysis. Lancet. 2009;373:463-472.
- 9. Childress MA, Becker BA. Nonoperative management of cervical radiculopathy. Am Fam Physician. 2016;93(9):746-54.
- 10. Watson RE, Yu L. Safety Considerations in MRI and CT. Continuum (Minneap Minn). 2023 Feb 1;29(1):27-53.
- 11. Shubha SV, Deyo RA, Berger ZD. Application of "Less is More" to Low Back Pain. Arch Intern Med. 2012;172(13):1016-1020.
- 12. Webster BS, Cifuentes M. Relationship of early magnetic resonance imaging for work-related acute low back pain with disability and medical utilization outcomes. J Occup Environ Med. 2010;52:900-907.

Other Imaging Studies and Procedures Related to the Spine Imaging Guidelines (SP-16)

Guideline

Prior to Spine Surgery (SP-16.1)
Prior to Interventional Spinal Injections (SP-16.2)
Prior to Spinal Cord Stimulator (SCS) Placement/Removal (SP-16.3)
Following Vertebral Augmentation Procedures (SP-16.4)
Evidence Discussion (SP-16)
References (SP-16)

Prior to Spine Surgery (SP-16.1)

SP.OI.0016.1.A

- Advanced imaging needed for surgical planning (e.g., MRI and/or CT) should be performed within the past six (6) months for preoperative planning prior to spine surgery when the criteria for advanced imaging studies of the spine are met as otherwise stated in the Spine Imaging Guidelines. (See: MRI of the Spine [SP-2.2], CT of the Spine [SP-2.3], CT/Myelography [SP-2.4])
- MR Angiography (MRA) and CT Angiography (CTA) are generally not indicated for preoperative planning of initial anterior spinal surgery unless abnormal vasculature is known or reasonably anticipated.

Prior to Interventional Spinal Injections (SP-16.2)

SP.OI.0016.2.A

v1.0.2025

- Advanced diagnostic imaging studies of the spine are not required prior to facet joint injections, medial branch blocks or radiofrequency ablations unless the criteria for advanced imaging studies of the spine are met as otherwise stated in the Spine Imaging Guidelines.
- Advanced diagnostic imaging studies of the cervical spine and/or thoracic spine
 are indicated within twenty-four (24) months prior to interlaminar or transforaminal
 epidural steroid injections of the cervical and/or thoracic spine when the criteria for
 advanced imaging studies of the spine are met as otherwise stated in the Spine
 Imaging Guidelines.
- Advanced diagnostic imaging studies of the lumbar spine are indicated prior to transforaminal epidural steroid injections of the lumbar spine when the criteria for advanced imaging studies of the spine are met as otherwise stated in the Spine Imaging Guidelines.
- Advanced diagnostic imaging studies of the lumbar spine are not required prior to lumbar spine interlaminar or caudal epidural steroid injections unless the criteria for advanced imaging studies of the spine are met as otherwise stated in the Spine Imaging Guidelines.
- For an individual with evidence of symptomatic spinal stenosis, MRI or CT with or without myelography demonstrating severe spinal stenosis at the level to be treated within the past twenty-four (24) months is required for an initial trial of a transforaminal, interlaminar or caudal epidural steroid injection when ALL of the following criteria are met:
 - Diagnostic evaluation has ruled out other potential causes of pain
 - Significant functional limitations resulting in diminished quality of life and impaired age-appropriate activities of daily living (ADLs)
 - Failure of at least four (4) weeks of conservative treatment (e.g., exercise, physical methods including physical therapy and/or chiropractic care, NSAIDs, and/or muscle relaxants)
- See: Red Flag Indications (SP-1.2) for severe radicular pain

V1.0.2025

Prior to Spinal Cord Stimulator (SCS) Placement/Removal (SP-16.3)

SP.OI.0016.3.A

- MRI Thoracic Spine without contrast (CPT® 72146) is generally the study of choice prior to SCS placement. CT Thoracic Spine without contrast (CPT® 72128) OR CT/ Myelography Thoracic Spine (CPT® 72129) are acceptable alternatives.
- Imaging of the lumbar spine is not indicated for placement nor removal of spinal cord stimulators.

V1.0.2025

Following Vertebral Augmentation Procedures (SP-16.4)

SP.OI.0016.4.A

v1.0.2025

• CT without contrast of the affected spinal region(s) within 24 hours post-procedure to evaluate neurologic sequelae resulting from cement extravasation

Background and Supporting Information

 MRI has not been shown to change the outcome of interventional pain procedures in recent scientific evidence-based studies and without substantial change in the clinical picture or intervening surgery. Repeat advanced diagnostic imaging studies are not necessary with each spinal injection or series of spinal injections.

Evidence Discussion (SP-16)

- Advanced imaging needed for surgical planning (e.g., MRI and/or CT) should be
 performed for preoperative planning prior to spine surgery. MRA and CTA are
 generally not indicated for preoperative planning of initial anterior spinal surgery
 unless abnormal vasculature is known or reasonably anticipated.
- Advanced diagnostic imaging studies of the spine are not required prior to facet joint injections, medial branch blocks or radiofrequency ablations unless the criteria for advanced imaging studies of the spine are met as otherwise stated in the Spine Imaging Guidelines.
- MRI Thoracic Spine without contrast is generally the study of choice prior to SCS placement, however, CT Thoracic Spine without contrast or CT/ Myelography Thoracic Spine are acceptable alternatives. Imaging of the lumbar spine is not indicated for placement nor removal of spinal cord stimulators.
- CT without contrast of the affected spinal region(s) within 24 hours post-procedure to evaluate neurologic sequelae resulting from cement extravasation.

References (SP-16)

v1.0.2025

- Cohen SP, Gupta A, Strassels SA, et al. Effect of MRI on treatment results or decision making in patients with lumbosacral radiculopathy referred for epidural steroid injections. *Arch Intern Med*. 2012;172:134-142. doi:10.1001/archinternmed.2011.593.
- 2. North American Spine Society (NASS) Coverage Committee. *Lumbar Epidural Injections: Defining Appropriate Coverage Positions*. About Coverage Recommendations. https://www.spine.org/PolicyPractice/CoverageRecommendations/AboutCoverageRecommendations.aspx.
- 3. Rathmell JP, Benzon HT, Dreyfuss P, et al. Safeguards to prevent neurologic complications after epidural steroid injections. *Anesthesiology*. 2015;122(5):974-984. doi:10.1097/aln.000000000000014.
- 4. Ghahreman A, Ferch R, Bogduk N. The efficacy of transforaminal injection of steroids for the treatment of lumbar radicular pain. *Pain Medicine*. 2010;11:1149-1168.
- Ghaly RF, Lissounov A, Candido KD, Knezevic NN. Should routine MRI of the lumbar spine be required prior to lumbar epidural steroid injection for sciatica pain? Surg Neuro Int. 2015;6:48. Published 2015 Mar 25. doi:10.4103/2152-7806.153888
- Benzon HT, Huntoon MA, Rathmell JP. Improving the safety of epidural steroid injections. JAMA. 2015;313:1713-1714.
- Cohen SP, Maus T. Point/Counterpoint-The need for magnetic resonance imaging before epidual corticosteroid injection. American Academy of Physical Medicine and Rehabilitation. 2013;5:230-237.
- 8. Shim E, Lee JW, Lee E, et al. Fluoroscopically guided epidural injections of the cervical and lumbar spine. *RadioGraphics*. 2017; 37:537–561.
- 9. Curtis S. MRI Scan of the Spine. Published October 24, 2022. https://www.spine-health.com/treatment/diagnostic-tests/mri-scan-spine.
- 10. Hutchins TA, Peckham M, Shah LM, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Low Back Pain. Available at https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69483/Narrative/. American College of Radiology. Revised 2021.
- 11. Benjamin J Best, Mokshal H Porwal, Peter A Pahapill. Preoperative Thoracic Spine Magnetic Resonance Imaging for Spinal Cord Stimulation: Should Such a Recommendation Be an Absolute Requirement? *Neuromodulation*. 2022 Jul;25(5):758-762.
- 12. Baek IH, Park HY, Kim KW, Jang TY, Lee JS. Paraplegia due to intradural cement leakage after vertebroplasty: a case report and literature review. *BMC Musculoskelet Disord*. 2021;22(1):741. Published 2021 Aug 28. doi:10.1186/s12891-021-04625-7

V1.0.2025

Nuclear Medicine (SP-17)

Guideline

Nuclear Medicine (SP-17) Evidence Discussion (SP-17) References (SP-17)

Nuclear Medicine (SP-17)

SP.FX.0017.A

v1.0.2025

- For evaluation of suspected loosening of orthopedic implants, when recent plain x-ray is nondiagnostic:
 - Bone scan (CPT® 78315) OR
 - Distribution of Radiopharmaceutical Agent SPECT (CPT® 78803, or 78831) OR
 - SPECT/CT (CPT[®] 78830)
- Back pain with suspected failed fusion surgery, with suspected painful pseudoarthrosis and MRI/CT are nondiagnostic:
 - Radiopharmaceutical Localization SPECT (CPT® 78803, or 78831) OR
 - SPECT/CT (CPT® 78830)
- Any of the following studies are indicated for initial evaluation of suspected osteomyelitis:
 - Bone scan (one of CPT[®] codes: 78300, 78305, 78306, or 78315) OR
 - Distribution of Radiopharmaceutical Agent SPECT (CPT® 78803) OR
 - Nuclear Bone Marrow imaging (one of CPT® codes: 78102, 78103, or 78104) OR
 - Radiopharmaceutical inflammatory imaging (one of CPT[®] codes: 78800, 78801, 78802) **OR**
 - Distribution Of Radiopharmaceutical Agent SPECT (CPT[®] 78803 or 78831) OR
 - SPECT/CT (CPT® 78830 or 78832)
- For follow-up imaging, any of the following studies are indicated for evaluation of response to treatment in established osteomyelitis. The appropriate follow-up advanced imaging time frame will depend on the nature of the underlying disease and prior imaging:
 - Bone scan (one of CPT[®] codes: 78300, 78305, 78306, or 78315) OR
 - Nuclear Bone Marrow imaging (one of CPT® codes: 78102, 78103, or 78104)
- For evaluation of facet arthropathy in individuals with ankylosing spondylitis, osteoarthritis, or rheumatoid arthritis:
 - Radiopharmaceutical Localization Inflammatory Imaging (one of CPT[®] codes: 78800, 78801, 78802, or 78803) **OR**
 - Distribution Of Radiopharmaceutical Agent SPECT (CPT® 78803) OR
 - SPECT/CT (CPT® 78830)
- For the evaluation of back pain and suspected spondylolysis:
 - Radiopharmaceutical Agent SPECT (CPT® 78803 or 78831) OR
 - SPECT/CT (CPT[®] 78830 or 78832)^{2,3,4}
- For the evaluation of a new symptomatic compression fracture identified on radiographs or CT, and no known malignancy:

Adult Spine Imaging Guidelines (For Ohio Only):

CSRAD014OH.D

UnitedHealthcare Community Plan Coverage Determination Guideline Proprietary Information of United Healthcare. Copyright © 2025 United Healthcare Services, Inc.

Effective: November 1, 2025

Page 161 of 166

 Whole body bone scan with add on SPECT (CPT® 78803) or SPECT/CT (CPT® 78830) See also: Spinal Compression Fractures (SP-11.1) 	.0.202
78830)	
	Γ®
dult Spine Imaging Guidelines (For Ohio Only):	

Evidence Discussion (SP-17)

v1.0.2025

The American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria for low back pain (revised 2021) states that CT or MRI imaging may be considered for patients with spinal issues who have had failed at least 6 weeks of medical management or physical therapy. For most patients with spine issues, MRI and or CT is the study of choice after failed conservative treatments. There is no relevant literature to support the use of bone scan with single-photon emission CT (SPECT) or SPECT/CT in the initial evaluation of acute uncomplicated LBP.

Given the risk of radiation from nuclear medicine imaging it is important to carefully select the proper patient indication. Based on American College of Radiology Appropriateness Criteria for low back pain (revised 2021) and also supported by literature nuclear medicine is used infrequently but is supported for the following indication:

- Structures with abnormal morphology on conventional imaging may not be the cause of LBP. Evidence suggests possible utility of bone scan with SPECT or SPECT/CT as a functional modality to localize the source of LBP, particularly for facet arthropathy.
- SPECT bone scan is the reference standard for detection of radiographically occult active spondylolysis in the young patient.
- Bone scan with SPECT/CT is usually not used for initial imaging but can be useful for radiographically occult fractures and can be used to evaluate acuity of vertebral fracture.
- Bone scan can be used for suspected loosening of orthopedic implants and failed fusion surgery, when recent plain x-ray is nondiagnostic. MRI evaluation of these patients can be significantly limited due to metal artifact from the implants.
- Bone scan and WBS labeled scans can be used for suspected spinal osteomyelitis.

References (SP-17)

- Hutchins TA, Peckham M, Shah LM, et al. ACR Appropriateness Criteria[®] Low Back Pain. Available at https://acsearch.acr.org/docs/69483/Narrative/. American College of Radiology. Revised 2021.
- 2. Harvey CJ, Richenberg JL, Saifuddin A, Wolman RL. The radiological investigation of lumbar spondylolysis. *Clin Radiol.* 1998 Oct;53(10):723-8. doi: 10.1016/s0009-9260(98)80313-9. PMID: 9817088.
- 3. Expert Panel on Pediatric Imaging:; Booth TN, Iyer RS, Falcone RA Jr, Hayes LL, Jones JY, Kadom N, Kulkarni AV, Myseros JS, Partap S, Reitman C, Robertson RL, Ryan ME, Saigal G, Soares BP, Tekes-Brady A, Trout AT, Zumberge NA, Coley BD, Palasis S. ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Back Pain-Child. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2017 May;14(5S):S13-S24. doi: 10.1016/j.jacr.2017.01.039. PMID: 28473069.
- Bellah RD, Summerville DA, Treves ST, Micheli LJ. Low-back pain in adolescent athletes: detection of stress injury to the pars interarticularis with SPECT. *Radiology*. 1991 Aug;180(2):509-12. doi: 10.1148/ radiology.180.2.1829845. PMID: 1829845.
- Russo VM, Dhawan RT, Baudracco I, Dharmarajah N, Lazzarino AI, Casey AT. Hybrid Bone SPECT/CT Imaging in Evaluation of Chronic Low Back Pain: Correlation with Facet Joint Arthropathy. World Neurosurg. 2017;107:732-38.
- Matesan M, Behnia F, Bermo M, Vesselle H. SPECT/CT bone scintigraphy to evaluate low back pain in young athletes: common and uncommon etiologies. *J Orthop Surg Res.* 2016;11(1):76. doi:10.1186/ s13018-016-0402-1

V1.0.2025

Policy History and Instructions for Use

$\overline{}$				
Gι	JId	le	line	,

Policy History and Instructions for Use

Page 165 of 166

Policy History and Instructions for Use

Policy History and Instructions for Use

v1.0.2025

Instructions for Use

This Medical Policy provides assistance in interpreting United HealthCare Services, Inc. standard benefit plans. When deciding coverage, the federal, state (Ohio Administrative Code [OAC]) or contractual requirements for benefit plan coverage must be referenced as the terms of the federal, state (OAC) or contractual requirements for benefit plan coverage may differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state (OAC) or contractual requirements for benefit plan coverage govern.

Before using this policy, please check the federal, state (OAC) or contractual requirements for benefit plan coverage. United HealthCare Services, Inc. reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice.

United HealthCare Services, Inc. uses InterQual® for the primary medical/surgical criteria, and the American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) for substance use, in administering health benefits. If InterQual® does not have applicable criteria, United HealthCare Services, Inc. may also use United HealthCare Services, Inc.'s Medical Policies, Coverage Determination Guidelines, and/or Utilization Review Guidelines that have been approved by the Ohio Department for Medicaid Services. The United HealthCare Services, Inc.'s Medical Policies, Coverage Determination Guidelines, and Utilization Review Guidelines are intended to be used in connection with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Policy History/Revision Information

Date	Summary of Changes
02/01/2024	Annual evidence-based updates
07/01/2024	Interim evidence-based updates
05/01/2025	Annual evidence-based updates

Proprietary Information of United Healthcare. Copyright © 2025 United Healthcare Services, Inc.