



**UNITEDHEALTHCARE® COMMUNITY PLAN:
RADIOLOGY IMAGING COVERAGE DETERMINATION GUIDELINE**

**Adult Peripheral Nerve and Neuromuscular Disorders (PNND)
Imaging Guidelines (For Ohio Only)**

V2.0.2026

Guideline Number: CSRAD012OH.F

Effective Date: September 1, 2026

Application (for Ohio Only)

This Medical Policy only applies to the state of Ohio. Any requests for services that are stated as unproven or services for which there is a coverage or quantity limit will be evaluated for medical necessity using Ohio Administrative Code 5160-1-01.

Table of Contents

Guideline

Related Community Plan Policies
Application (For Ohio Only)
Guideline Development (Preface-1)
Benefits, Coverage Policies, and Eligibility Issues (Preface-2)
Clinical Information (Preface-3)
Coding Issues (Preface-4)
Whole-Body Imaging (Preface-5)
References (Preface-6)
General Guidelines (PN-1)
Focal Neuropathy (PN-2)
Polyneuropathy (PN-3)
Brachial Plexus (PN-4)
Lumbar and Lumbosacral Plexus (PN-5)
Muscle Disorders (PN-6)
Magnetic Resonance Neurography (MRN) (PN-7)
Neuromuscular Disorders (PN-8)
Peripheral Nerve Sheath Tumors (PNST) (PN-9)
Nuclear Imaging (PN-10)
Policy History and Instructions for Use

Related Community Plan Policies

Guideline

Related Community Plan Policies

Related Community Plan Policies

Related Community Plan Policies

v2.0.2026

General Policies

- General Musculoskeletal Imaging Guidelines

Pediatric Policies

- Pediatric Peripheral Nerve and Neuromuscular Disorders Imaging Guidelines

Application (For Ohio Only)

Guideline

Application (For Ohio Only)

Application (For Ohio Only)

Application for Ohio OH UHC

v2.0.2026

- This Medical Policy only applies to the state of Ohio. Any requests for services that are stated as unproven or services for which there is a coverage or quantity limit will be evaluated for medical necessity using Ohio Administrative Code 5160-1-01.

Guideline Development (Preface-1)

Guideline

Guideline Development (Preface-1.1)

Guideline Development (Preface-1.1)

PRF.GG.0001.1.UOH

v2.0.2026

- These evidence-based, proprietary clinical guidelines evaluate a range of advanced imaging and procedures, including NM, US, CT, MRI, PET, Radiation Oncology, Sleep Studies, as well as Cardiac, Musculoskeletal and Spine interventions.
- UnitedHealthcare reserves the right to change and update the guidelines. The guidelines undergo a formal review annually. These clinical guidelines are based on current evidence supported by major national and international association and society guidelines and criteria, peer-reviewed literature, and major treatises as well as input from health plans, and practicing academic and community-based physicians.
- These guidelines are not intended to supersede or replace sound medical judgment, but instead, should facilitate the identification of the most appropriate imaging or other designated procedure given the individual's clinical condition. These guidelines are written to cover medical conditions as experienced by the majority of individuals. However, these guidelines may not be applicable in certain clinical circumstances, and physician judgment can override the guidelines.
- These guidelines provide evidence-based, clinical benefits with a focus on health care quality and patient safety.
- Clinical decisions, including treatment decisions, are the responsibility of the individual and his/her provider. Clinicians are expected to use independent medical judgment, which takes into account the clinical circumstances to determine individual management decisions.

Benefits, Coverage Policies, and Eligibility Issues (Preface-2)

Guideline

Benefits, Coverage Policies, and Eligibility Issues (Preface-2.1)
References (Preface-2)

Benefits, Coverage Policies, and Eligibility Issues (Preface-2.1)

PRF.BC.0002.1.UOH
v2.0.2026

Investigational and Experimental Studies

- Certain studies, treatments, procedures, or devices may be considered experimental, investigational, or unproven for any condition, illness, disease, injury being treated if one of the following is present:
 - if there is a paucity of supporting evidence;
 - if the evidence has not matured to exhibit improved health parameters;
 - if clinical utility has not been demonstrated in any condition; OR
 - if the study, treatment, procedure, or device lacks a collective opinion of support
- Supporting evidence includes standards that are based on credible scientific evidence published in peer-reviewed medical literature (such as well conducted randomized clinical trials or cohort studies with a sample size of sufficient statistical power) generally recognized by the relevant medical community. Collective opinion of support includes physician specialty society recommendations and the views of physicians practicing in relevant clinical areas when physician specialty society recommendations are not available.

Clinical and Research Trials

- Similar to investigational and experimental studies, clinical trial imaging requests are reviewed to determine whether they meet these evidence-based clinical guidelines.
- Imaging studies which are inconsistent with established clinical standards, or are requested for data collection and not used in direct clinical management are not medically necessary.

CPT Codes

- The inclusion of any CPT code in the clinical guidelines does not imply that the code is under management or requires prior authorization.

References (Preface-2)

v2.0.2026

1. 2.42 USC 300gg-8: Coverage for individuals participating in approved clinical trials. House.gov. Published 2024.

Clinical Information (Preface-3)

Guideline

Clinical Information (Preface-3.1)

References (Preface-3)

Clinical Information (Preface-3.1)

PRF.CL.0003.1.UOH

v2.0.2026

Clinical Documentation and Age Considerations

- These clinical guidelines use an evidence-based approach to determine the most appropriate procedure for each individual, at the most appropriate time in the diagnostic and treatment cycle. These clinical guidelines are framed by:
 - clinical presentation of the individual, rather than the studies requested
 - adequate clinical information that must be submitted to UnitedHealthcare in order to establish medical necessity for advanced imaging or other designated procedures including, but not limited to, the following:
 - Pertinent clinical evaluation since the onset or change in symptoms including a detailed history, physical examination, appropriate laboratory studies, and appropriate prior imaging studies.
 - Condition-specific guideline sections may describe additional clinical information which is required for a pertinent clinical evaluation.
 - The Spine and Musculoskeletal guidelines require x-ray studies from when the current episode of symptoms has started or changed.
 - Advanced imaging or other designated procedures should not be ordered prior to clinical evaluation of an individual by the physician treating the individual. This may include referral to a consultant specialist who will make further treatment decisions.
 - Other meaningful technological contact (telehealth visit, telephone or video call, electronic mail or messaging) since the onset or change in symptoms by an established individual can serve as a pertinent clinical evaluation.
 - Some conditions may require a face-to-face evaluation as discussed in the applicable condition-specific guideline sections.
 - A recent clinical evaluation may be unnecessary if the individual is undergoing a guideline-supported, scheduled follow-up imaging or other designated procedural evaluation. Exceptions due to routine surveillance indications are addressed in the applicable condition-specific guideline sections.
 - The evidence-based approach to determine the most appropriate procedure for each individual requires submission of medical records pertinent to the requested imaging or other designated procedures.
- Many conditions affecting the pediatric population are different diagnoses than those occurring in the adult population. For those diseases which occur in both pediatric and adult populations, minor differences may exist in management due to individual age, comorbidities, and differences in disease natural history between children and adults.

- Individuals who are 18 years old or younger should be imaged according to the Pediatric Imaging Guidelines if discussed in the condition-specific guideline sections. Any conditions not specifically discussed in the Pediatric Imaging Guidelines should be imaged according to the General Imaging Guidelines. Individuals who are >18 years old should be imaged according to the General Imaging Guidelines, except where directed otherwise by a specific guideline section.

General Imaging Information

- “Standard” or “conventional” imaging is most often performed in the initial and subsequent evaluations of malignancy. Standard or conventional imaging includes plain film, CT, MRI, or US.
 - Often, further advanced imaging is needed when initial imaging, such as ultrasound, CT, or MRI does not answer the clinical question. Uncertain, indeterminate, inconclusive, or equivocal may describe these situations.
- Appropriate use of contrast is a very important component of evidence-based advanced imaging use.
 - The appropriate levels of contrast for an examination (i.e., without contrast, with contrast, without and with contrast) is determined by the evidence-based guidance reflected in the condition-specific guideline sections.
 - If, during the performance of a non-contrast imaging study, there is the unexpected need to use contrast in order to evaluate a possible abnormality, then that is appropriate.

Ultrasound

- Diagnostic ultrasound uses high-frequency sound waves to evaluate soft tissue structures and vascular structures utilizing grey scale and Doppler techniques.
- Ultrasound allows for dynamic real-time imaging at the bedside.
 - Ultrasound is limited in areas where there is dense bone or other calcification.
 - Ultrasound also has a relatively limited imaging window so may be of limited value in evaluating very large abnormalities.
 - In general, ultrasound is highly operator-dependent, and proper training and experience are required to perform consistent, high-quality evaluations.
- Indications for ultrasound may include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - Obstetric and gynecologic imaging
 - Soft tissue and visceral imaging of the chest, abdomen, pelvis, and extremities
 - Brain and spine imaging when not obscured by dense bony structures
 - Vascular imaging when not obscured by dense bony structures
 - Procedural guidance when not obscured by dense bony structures
 - Initial evaluation of ill-defined soft tissue masses or fullness and differentiating adenopathy from mass or cyst. Prior to advanced imaging, ultrasound can be

very beneficial in selecting the proper modality, body area, image sequences, and contrast level that will provide the most definitive information for the individual.

- More specific guidance for ultrasound usage, including exceptions to this general guidance, can be found throughout the condition-specific guidelines.

Computed Tomography (CT)

- The AMA CPT[®] manual does not describe nor assign any minimum or maximum number of sequences for any CT study. CT imaging protocols are often influenced by the individual's clinical situation and additional sequences are not uncommon. There are numerous CT protocols that may be performed to evaluate specific clinical questions, and this technology is constantly undergoing development.
- CT utilizes ionizing radiation to create cross-sectional and volumetric images of the body.
 - Advantages over ultrasound include a much larger field of view and faster completion time in general. Disadvantages compared to ultrasound include lack of portability and exposure to ionizing radiation.
 - Advantages over MRI include faster imaging and a more spacious scanner area limiting claustrophobia. Disadvantages compared to MRI include decreased soft tissue definition, especially with non-contrast imaging, and exposure to ionizing radiation.
- CT can be performed without, with, or without and with intravenous (IV) contrast depending on the clinical indication and body area.
 - In general, non-contrast imaging is appropriate for evaluating structures with significant tissue density differences such as lung parenchyma and bony structures, or when there is a contraindication to contrast.
 - In general, CT with contrast is the most common level of contrast and can be used when there is need for improved vascular or soft tissue resolution, including better characterization of known or suspected malignancy, as well as infectious and inflammatory conditions.
 - CT without and with contrast has a limited role as the risks of doubling the ionizing radiation exposure rarely outweigh the benefits of multiphasic imaging, though there are some exceptions which include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - Characterization of a mass
 - Characterization of arterial and venous anatomy
 - CT with contrast may be used to better characterize findings on a very recent (within two weeks) inconclusive non-contrast CT where the guidelines would support CT without and with contrast.
 - More specific guidance for CT contrast usage, including exceptions to this general guidance, can be found throughout the condition-specific guidelines.
- Shellfish allergy:

- It is commonly assumed that an allergy to shellfish indicates iodine allergy, and that this implies an allergy to iodinated contrast media used with CT. However, this is NOT true. Shellfish allergy is due to tropomyosins. Iodine plays no role in these allergic reactions. Allergies to shellfish do not increase the risk of reaction to iodinated contrast media any more than that of other allergens.
- Enteric contrast (oral or rectal) is sometimes used in abdominal imaging. There is no specific CPT[®] code which refers to enteric contrast.
- The appropriate contrast level and anatomic region in CT imaging is specific to the clinical indication, as listed in the condition-specific guideline sections.
- CT should not be used to replace MRI in an attempt to avoid sedation unless it is listed as a recommended study in the appropriate condition-specific guideline.
- There are significant potential adverse effects associated with the use of iodinated contrast media. These include hypersensitivity reactions, thyroid dysfunction, and contrast-induced nephropathy (CIN). Individuals with impaired renal function are at increased risk for CIN.
- Both contrast CT and MRI are considered to have the same risk profile with renal failure (GFR <30mL/min).
- The use of CT contrast should proceed with caution in pregnant and breastfeeding individuals. There is a theoretical risk of contrast toxicity to the fetal and infant thyroid. The procedure can be performed if the specific need for that contrast-enhanced procedure outweighs risk to the fetus. Breastfeeding individuals may reduce this risk by choosing to pump and discard breast milk for 12-24 hours after the contrast injection.
- CT without contrast is medically necessary if clinical criteria for CT with contrast are met AND the individual has/is:
 - elevated blood urea nitrogen (BUN) and/or creatinine
 - renal insufficiency
 - allergies to iodinated contrast
 - thyroid disease which could be treated with I-131
 - diabetes
 - very elderly
 - urgent or emergent settings due to availability
 - trauma
- CT is superior to other imaging modalities in certain conditions including, but not limited to, the following:
 - Screening following trauma
 - Imaging pulmonary disease
 - Imaging abdominal and pelvic viscera
 - Imaging of complex fractures

- Evaluation of inconclusive findings on Ultrasound or MRI, or if there is a contraindication to MRI
- More specific guidance for CT usage, including exceptions to this general guidance, can be found throughout the condition-specific guidelines.

Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI)

- The AMA CPT[®] manual does not describe nor assign any minimum or maximum number of sequences for any MRI study. MRI protocols are often influenced by the individual's clinical situation and additional sequences are not uncommon. There are numerous MRI sequences that may be performed to evaluate specific clinical questions, and this technology is constantly undergoing development.
- Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI) utilizes the interaction between the intrinsic radiofrequency of certain molecules in the body (hydrogen in most cases) and a strong external magnetic field.
 - MRI is often superior for advanced imaging of soft tissues and can also define physiological processes in some instances (e.g., edema, loss of circulation [AVN], and increased vascularity [tumors]).
 - MRI does not use ionizing radiation and even non-contrast images have much higher soft tissue definition than CT or Ultrasound.
 - MRI typically takes much longer than either CT or Ultrasound, and for some individuals may require sedation. It is also much more sensitive to individual motion that can degrade image quality than either CT or Ultrasound.
- MRI Breast and MRI Chest are not interchangeable, as they focus detailed sequences on different adjacent body parts.
- MRI may be utilized either as the primary advanced imaging modality, or when further definition is needed based on CT or ultrasound imaging.
- Most orthopedic and dental implants are not magnetic. These include hip and knee replacements; plates, screws, and rods used to treat fractures; and cavity fillings. Yet, all of these metal implants can distort the MRI image if near the part of the body being scanned.
 - Other implants, however, may have contraindications to MRI. These include the following:
 - pacemakers
 - ICD or heart valves
 - metal implants in the brain
 - metal implants in the eyes or ears
 - infusion catheters and bullets or shrapnel
 - CT can therefore be an alternative study to MRI in these scenarios.
- The contrast level and anatomic region in MRI imaging is specific to the clinical indication, as listed in the specific guideline sections.

- MRI utilizing Xenon Xe 129 (CPT® C9791) for contrast is considered investigational and experimental at this time. MRI with or with and without contrast in these guidelines refers to MRI utilizing gadolinium for contrast.
- MRI is commonly performed without, and without and with contrast.
 - Non-contrast imaging offers excellent tissue definition.
 - Imaging without and with contrast is commonly used when needed to better characterize tissue perfusion and vascularization.
 - Most contrast is gadolinium based and causes T2 brightening of the vascular and extracellular spaces.
 - Some specialized gadolinium and non-gadolinium contrast agents are available, and are most commonly used for characterizing liver lesions.
 - MRI with contrast only is rarely appropriate and is usually used to better characterize findings on a recent inconclusive non-contrast MRI, commonly called a completion study.
 - MRI contrast is relatively contraindicated in pregnant individuals.
 - More specific guidance for MRI contrast usage, including exceptions to this general guidance, can be found throughout the condition-specific guidelines.
- MRI may be preferred in individuals with renal failure and in individuals allergic to intravenous CT contrast.
 - Both contrast CT and MRI are considered to have the same risk profile with renal failure (GFR <30mL/min).
 - Gadolinium can cause Nephrogenic Systemic Fibrosis (NSF). The greater the exposure to gadolinium in individuals with a low GFR (especially if on dialysis), the greater the chance of individuals developing NSF.
 - Multiple studies have demonstrated potential for gadolinium deposition following the use of gadolinium-based contrast agents (GBCAs) for MRI studies. The U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has noted that there is currently no evidence to suggest that gadolinium retention in the brain is harmful and restricting GBCAs use is not warranted at this time. It has been recommended that GBCA use should be limited to circumstances in which additional information provided by the contrast agent is necessary and the necessity of repetitive MRIs with GBCAs should be assessed.
- A CT is medically necessary in place of an MRI when clinical criteria are met for MRI AND there is a contraindication to having an MRI (e.g., pacemaker, ICD, insulin pump, neurostimulator, etc.).
 - When replacing MRI with CT, contrast level matching should occur as follows:
 - MRI without contrast → CT without contrast
 - MRI without and with contrast → CT with contrast or CT without and with contrast
- The following situations may impact the appropriateness for MRI and/or MR contrast:

- Caution should be taken in the use of gadolinium in individuals with renal failure.
- The use of gadolinium contrast agents is relatively contraindicated during pregnancy unless the specific need for that procedure outweighs risk to the fetus.
- MRI can be performed for non-ferromagnetic body metals (i.e., titanium), although some imaging facilities will consider it contraindicated if recent surgery, regardless of the metal type.
- MRI should not be used as a replacement for CT for the sole reason of avoidance of ionizing radiation when MRI is not medically necessary in the condition-based guidelines, since it does not solve the problem of overutilization.
- MRI is superior to other imaging modalities in certain conditions including, but not limited to, the following:
 - Imaging the brain and spinal cord
 - Characterizing visceral and musculoskeletal soft tissue masses
 - Evaluating musculoskeletal soft tissues including ligaments and tendons
 - Evaluating inconclusive findings on ultrasound or CT
 - Individuals who are pregnant or have high radiation sensitivity
 - Suspicion, diagnosis, or surveillance of infections
- More specific guidance for MRI usage, including exceptions to this general guidance, can be found throughout the condition-specific guidelines.

Positron Emission Tomography (PET)

- PET is a nuclear medicine study that uses a positron emitting radiotracer to create cross-sectional and volumetric images based on tissue metabolism.
- Conventional imaging (frequently CT, sometimes MRI or bone scan) of the affected area(s) drives much of initial and restaging and surveillance imaging for malignancy and other chronic conditions. PET is not medically necessary for surveillance imaging unless specifically stated in the condition-specific guideline sections.
- PET/MRI is generally not medically necessary, see **PET-MRI (Preface-5.3)**.
- PET is rarely performed as a single modality, but is typically performed as a combined PET/CT.
 - The unbundling of PET/CT into separate PET and diagnostic CT CPT[®] codes is not medically necessary, because PET/CT is done as a single study.
- PET/CT lacks the tissue definition of CT or MRI but is fairly specific for metabolic activity based on the radiotracer used.
- Indications for PET/CT may include the following:
 - Oncologic Imaging for evaluation of tumor metabolic activity
 - Cardiac Imaging for evaluation of myocardial metabolic activity
 - Brain Imaging for evaluation of metabolic activity for procedural planning
- More specific guidance for PET usage, including exceptions to this general guidance, can be found throughout the condition-specific guidelines.

Overutilization of Advanced Imaging

- A number of reports describe overutilization in many areas of advanced imaging and other procedures, which may include the following:
 - High-level testing without consideration of less invasive, lower cost options which may adequately address the clinical question at hand
 - Excessive radiation and costs with unnecessary testing
 - Defensive medical practice
 - CT without and with contrast (so called "double contrast studies") requests, which have few current indications
 - MRI requested in place of CT to avoid radiation without considering the primary indication for imaging
 - Adult CT settings and protocols used for smaller people and children
 - Unnecessary imaging procedures when the same or similar studies have already been conducted
- A review of the imaging or other relevant procedural histories of all individuals presenting for studies has been recognized as one of the more important processes that can be significantly improved. By recognizing that a duplicate or questionably medically necessary imaging study has been ordered for individuals, it may be possible to avoid exposing them to unnecessary risks. To avoid these unnecessary risks, the precautions below should be considered:
 - The results of initial diagnostic tests or radiologic studies to narrow the differential diagnosis should be obtained prior to performing further tests or radiologic studies.
 - The clinical history should include a potential indication such as a known or suspected abnormality involving the body part for which the imaging study is being requested. These potential indications are addressed in greater detail within the applicable guidelines.
 - The results of the requested imaging procedures should be expected to have an impact on individual management or treatment decisions.
 - Repeat imaging studies are not generally necessary unless there is evidence of disease progression, recurrence of disease, and/or the repeat imaging will affect an individual's clinical management.
- Pre-operative imaging/pre-surgical planning imaging/pre-procedure imaging is not medically necessary if the surgery/procedure is not medically necessary. Once the procedure has been approved or if the procedure does not require prior authorization, the appropriate pre-procedural imaging may be approved.

Health Equity Considerations

Health equity is the highest level of health for all individuals; health inequity is the avoidable difference in health status or distribution of health resources due to the social

conditions in which individuals are born, grow, live, work, and age. Social determinants of health are the conditions in the environment that affect a wide range of health, functioning, and quality of life outcomes and risks. Examples include the following: safe housing, transportation, and neighborhoods; racism, discrimination, and violence; education, job opportunities, and income; access to nutritious foods and physical activity opportunities; access to clean air and water; and language and literacy skills.

References (Preface-3)

v2.0.2026

1. American College of Radiology Committee on Drugs and Contrast Media. ACR Manual on Contrast Media. Published 2025.
2. Andreucci M, Solomon R, Tasanarong A. Side Effects of Radiographic Contrast Media: Pathogenesis, Risk Factors, and Prevention. *BioMed Res Int*. 2014;2014:1-20. doi:10.1155/2014/741018
3. McDonald RJ, McDonald JS, Kallmes DF, et al. Gadolinium Deposition in Human Brain Tissues after Contrast-enhanced MR Imaging in Adult Patients without Intracranial Abnormalities. *Radiology*. 2017;285(2):546-554. doi:10.1148/radiol.2017161595
4. Kanda T, Ishii K, Kawaguchi H, Kitajima K, Takenaka D. High Signal Intensity in the Dentate Nucleus and Globus Pallidus on Unenhanced T1-weighted MR Images: Relationship with Increasing Cumulative Dose of a Gadolinium-based Contrast Material. *Radiology*. 2014;270(3):834-841. doi:10.1148/radiol.13131669
5. Olchoway C, Cebulski K, Łasecki M, et al. The presence of the gadolinium-based contrast agent depositions in the brain and symptoms of gadolinium neurotoxicity - A systematic review. Mohapatra S, ed. *PLOS ONE*. 2017;12(2):e0171704. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0171704
6. Ryu YJ, Choi YH, Cheon JE, et al. Pediatric Brain: Gadolinium Deposition in Dentate Nucleus and Globus Pallidus on Unenhanced T1-Weighted Images Is Dependent on the Type of Contrast Agent. *Invest Radiol*. 2018;53(4):246-255. doi:10.1097/RLI.0000000000000436
7. Splendiani A, Perri M, Marsecano C, et al. Effects of serial macrocyclic-based contrast materials gadoterate meglumine and gadobutrol administrations on gadolinium-related dentate nuclei signal increases in unenhanced T1-weighted brain: a retrospective study in 158 multiple sclerosis (MS) patients. *Radiol Med*. 2018;123(2):125-134. doi:10.1007/s11547-017-0816-9
8. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. FDA Drug Safety Communication: FDA warns that gadolinium-based contrast agents (GBCAs) are retained in the body; requires new class warnings. Published May 16, 2018.
9. Amis ES Jr, Butler PF; American College of Radiology. ACR white paper on radiation dose in medicine: three years later. *J Am Coll Radiol*. 2010;7(11):865-870. doi:10.1016/j.jacr.2010.04.006
10. Powell AC, Long JW, Kren EM, Gupta AK, Levin DC. Evaluation of a Program for Improving Advanced Imaging Interpretation. *J Patient Saf*. 2019;15(1):69-75. doi:10.1097/PTS.000000000000034.5
11. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. White Paper: Initiative to Reduce Unnecessary Radiation Exposure from Medical Imaging. FDA. Published June 14, 2019
12. Fotenos A. Update on FDA Approach to Safety Issue of Gadolinium Retention after Administration of Gadolinium-Based Contrast Agents. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Published September 20, 2018
13. Blumfield E, Swenson DW, Iyer RS, Stanescu AL. Gadolinium-based contrast agents — review of recent literature on magnetic resonance imaging signal intensity changes and tissue deposits, with emphasis on pediatric patients. *Pediatr Radiol*. 2019;49(4):448-457. doi:10.1007/s00247-018-4304-8
14. American College of Radiology. ACR – SPR – SRU Practice Parameter for the Performance and Interpretation of Diagnostic Ultrasound Examinations. Revised 2023. (Resolution 32).
15. American College of Radiology. ACR – ACNM – SNMMI – SPR Practice Parameter for Performing FDG-PET/CT in Oncology. Amended 2023. (Resolution 2c, 2d).
16. American College of Radiology. ACR Practice Parameter for Performing and Interpreting Magnetic Resonance Imaging (MRI). Amended 2023. (Resolution 2c).
17. American College of Radiology. ACR – SPR Practice Parameter for Performing and Interpreting Diagnostic Computed Tomography (CT). Amended 2023. (Resolution 2c, 2d).
18. Lohrke J, Frenzel T, Endrikat J, et al. 25 Years of Contrast-Enhanced MRI: Developments, Current Challenges and Future Perspectives. *Adv Ther*. 2016;33(1):1-28. doi:10.1007/s12325-015-0275-4
19. Implementation Guide: Medicaid State Plan Eligibility Groups – Mandatory Coverage Infants and Children under Age 19. Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services.
20. History and Physicals - Understanding the Requirements: What are the key elements organizations need to understand regarding History and Physical Requirements?. The Joint Commission. Reviewed July 12, 2022.

21. Mammarrappallil JG, Rankine L, Wild JM, Driehuys B. New Developments in Imaging Idiopathic Pulmonary Fibrosis With Hyperpolarized Xenon Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *J Thorac Imaging*. 2019;34(2):136-150. doi:10.1097/rti.0000000000000392
22. Wang JM, Robertson SH, Wang Z, et al. Using hyperpolarized ¹²⁹Xe MRI to quantify regional gas transfer in idiopathic pulmonary fibrosis. *Thorax*. 2017;73(1):21-28. doi:10.1136/thoraxjnl-2017-210070
23. Committee Opinion No. 723: Guidelines for Diagnostic Imaging During Pregnancy and Lactation [published correction appears in *Obstet Gynecol*. 2018 Sep;132(3):786. doi: 10.1097/AOG.0000000000002858.]. *Obstet Gynecol*. 2017;130(4):e210-e216. doi:10.1097/AOG.0000000000002355

Coding Issues (Preface-4)

Guideline

3D Rendering (Preface-4.1)

CT-, MR-, or Ultrasound-Guided Procedures (Preface-4.2)

Unlisted Procedures/Therapy Treatment Planning (Preface-4.3)

CPT® 76380 Limited or Follow-up CT (Preface-4.5)

SPECT/CT Imaging (Preface-4.6)

CPT® 76140 Interpretation of an Outside Study (Preface-4.7)

Quantitative MR Analysis (Preface-4.8)

HCPCS Codes (Preface-4.9)

References (Preface-4)

3D Rendering (Preface-4.1)

PRF.CD.0004.1.UOH

v2.0.2026

CPT® 76376 and CPT® 76377

- Both codes require concurrent supervision of the image post-processing 3D manipulation of the volumetric data set and image rendering.
 - Concurrent supervision is defined as active physician participation in and monitoring of the reconstruction process including design of the anatomic region that is to be reconstructed; determination of the tissue types and actual structures to be displayed (e.g., bone, organs, and vessels); determination of the images or cine loops that are to be archived; and, monitoring and adjustment of the 3D work product. The American College of Radiology (ACR) recommended that it is best to document the physician's supervision or participation in the 3D reconstruction of images.
- These two codes differ in the need for and use of an independent workstation for post-processing.
 - CPT® 76376 reports procedures not requiring image post-processing on an independent workstation.
 - CPT® 76377 reports procedures that require image post-processing on an independent workstation.
- These 3D rendering codes should not be used for 2D reformatting.
- Two-dimensional reconstruction (e.g., reformatting an axial scan into the coronal plane) is now included in all cross-sectional imaging base codes and is not separately reimbursable.
- The codes used to report 3D rendering for ultrasound and echocardiography are also used to report the 3D post processing work on CT, MRI, and other tomographic modalities.
- Providers may be required to obtain prior authorization on these 3D codes even if prior authorization is not required for the echocardiography and/or ultrasound procedure codes. It may appear that UnitedHealthcare pre-authorizes echocardiography and/or ultrasound when, in fact, it may only be the 3D code that needs the prior authorization.
- CPT® codes for 3D rendering should not be billed in conjunction with computer-aided detection (CAD), MRA, CTA, nuclear medicine SPECT studies, PET, PET/CT, stereotactic localization (CPT® 77011 or CPT® 70486 if used), Mammogram, MRI Breast, US Breast, CT Colonography (virtual colonoscopy), Cardiac MRI, Cardiac CT, or Coronary CTA studies.

- CPT® 76377 (3D rendering requiring image post-processing on an independent workstation) or CPT® 76376 (3D rendering not requiring image post-processing on an independent workstation) can be considered in the following clinical scenarios:
 - Bony conditions:
 - Evaluation of congenital skull abnormalities in newborns, infants, and toddlers (usually for pre-operative planning)
 - Complex fractures (comminuted or displaced)/dislocations of any joint (for pre-operative planning when conventional imaging is insufficient)
 - Spine fractures, pelvic/acetabulum fractures, intra-articular fractures (for pre-operative planning when conventional imaging is insufficient)
 - Pre-operative planning for other complex surgical cases
 - Complex facial fractures
 - Pre-operative planning for other complex surgical cases
 - Cerebral angiography
 - Pelvis conditions:
 - Uterine intra-cavitary lesion when initial US is equivocal: See **Abnormal Uterine Bleeding (AUB) (PV-2.1)** and **Leiomyoma/Uterine Fibroids (PV-12.1)** in the Pelvis Imaging Guidelines.
 - Hydrosalpinx or peritoneal cysts when initial US is indeterminate: See **Complex Adnexal Masses (PV-5.3)** in the Pelvis Imaging Guidelines.
 - Lost IUD (inability to feel or see IUD string) with initial US: See **Intrauterine Device (PV-10.1)** in the Pelvis Imaging Guidelines.
 - Uterine anomalies with initial US: See **Uterine Anomalies (PV-14.1)** in the Pelvis Imaging Guidelines.
 - Infertility: See **Initial Infertility Evaluation, Female (PV-9.1)** in the Pelvis Imaging Guidelines.
 - Abdomen conditions:
 - CT Urogram: See **Hematuria and Hydronephrosis (AB-39)** in the Abdomen Imaging Guidelines.
 - MRCP: See **MR Cholangiopancreatography (MRCP) (AB-27)** in the Abdomen Imaging Guidelines.

CT-, MR-, or Ultrasound-Guided Procedures (Preface-4.2)

PRF.CD.0004.2.A
v2.0.2026

- CT-, MR-, and Ultrasound-guidance procedure codes contain all of the imaging necessary to guide a needle or catheter. It is inappropriate to routinely bill a diagnostic procedure code in conjunction with a guidance procedure code.
- Imaging studies performed as part of a CT-, MR-, or Ultrasound-guided procedure should be reported using the CPT[®] codes in the following table:

TABLE: Imaging Guidance Procedure Codes

CPT [®]	Description
19085	Biopsy, breast, with placement of breast localization device(s), when performed, and imaging of the biopsy specimen, when performed, percutaneous; first lesion, including MR guidance
19086	Biopsy, breast, with placement of breast localization device(s), when performed, and imaging of the biopsy specimen, when performed, percutaneous; each additional lesion, including MR guidance
75989	Imaging guidance for percutaneous drainage with placement of catheter (all modalities)
76942	Ultrasonic guidance for needle placement
77011	CT guidance for stereotactic localization
77012	CT guidance for needle placement
77013	CT guidance for, and monitoring of parenchymal tissue ablation
77021	MR guidance for needle placement
77022	MR guidance for, and monitoring of parenchymal tissue ablation
C8001	3D anatomical segmentation imaging for preoperative planning, data preparation and transmission, obtained from previous diagnostic CT or MR examination of the same anatomy

CPT® 19085 and CPT® 19086

- The proper way to bill an MRI-guided breast biopsy is CPT® 19085 (Biopsy, breast, with placement of breast localization device(s), when performed, and imaging of the biopsy specimen, when performed, percutaneous; first lesion, including MR guidance). Additional lesions should be billed using CPT® 19086.
 - **CPT® 77021** (MR guidance for needle placement) is not an appropriate code for a breast biopsy.

CPT® 75989

- This code is used to report imaging guidance for a percutaneous drainage procedure in which a catheter is left in place.
- This code can be used to report whether the drainage catheter is placed under fluoroscopy, Ultrasound-, CT-, or MR-guidance modality.

CPT® 77011

- A stereotactic CT localization scan is frequently obtained prior to sinus surgery. The dataset is then loaded into the navigational workstation in the operating room for use during the surgical procedure. The information provides exact positioning of surgical instruments with regard to the individual's 3D CT images.
- In most cases, the pre-operative CT is a technical-only service that does not require interpretation by a radiologist.
 - The imaging facility should report CPT® 77011 when performing a scan not requiring interpretation by a radiologist.
 - If a diagnostic scan is performed and interpreted by a radiologist, the appropriate diagnostic CT code (e.g., CPT® 70486) should be used.
 - It is not appropriate to report both CPT® 70486 and CPT® 77011 for the same CT stereotactic localization imaging session.
 - 3D Rendering (CPT® 76376 or CPT® 76377) should not be reported in conjunction with CPT® 77011 (or CPT® 70486 if used). The procedure inherently generates a 3D dataset.

CPT® 77012 (CT) and CPT® 77021 (MR)

- These codes are used to report imaging guidance for needle placement during biopsy, aspiration, and other percutaneous procedures.
- They represent the radiological supervision and interpretation of the procedure and are often billed in conjunction with surgical procedure codes.
 - For example, CPT® 77012 is reported when CT guidance is used to place the needle for a conventional arthrogram.

- Only codes representing percutaneous surgical procedures should be billed with CPT® 77012 and CPT® 77021. It is inappropriate to use with surgical codes for open, excisional, or incisional procedures.
- **CPT® 77021** (MR guidance for needle placement) is not an appropriate code for breast or prostate biopsy.
 - CPT® 19085 would be appropriate for the first breast biopsy site and CPT® 19086 would be appropriate for additional concurrent biopsies.

CPT® 77013 (CT) and CPT® 77022 (MR)

- These codes include the initial guidance to direct a needle electrode to the tumor(s), monitoring for needle electrode repositioning within the lesion, and as necessary for multiple ablations to coagulate the lesion and confirmation of satisfactory coagulative necrosis of the lesion(s) and comparison to pre-ablation images.
 - **NOTE:** CPT® 77013 should only be used for non-bone ablation procedures.
 - CPT® 20982 includes CT guidance for bone tumor ablations.
 - Only codes representing percutaneous surgical procedures should be billed with CPT® 77013 and CPT® 77022. It is inappropriate to use with surgical codes for open, excisional, or incisional procedures.
- CPT® 77012 and CPT® 77021 (as well as guidance codes CPT® 76942 [US], and CPT® 77002 - CPT® 77003 [fluoroscopy]) describe radiologic guidance by different modalities.
 - Only one unit of any of these codes should be reported per individual encounter (date of service). The unit of service is considered to be the individual encounter, not the number of lesions, aspirations, biopsies, injections, or localizations.

Unlisted Procedures/Therapy Treatment Planning (Preface-4.3)

PRF.CD.0004.3.UOH
v2.0.2026

Unlisted Procedures

CPT [®]	Description
76497	Unlisted CT procedure (e.g., diagnostic or interventional)
76498	Unlisted MR procedure (e.g., diagnostic or interventional)
78999	Unlisted procedure, diagnostic nuclear medicine

- For general information related to unlisted procedures, please refer to **Management of Unlisted Codes**.
- These unlisted codes should be reported whenever a diagnostic or interventional CT or MR study is performed in which an appropriate anatomic site-specific code is not available.
 - A Category III code that describes the procedure performed must be reported rather than an unlisted code if one is available.
- CPT[®] 76497 or CPT[®] 76498 (Unlisted CT or MRI procedure) is medically necessary in the following clinical scenarios:
 - Studies done for navigation and planning for neurosurgical procedures (i.e., Stealth or Brain Lab Imaging)
 - Custom joint arthroplasty planning (not as an alternative recommendation): See **Osteoarthritis (MS-12.1)** in the Musculoskeletal Imaging Guidelines.
 - Any procedure/surgical planning if thinner cuts or different positional acquisition (than those on the completed diagnostic study) are needed. These could include navigational bronchoscopy: See **Navigational Bronchoscopy and Biopsy (CH-1.7)** in the Chest Imaging Guidelines.

Therapy Treatment Planning

- Radiation Therapy Treatment Planning: See **Unlisted Procedure Codes in Oncology (ONC-1.5)** in the Oncology Imaging Guidelines.

CPT® 76380 Limited or Follow-up CT (Preface-4.5)

PRF.CD.0004.5.UOH

v2.0.2026

- CPT® 76380 describes a limited or follow-up CT scan. The code is used to report any CT scan, for any given area of the body, in which the work of a full diagnostic code is not performed.
- Common examples include, but are not limited to, the following:
 - Limited sinus CT imaging protocol
 - Limited or follow-up slices through a known pulmonary nodule
 - Limited slices to assess a non-healing fracture (such as the clavicle)
- Limited CT (CPT® 76380) is not medically necessary for treatment planning purposes. See **Unlisted Procedure Codes in Oncology (ONC-1.5)** in the Oncology Imaging Guidelines.
- It is inappropriate to report CPT® 76380, in conjunction with other diagnostic CT codes, to cover 'extra slices' in certain imaging protocols.
 - There is no specific number of sequences or slices defined in any CT CPT® code definition.
 - The AMA, in *CPT® 2019*, does not describe nor assign any minimum or maximum number of sequences or slices for any CT study.
 - A few additional slices or sequences are not uncommon.
 - CT imaging protocols are often influenced by the individual's clinical situation. Sometimes the protocols require more time and sometimes less.

SPECT/CT Imaging (Preface-4.6)

PRF.CD.0004.6.A

v2.0.2026

- SPECT/CT involves SPECT (Single Photon Emission Computed Tomography) nuclear medicine imaging and CT for optimizing location, accuracy, and attenuation correction and combines functional and anatomic information.
 - Common studies using this modality include ^{123}I - or ^{131}I -Metaiodobenzylguanidine (MIBG) and octreotide scintigraphy for neuroendocrine tumors.
- Hybrid Nuclear/CT scan can be reported as CPT[®] 78830 (single area and single day), CPT[®] 78831 (2 or more days), or CPT[®] 78832 (2 areas with one day and 2-day study).
- CPT[®] 78072 became effective January 1, 2013 for SPECT/CT parathyroid nuclear imaging.

CPT® 76140 Interpretation of an Outside Study (Preface-4.7)

PRF.CD.0004.7.UOH

v2.0.2026

- It is inappropriate to use diagnostic imaging codes for interpretation of a previously performed exam that was completed at another facility.
 - If the outside exam is being used for comparison with a current exam, the diagnostic code for the current examination includes comparison to the prior study.
 - CPT® 76140 is the appropriate code to use for an exam which was completed elsewhere and a secondary interpretation of the images is requested.

Quantitative MR Analysis (Preface-4.8)

PRF.CD.0004.8.A

v2.0.2026

- Category III CPT[®] codes for quantitative analysis of multiparametric-MR (mp-MRI) data with and without an associated diagnostic MRI have been established. Quantitative mp-MRI uses software to analyze tissue physiology of visceral organs and other anatomic structures non-invasively.
- For criteria associated with these types of studies, please see the condition-specific guidelines.

HCPCS Codes (Preface-4.9)

PRF.CD.0004.9.UOH

v2.0.2026

- Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS) codes are utilized by some hospitals in favor of the typical Level-III CPT[®] codes. These codes are typically 4 digits preceded by a C or S.
 - Many of these codes have similar code descriptions to Level-III CPT[®] codes (i.e., C8931 – MRA with dye, Spinal Canal; and, CPT[®] 72159 – MRA Spinal Canal).
 - If cases are submitted with HCPCS codes with similar code descriptions to the typical Level-III CPT[®] codes, those procedures should be managed in the same manner as the typical CPT[®] codes.
 - HCPCS code management is discussed further in the applicable guideline sections.
- Requests for many Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS) codes, including non-specific codes such as S8042 (Magnetic resonance imaging [MRI], low-field), should be redirected to a more appropriate and specific CPT[®] code. Exceptions are noted in the applicable guideline sections.

References (Preface-4)

v2.0.2026

1. Intraoperative MRI. Brainlab.com. Published 2024.
2. Neves CA, Vaisbuch Y, Leuze C, et al. Application of holographic augmented reality for external approaches to the frontal sinus. *Int Forum Allergy Rhinol*. 2020;10(7):920-925. doi:10.1002/alr.22546
3. Chung CY, Alson MD, Duszak R, Degnan AJ. From imaging to reimbursement: what the pediatric radiologist needs to know about health care payers, documentation, coding and billing. *Pediatr Radiol*. 2018;48(7):904-914. doi:10.1007/s00247-018-4104-1
4. Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. Healthcare Common Procedure Coding System (HCPCS). Cms.gov. Published 2025.

Whole-Body Imaging (Preface-5)

Guideline

Whole-Body CT Imaging (Preface-5.1)
Whole-Body MR Imaging (Preface-5.2)
PET/MRI (Preface-5.3)
References (Preface-5)

Whole-Body CT Imaging (Preface-5.1)

PRF.WB.0005.1.UOH

v2.0.2026

- Whole-body CT or LifeScan (CT Brain, Chest, Abdomen, and Pelvis) for screening of asymptomatic individuals is not a covered benefit. The performance of whole-body screening CT examinations in healthy individuals does not meet any of the current validity criteria for screening studies and there is no clear documentation of benefit versus radiation risk.
- Whole-body low-dose skeletal CT is supported for oncologic staging in Multiple Myeloma. See **Multiple Myeloma and Plasmacytomas (ONC-25)** in the Oncology Imaging Guidelines.

Whole-Body MR Imaging (Preface-5.2)

PRF.WB.0005.2.A

v2.0.2026

- Whole-body MRI (WBMRI) is, with the exception of select cancer predisposition syndromes and autoimmune conditions discussed below, generally not medically necessary at this time due to lack of standardization in imaging technique and lack of evidence that WBMRI improves outcome for any individual disease state.
 - While WBMRI has the benefit of whole-body imaging and lack of radiation exposure, substantial variation still exists in the number of images, type of sequences (STIR vs. diffusion weighting, for example), and contrast agent(s) used.
- Coding considerations:
 - There are no established CPT[®] or HCPCS codes for reporting WBMRI.
 - WBMRI is at present only reportable using CPT[®] 76498. All other methods of reporting whole-body MRI are inappropriate including the following:
 - Separate diagnostic MRI codes for multiple individual body parts
 - MRI Bone Marrow Supply (CPT[®] 77084)
- Disease-specific considerations:
 - Cancer screening:
 - Interval WBMRI is recommended for cancer screening in individuals with select cancer predisposition syndromes. Otherwise, WBMRI has not been shown to improve outcomes for cancer screening.
 - For additional information, see **Li-Fraumeni Syndrome (LFS) (PEDONC-2.2)**, **Neurofibromatosis 1 and 2 (NF1 and NF2) (PEDONC-2.3)**, **Rhabdoid Tumor Predisposition Syndrome (PEDONC-2.11)**, **Hereditary Paraganglioma-Pheochromocytoma (HPP) Syndromes (PEDONC-2.13)**, **Constitutional Mismatch Repair Deficiency (CMMRD or Turcot Syndrome) (PEDONC-2.15)**, **Infantile Myofibromatosis (PEDONC-2.18)**, or **Bloom Syndrome (PEDONC-2.19)** in the Pediatric and Special Populations Oncology Imaging Guidelines.
 - Cancer staging and restaging:
 - Whole-body MRI has limited indications in staging and restaging of multiple myeloma. See **Multiple Myeloma and Plasmacytomas (ONC-25)** in the Oncology Imaging Guidelines for additional details.
 - Evidence has not been published establishing WBMRI as a standard evaluation for any other type of cancer.
 - Autoimmune disease:
 - WBMRI can be approved in some situations for individuals with chronic recurrent multifocal osteomyelitis.

- For additional information, see **Chronic Recurrent Multifocal Osteomyelitis (PEDMS-10.2)** in the Pediatric Musculoskeletal Imaging Guidelines.

PET/MRI (Preface-5.3)

PRF.WB.0005.3.A

v2.0.2026

- PET/MRI is generally not medically necessary for a vast majority of oncologic and neurologic conditions due to lack of standardization in imaging technique and interpretation. However, it is medically necessary in select circumstances when the following criteria are met:
 - The individual meets condition-specific guidelines for PET/MRI OR
 - The individual meets ALL of the following:
 - The individual meets guideline criteria for PET/CT, **AND**
 - PET/CT is not available at the treating institution, **AND**
 - The provider requests PET/MRI in lieu of PET/CT
- When the above criteria are met, PET/MRI is reported using the code combination of PET Whole-Body (CPT[®] 78813) and MRI Unlisted (CPT[®] 76498). All other methods of reporting PET/MRI are inappropriate.
 - When clinically appropriate, diagnostic MRI codes can be medically necessary at the same time as the PET/MRI code combination.
- For more information, please see the appropriate condition-based guideline.

References (Preface-5)

v2.0.2026

1. Bojadzieva J, Amini B, Day SF, et al. Whole body magnetic resonance imaging (WB-MRI) and brain MRI baseline surveillance in TP53 germline mutation carriers: experience from the Li-Fraumeni Syndrome Education and Early Detection (LEAD) clinic. *Fam Cancer*. 2018;17(2):287-294. doi:10.1007/s10689-017-0034-6
2. Li J, Zhou H, Zhang X, Song F, Pang X, Wei Z. A two-way comparison of whole-body 18FDG PET-CT and whole-body contrast-enhanced MRI for distant metastasis staging in patients with malignant tumors: a meta-analysis of 13 prospective studies. *Ann Palliat Med*. 2020;9(2):247-255. doi:10.21037/apm.2020.02.30
3. Zhang C, Liang Z, Liu W, Zeng X, Mo Y. Comparison of whole-body 18F-FDG PET/CT and PET/MRI for distant metastases in patients with malignant tumors: a meta-analysis. *BMC Cancer*. 2023;23(1):37. Published 2023 Jan 10. doi:10.1186/s12885-022-10493-8
4. Petralia G, Padhani AR. Whole-Body Magnetic Resonance Imaging in Oncology: Uses and Indications. *Magn Reson Imaging Clin N Am*. 2018;26(4):495-507. doi:10.1016/j.mric.2018.06.003
5. Sato TS, Watal P, Ferguson PJ. Imaging mimics of chronic recurrent multifocal osteomyelitis: avoiding pitfalls in a diagnosis of exclusion. *Pediatr Radiol*. 2020;50(1):124-136. doi:10.1007/s00247-019-04510-5
6. Krout JC, Rees AB, Goldin AN, et al. Chronic Recurrent Multifocal Osteomyelitis: A Review of the Noninfectious Inflammatory Bone Disease and Lessons for More Timely Diagnosis. *Orthopedics*. 2023;46(3):e149-e155. doi:10.3928/01477447-20220719-08
7. National Comprehensive Cancer Network® (NCCN®). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®): Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Breast, Ovarian, Pancreatic, and Prostate. Version 2.2026. October 10 10, 2025. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®) for Genetic/Familial High-Risk Assessment: Breast, Ovarian, Pancreatic, and Prostate V2.2026. ©2025 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. The NCCN Guidelines® and illustrations herein may not be reproduced in any form for any purpose without the express written permission of the NCCN. To view the most recent and complete version of the NCCN Guidelines®, go online to NCCN.org.
8. National Comprehensive Cancer Network® (NCCN®). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®): Multiple Myeloma. Version 4.2026 - November 26, 2025. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®) for Multiple Myeloma V4.2026. ©2024 National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. All rights reserved. The NCCN Guidelines® and illustrations herein may not be reproduced in any form for any purpose without the express written permission of the NCCN. To view the most recent and complete version of the NCCN Guidelines®, go online to NCCN.org.

References (Preface-6)

Guideline

References (Preface-6.1)

References (Preface-6.1)

PRF.RF.0006.1.A

v2.0.2026

- Complete reference citations for the journal articles are embedded within the body of the guidelines and/or may be found on the Reference pages at the end of some guideline sections.

General Guidelines (PN-1)

Guideline

Abbreviations for Peripheral Nerve and Neuromuscular Disorders Imaging Guidelines
General Guidelines (PN-1.0)
References (PN-1)

Abbreviations for Peripheral Nerve and Neuromuscular Disorders Imaging Guidelines

PN.GG.Abbreviations.A

v2.0.2026

Abbreviations for Peripheral Nerve Disorders Imaging Guidelines	
AIDS	acquired immunodeficiency syndrome
ALS	amyotrophic lateral sclerosis
CIDP	chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy
CNS	central nervous system
CPK	creatinine phosphokinase
CT	computed tomography
EMG	electromyogram
LEMS	Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome
MG	myasthenia gravis
MRI	magnetic resonance imaging
MRN	magnetic resonance neurography
MRS	magnetic resonance spectroscopy
NCV	nerve conduction velocity
PET	positron emission tomography
PNS	peripheral nervous system

Abbreviations for Peripheral Nerve Disorders Imaging Guidelines	
PNST	peripheral nerve sheath tumor
POEMS	polyneuropathy, organomegaly, endocrinopathy, M-protein, skin changes
TOS	thoracic outlet syndrome

General Guidelines (PN-1.0)

PN.GG.0001.0.A

v2.0.2026

- A pertinent clinical evaluation is required before advanced imaging can be considered. The clinical evaluation should include a pertinent history and physical examination, including a neurological examination (since the onset or change in symptoms), appropriate laboratory studies, non-advanced imaging modalities, and electromyography/nerve conduction (EMG/NCV) studies. Other meaningful technological contact (telehealth visit, telephone call or video call, electronic mail or messaging) since the onset or change in symptoms, by an established individual can serve as a pertinent clinical evaluation.
- Nerve conduction studies are often normal early in the disease course with changes occurring from 1 to 4 weeks after symptom onset in the majority of individuals. This will be taken into consideration on a case-by-case basis in regards to the EMG/NCV requirement in each section requirement of the Peripheral Nerve and Neuromuscular Disorders (PNND) Imaging Guidelines.
- Due to the termination of the federal public health emergency declaration, the COVID-19 pandemic is no longer considered an indication to waive electrodiagnostic (EMG/NCV) study requirements within the Peripheral Nerve and Neuromuscular Disorders Imaging Guidelines.
- If imaging of peripheral nerves is medically necessary, ultrasound is the preferred modality for superficial peripheral nerves. MRI may be used for imaging deep nerves such as the lumbosacral plexus or nerves obscured by overlying bone such as the brachial plexus or for surgical planning. CT is limited to cases in which MRI is contraindicated.

Health Equity Considerations

Health equity is the highest level of health for all individuals; health inequity is the avoidable difference in health status or distribution of health resources due to the social conditions in which individuals are born, grow, live, work, and age. Social determinants of health are the conditions in the environment that affect a wide range of health, functioning, and quality of life outcomes and risks. Examples include the following: safe housing, transportation, and neighborhoods; racism, discrimination, and violence; education, job opportunities, and income; access to nutritious foods and physical activity opportunities; access to clean air and water; and language and literacy skills.

Evidence Discussion (PN-1.0)

- Electromyography (EMG) and nerve conduction velocity (NCV) studies are useful in establishing the origin of peripheral nerve pathology and in guiding further diagnostic evaluation. Needle EMG following traumatic nerve injury may detect

Adult Peripheral Nerve and Neuromuscular Disorders (PNND) Imaging Guidelines (For Ohio Only):
CSRAD012OH.F

Effective: September 1, 2026

UnitedHealthcare Community Plan Coverage Determination Guideline

Page 48 of 102

Proprietary Information of United Healthcare. Copyright © 2026 United Healthcare Services, Inc.

denervation of muscles that do not seem clinically affected. The optimal time to search for denervation changes is 10 to 14 days after the injury. Needle EMG may show residual innervation to paralyzed muscles. Follow-up EMG and NCV studies may demonstrate early evidence of re-innervation or evolving abnormalities that objectively demonstrate the temporal course of peripheral nerve pathology.

- Deferring EMG due to COVID-19 is less relevant at this time.
- For superficial peripheral nerves, ultrasound has significantly higher resolution than MRI. In terms of expense, safety, and noninvasiveness, ultrasound has clear advantages over MRI, and the few comparative reports available confirm the value of ultrasound as an initial imaging choice.
- Advantages of ultrasound over MRI for detecting peripheral nerve pathology include lower cost, rapidity of examination, higher spatial resolution, imaging of the nerve in continuity, and ease of side-to-side comparisons. Ultrasound may better detect subtle changes in nerve caliber. This is important because peripheral nerve pathology is often fusiform in shape and can extend along the length of the nerve without greatly altering its cross-section area. MRI frequently misses multifocal (71%) and occasionally single pathologies.
- Advantages of MRI over ultrasound include superior contrast between tissues, imaging of structures that are deep or surrounded by bone, and tissue characterization using multi-sequence analysis and IV contrast.
- There is greater accuracy (96%) of diagnoses in cases of peripheral nerve sheath tumor, traumatic neuroma or neuropathy, idiopathic mono-neuropathy or plexopathy, fibrosis of nerves, nerve compression caused by ganglion or synovial cysts or any other soft tissue structures, non-neural soft tissue tumors, intra-neural granulomas, and vasculitis with ultrasound than MRI.

References (PN-1)

v2.0.2026

1. Walker F. O. (2017). Ultrasonography in Peripheral Nervous System Diagnosis. Continuum (Minneapolis, Minn.), 23(5, Peripheral Nerve and Motor Neuron Disorders), 1276–1294. <https://doi.org/10.1212/CON.0000000000000522>
2. Ohana, M., Moser, T., Moussaoui, A., Kremer, S., Carlier, R. Y., Liverneaux, P., & Dietemann, J. L. (2014). Current and future imaging of the peripheral nervous system. Diagnostic and interventional imaging, 95(1), 17–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diii.2013.05.008>
3. Stoll, G., Wilder-Smith, E., & Bendszus, M. (2013). Imaging of the peripheral nervous system. Handbook of clinical neurology, 115, 137–153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-52902-2.00008-4>
4. Jin P. H. (2023). Localization and Diagnostic Evaluation of Peripheral Nerve Disorders. Continuum (Minneapolis, Minn.), 29(5), 1312–1326. <https://doi.org/10.1212/CON.0000000000001333>
5. London Z. N. (2020). A Structured Approach to the Diagnosis of Peripheral Nervous System Disorders. Continuum (Minneapolis, Minn.), 26(5), 1130–1160. <https://doi.org/10.1212/CON.0000000000000922>
6. National Center for Immunization and Respiratory Diseases. (2023, September 12). End of the federal COVID-19 public health emergency (PHE) declaration. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. <https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/your-health/end-of-phe.html>
7. Chichkova, R. I., & Katzin, L. (2010). EMG and nerve conduction studies in clinical practice. Practical Neurology, 9(1), 32–38.
8. Zaidman, C. M., Seelig, M. J., Baker, J. C., Mackinnon, S. E., & Pestronk, A. (2013). Detection of peripheral nerve pathology: comparison of ultrasound and MRI. Neurology, 80(18), 1634–1640. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0b013e3182904f3f>
9. Alkhalifah B. (2023). Comparison of Magnetic Resonance Imaging and High-Resolution Ultrasound for the Diagnosis of Pathologies of the Peripheral Nerve. Journal of pharmacy & bioallied sciences, 15(Suppl 2), S1277–S1279. https://doi.org/10.4103/jpbs.jpbs_126_23

Focal Neuropathy (PN-2)

Guideline

Focal Neuropathy (PN-2.1)

References (PN-2)

Focal Neuropathy (PN-2.1)

PN.FN.0002.1.A

v2.0.2026

Focal Disorder	EMG/NCV Initially?	Advanced Imaging
Carpal Tunnel Syndrome	YES	- When EMG/NCV and clinical findings are equivocal AND only when requested for pre-operative planning, MRI Upper Extremity Joint (Wrist) without contrast (CPT® 73221) is medically necessary. - For radiculopathy, see <u>Neck (Cervical Spine) Pain Without/With Neurological Features (Including Stenosis) and Trauma (SP-3)</u> in the Spine Imaging Guidelines.
Ulnar Neuropathy	YES	After EMG/NCV, only ONE of the following is medically necessary if requested for surgical consideration: - MRI Upper Extremity Joint (Elbow or Wrist) without contrast (CPT® 73221) OR - MRI Upper Extremity Other Than Joint (Forearm or Hand) without contrast (CPT® 73218)
Radial Neuropathy	YES	- MRI Upper Extremity Other Than Joint (Arm or Forearm) without contrast (CPT® 73218) when surgery is being considered. - MRI Upper Extremity Other Than Joint (Arm or Forearm) without and with contrast (CPT® 73220) if there is a suspicion of a nerve tumor such as a neuroma.
Radial Neuropathy Notes: Leads to wrist drop with common sites of entrapment at the inferior aspect of the humerus (Saturday night palsy) or the forearm (posterior interosseous syndrome). Entrapment of the nerve at the wrist (Wartenberg syndrome or handcuff palsy) typically spares motor involvement and results only in sensory changes. Trauma or fractures of the humerus, radius, or ulna can damage the radial nerve.		

Focal Disorder	EMG/NCV Initially?	Advanced Imaging
Pudendal Neuropathy	NO	• Documented concern specifically for pudendal neuropathy, pudendal neuralgia, or pudendal entrapment: MRI Pelvis without contrast (CPT® 72195) OR MRI Pelvis without and with contrast (CPT® 72197) ◦ If there is a contraindication to MRI and the above documented concern is present, then ONE of the following is medically necessary: ▪ CT Pelvis without contrast (CPT® 72192) ▪ CT Pelvis with contrast (CPT® 72193) ▪ CT Pelvis without and with contrast (CPT® 72194) • For all other pelvic concerns, see the following Pelvic Imaging Guidelines (as indicated): ◦ <u>Pelvic Pain/Dyspareunia Female (PV-11.1)</u> ◦ <u>Impotence/Erectile Dysfunction (PV-17.1)</u> ◦ <u>Male Pelvic Disorders (PV-19.1)</u> ◦ <u>Scrotal Pathology (PV-20.1)</u>
Pudendal Neuropathy Notes: Causes pain, sexual dysfunction, or sensory change in the genitals, perineum, and perianal region. May be caused by trauma, recurrent injury from exercise such as cycling, pelvic mass, or after viral infection (e.g., post-herpetic neuralgia).		
Sciatic Neuropathy	YES	• MRI Pelvis without contrast (CPT® 72195) • CT Pelvis without contrast (CPT® 72192) is NOT routinely medically necessary due to lack of soft tissue contrast. ◦ It should only be performed in the rare circumstance of contrast allergy and/or contraindication to MRI such as pacemaking device.

Focal Disorder	EMG/NCV Initially?	Advanced Imaging
Sciatic Neuropathy Notes: May be caused by trauma to the gluteal area with hematoma, injection palsy, hip or pelvic fractures, or hip replacement (arthroplasty). Piriformis Syndrome involves entrapment of the sciatic nerve at the sciatic notch in the pelvis by a tight piriformis muscle band. Concerns for piriformis syndrome should be imaged according to the sciatic neuropathy criteria.		
Femoral Neuropathy	NO	MRI Pelvis without contrast (CPT® 72195)
Femoral Neuropathy Notes: May occur as a complication of pelvic surgery in females or those on anticoagulants with retroperitoneal bleeding, or as a mononeuropathy in diabetics		
Meralgia Paresthetica	NO	- MRI Pelvis without contrast (CPT® 72195) is medically necessary for ANY of the following scenarios: - Cases of diagnostic uncertainty - Pre-operative planning - CT Pelvis without contrast (CPT® 72192) is NOT routinely medically necessary due to lack of soft tissue contrast. - It should only be performed in the rare circumstance of contrast allergy and/or contraindication to MRI such as pacemaking device.
Meralgia Paresthetica Notes: Sensory loss in the lateral femoral cutaneous nerve as it exits the pelvis under the inguinal ligament (lateral thigh without extension into lower leg), and is usually diagnosed based on a careful history and physical exam. EMG/NCV testing is often technically difficult and not required.		
Peroneal Neuropathy	YES	MRI Lower Extremity Joint (Knee) without contrast (CPT® 73721) OR MRI Lower Extremity Other Than Joint without contrast (CPT® 73718) when surgery is considered or when ordered by or in consultation with a surgeon.

Focal Disorder	EMG/NCV Initially?	Advanced Imaging
Tarsal Tunnel Syndrome	N/A	See Soft Tissue Mass and Morton's Neuroma (MS-10.3) in the Musculoskeletal Imaging Guidelines.

- For phrenic nerve concerns and evaluation of diaphragmatic weakness, refer to **Elevated Hemidiaphragm (CH-30)**.

Evidence Discussion (PN-2.1)

- Focal neuropathies are typically diagnosed by a combination of clinical history, thorough neurological examination, and electrodiagnostic testing with electromyography (EMG) and nerve conduction studies (NCS).
- When clinical evaluation and electrodiagnostic testing are inconclusive, MRI may allow for better identification and anatomic localization of lesions and is considered the gold standard for imaging of the peripheral nerve.
- The sensitivity and specificity of MRI findings for carpal tunnel syndrome are low (sensitivity, 23%–96%; specificity, 39%–87%), and for this reason MRI imaging does not play a role in the routine clinical assessment of carpal tunnel syndrome. However, MRI of the wrist can help identify surgical candidates when clinical and electrodiagnostic findings are inconclusive.
- When caused by nerve entrapment or compression, focal neuropathies may benefit from surgical release or decompression. MRI can provide visualization of the cause of compression, rule out other causes of nerve injury, and allow for a more focused operative approach, particularly when surgery is considered to decompress common entrapment neuropathies of the ulnar, radial, and peroneal nerves.
- Sciatic, femoral, and pudendal neuropathies often occur secondary to trauma, compression, or entrapment of the affected nerve. These are often diagnosed clinically or localized with electrodiagnostic testing. MRI imaging of the pelvis may be medically necessary to assess for sources of compression, including occult malignancy.
- Meralgia paresthetica is the common term describing pathology of the lateral femoral cutaneous nerve of the thigh. The nerve is prone to injury and compression but may have a variable anatomic course. Meralgia paresthetica is primarily diagnosed by clinical history and exam, as neuroimaging and electrodiagnostic testing results may be difficult to interpret. Neuroimaging is most useful in cases of diagnostic uncertainty, particularly when surgical exploration and treatment are considered.

References (PN-2)

v2.0.2026

1. Andreisek, G., Crook, D. W., Burg, D., Marincek, B., & Weishaupt, D. (2006). Peripheral neuropathies of the median, radial, and ulnar nerves: MR imaging features. *Radiographics : a review publication of the Radiological Society of North America, Inc*, 26(5), 1267–1287. <https://doi.org/10.1148/rg.265055712>
2. Linda, D. D., Harish, S., Stewart, B. G., Finlay, K., Parasu, N., & Rebello, R. P. (2010). Multimodality imaging of peripheral neuropathies of the upper limb and brachial plexus. *Radiographics : a review publication of the Radiological Society of North America, Inc*, 30(5), 1373–1400. <https://doi.org/10.1148/rg.305095169>
3. Hobson-Webb, L. D., & Juel, V. C. (2017). Common Entrapment Neuropathies. *Continuum (Minneapolis, Minn.)*, 23(2, Selected Topics in Outpatient Neurology), 487–511. <https://doi.org/10.1212/CON.0000000000000452>
4. Latef, T. J., Bilal, M., Vetter, M., Iwanaga, J., Oskouian, R. J., & Tubbs, R. S. (2018). Injury of the Radial Nerve in the Arm: A Review. *Cureus*, 10(2), e2199. <https://doi.org/10.7759/cureus.2199>
5. Kalia, V., & Jacobson, J. A. (2019). Imaging of Peripheral Nerves of the Upper Extremity. *Radiologic clinics of North America*, 57(5), 1063–1071. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rcl.2019.04.001>
6. Luesma, M. J., Galé, I., & Fernando, J. (2021). Diagnostic and therapeutic algorithm for pudendal nerve entrapment syndrome. *Algoritmo diagnóstico y terapéutico del síndrome de atrapamiento del nervio pudendo. Medicina clinica*, 157(2), 71–78. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.medcli.2021.02.012>
7. Labat, J. J., Riant, T., Robert, R., Amarenco, G., Lefaucheur, J. P., & Rigaud, J. (2008). Diagnostic criteria for pudendal neuralgia by pudendal nerve entrapment (Nantes criteria). *Neurourology and urodynamics*, 27(4), 306–310. <https://doi.org/10.1002/nau.20505>
8. Stoll, G., Wilder-Smith, E., & Bendszus, M. (2013). Imaging of the peripheral nervous system. *Handbook of clinical neurology*, 115, 137–153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-52902-2.00008-4>

Polyneuropathy (PN-3)

Guideline

Polyneuropathy (PN-3.1)

References (PN-3)

Polyneuropathy (PN-3.1)

PN.PN.0003.1.A

v2.0.2026

Poly-Disorder	EMG/NCV Initially?	Advanced Imaging	Comments
Polyneuropathies with Central Nervous System (CNS) Involvement	YES	If clinical findings point to abnormalities in those areas, then ANY of the following are medically necessary: • MRI Brain without and with contrast (CPT[®] 70553), AND/OR • MRI Cervical Spine without and with contrast (CPT[®] 72156), AND/OR • MRI Thoracic Spine without and with contrast (CPT[®] 72157), AND/OR • MRI Lumbar Spine without and with contrast (CPT[®] 72158)	Examples: Guillain-Barré syndrome, inflammatory polyneuropathies unspecified, and Lyme disease
AIDS-Related Cytomegaloviral Neuropathy/ Radiculopathy	YES	• MRI Lumbar Spine without and with contrast (CPT[®] 72158) • If concern for myelopathy, ANY of the following imaging are ALSO medically necessary: ◦ MRI Cervical Spine without and with contrast (CPT[®] 72156) AND/OR ◦ MRI Thoracic Spine without and with contrast (CPT[®] 72157)	• Often presents with urinary retention and a clinically confusing picture in the legs. • For myelopathic signs and symptoms, see <u>Myelopathy (SP-7.1)</u>.

Poly-Disorder	EMG/NCV Initially?	Advanced Imaging	Comments
Chronic Inflammatory Demyelinating Polyneuropathy (CIDP)	YES	MRI Lumbar Spine without and with contrast (CPT[®] 72158) AND/OR MRI Cervical Spine without and with contrast (CPT[®] 72156) if diagnosis uncertain following EMG/NCV. For imaging requests of the brachial or lumbosacral plexus or muscle: See Brachial Plexus (PN-4.1), Lumbar and Lumbosacral Plexus (PN-5.1), and Muscle Diseases (PN-8.5)	
Multifocal Motor Neuropathy	YES	If diagnosis is uncertain following EMG/NCV, MRI of the Brachial Plexus is medically necessary with ONE of the following: - MRI Upper Extremity Other Than Joint without and with contrast (CPT[®] 73220) - MRI Chest without and with contrast (CPT[®] 71552) - MRI Neck without and with contrast (CPT[®] 70543)	
POEMS (Polyneuropathy, Organomegaly, Endocrinopathy, M-protein, Skin changes)	YES	Advanced imaging is for the non-neurological etiologies of this rare osteosclerotic plasmacytoma syndrome.	See Multiple Myeloma and Plasmacytomas (ONC-25) in the Oncology Imaging Guidelines.
Subacute Sensory Neuronopathy & Other Paraneoplastic Demyelinating Neuropathies	YES	- Advanced imaging should be guided by specific clinical concern (see relevant guideline). - For evaluation of suspected paraneoplastic syndromes, see Paraneoplastic Syndromes (ONC-30.3) in the Oncology Imaging Guidelines.	

Background and Supporting Information

- Central nervous system (CNS) imaging (brain and spinal cord) is not required for polyneuropathy without CNS signs/symptoms.
- Distal symmetric polyneuropathy is the most common pattern of generalized peripheral neuropathy. It is typically sensory predominant and may demonstrate neurological abnormalities including reduced or absent deep tendon reflexes (DTRs), reduced sensation to multiple testing modalities (vibration, proprioception, etc). In more advanced staging, mild motor weakness may be present. It is most often associated with diabetes and metabolic abnormalities. In the absence of atypical findings (such as asymmetrical presentation, significant weakness, or upper motor neuron exam findings such as hyperreflexia or spasticity), distal symmetric polyneuropathy does not require CNS imaging.

Evidence Discussion (PN-3.1)

- Polyneuropathies are typically diagnosed by a combination of clinical history, thorough neurological examination, lab work-up, and electrodiagnostic testing with electromyography (EMG) and nerve conduction studies (NCS).
- For systemic polyneuropathies with potential for CNS involvement, such as Lyme disease-related polyneuropathy and some inflammatory polyneuropathies, MRI imaging of the brain and/or spinal cord may be helpful to identify typical patterns of involvement or to rule out other pathologies when clinical findings suggest CNS involvement.
- Neuropathy is the most common neurological complication of human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infection and, in its most common form, is treated with symptom management and anti-viral therapy. However, other acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (AIDS)-related neurological disorders may be difficult to clinically differentiate from common HIV polyneuropathy and may require more aggressive treatment. Accurate diagnosis of AIDS-related cytomegalovirus (CMV) polyradiculopathy, HIV vasculitis, or AIDS-related motor neuron disease is required for appropriate treatment. MRI imaging of the spinal cord or nerve roots may assist with diagnosis when medically necessary.
- Chronic acquired demyelinating polyneuropathies, including chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyneuropathy (CIDP) and multifocal motor neuropathy (MMN), are diagnosed by clinical history and results of electromyography and nerve conduction studies. If the diagnosis remains uncertain after these studies, neuroimaging may help establish the diagnosis. Evidence of lumbar nerve root involvement on MRI Lumbar Spine is supportive of a CIDP diagnosis. T2-weighted signal change on MRI of the brachial plexus is often present in MMN individuals.
- Polyneuropathy, organomegaly, endocrinopathy, M-protein, skin changes (POEMS) syndrome is a disorder affecting multiple organ systems which occurs in the setting of a plasma cell disorder. Diagnosis is based on electrodiagnostic confirmation of polyneuropathy and work-up of the underlying oncologic condition.

- Electrodiagnostic testing can provide valuable findings in the investigation of some paraneoplastic polyneuropathies; however, identification of the underlying malignancy and appropriate oncological management are key to management.

References (PN-3)

v2.0.2026

1. Harrison, T. B., & Smith, B. (2011). Neuromuscular manifestations of HIV/AIDS. *Journal of clinical neuromuscular disease*, 13(2), 68–84. <https://doi.org/10.1097/CND.0b013e318221256f>
2. Eftimov, F., Lucke, I. M., Querol, L. A., Rajabally, Y. A., & Verhamme, C. (2020). Diagnostic challenges in chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *Brain : a journal of neurology*, 143(11), 3214–3224. <https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awaa265>
3. Saperstein D. S. (2008). Chronic acquired demyelinating polyneuropathies. *Seminars in neurology*, 28(2), 168–184. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-2008-1062268>
4. Binks, S., Uy, C., Honnorat, J., & Irani, S. R. (2022). Paraneoplastic neurological syndromes: a practical approach to diagnosis and management. *Practical neurology*, 22(1), 19–31. <https://doi.org/10.1136/practneurol-2021-003073>
5. Stoll, G., Wilder-Smith, E., & Bendszus, M. (2013). Imaging of the peripheral nervous system. *Handbook of clinical neurology*, 115, 137–153. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-0-444-52902-2.00008-4>
6. London Z. N. (2020). A Structured Approach to the Diagnosis of Peripheral Nervous System Disorders. *Continuum (Minneapolis, Minn.)*, 26(5), 1130–1160. <https://doi.org/10.1212/CON.0000000000000922>
7. Tazawa, K., Matsuda, M., Yoshida, T., Shimojima, Y., Gono, T., Morita, H., Kaneko, T., Ueda, H., & Ikeda, S. (2008). Spinal nerve root hypertrophy on MRI: clinical significance in the diagnosis of chronic inflammatory demyelinating polyradiculoneuropathy. *Internal medicine (Tokyo, Japan)*, 47(23), 2019–2024. <https://doi.org/10.2169/internalmedicine.47.1272>

Brachial Plexus (PN-4)

Guideline

Brachial Plexus (PN-4.1)

References (PN-4)

Brachial Plexus (PN-4.1)

PN.BP.0004.1.A

v2.0.2026

- EMG/NCV examination is required prior to advanced imaging except in cases of malignant infiltration or radiation plexitis as detailed below.

Brachial Plexus Imaging		
Indication	Imaging	Notes
Malignant infiltration (EMG not required)	Any ONE of the following: • MRI Upper Extremity Other Than Joint without contrast (CPT[®] 73218) • MRI Upper Extremity Other Than Joint without and with contrast (CPT[®] 73220) • MRI Chest without contrast (CPT[®] 71550) • MRI Chest without and with contrast (CPT[®] 71552) • MRI Neck without contrast (CPT[®] 70540) • MRI Neck without and with contrast (CPT[®] 70543)	
Radiation plexitis to rule out malignant infiltration (EMG not required)		
Neurogenic thoracic outlet Syndrome (TOS)		
Preoperative work-up requiring evaluation of the brachial plexus		
Brachial plexitis (Parsonage-Turner syndrome or painful brachial amyotrophy)	• Any ONE of the above studies AND • If there is concern for radiculopathy in addition to plexopathy, MRI Cervical Spine without contrast (CPT[®] 72141)	• For concern for cervical radiculopathy, see Neck (Cervical Spine) Pain Without/With Neurological Features (Including Stenosis) and Trauma (SP-3) • For details of brachial plexitis (Parsonage-Turner syndrome), see Background and Supporting Information.
Traumatic injury		

- MRI Chest and Neck are inherently bilateral, whereas MRI Upper Extremity is unilateral.
- If MRI is not available or is contraindicated, CT offers the next highest level of anatomic visualization and can characterize local osseous or vascular anatomy

and injury. In this circumstance, when the above criteria are met, only **ONE** of the following studies is medically necessary:

- **CT Neck Soft Tissue:** CT Neck without contrast (CPT[®] 70490), **or** CT Neck with contrast (CPT[®] 70491), **or** CT Neck without and with contrast (CPT[®] 70492)
- **CT Upper Extremity:** CT Upper Extremity without contrast (CPT[®] 73200), **or** CT Upper Extremity with contrast (CPT[®] 73201), **or** CT Upper Extremity without and with contrast (CPT[®] 73202)
- **CT Chest:** CT Chest without contrast (CPT[®] 71250), **or** CT Chest with contrast (CPT[®] 71260), **or** CT Chest without and with contrast (CPT[®] 71270)
- MRI should be performed prior to consideration of PET imaging.
 - For PET imaging, see **PET Imaging in Oncology (ONC-1.4)** in the Oncology Imaging Guidelines.

Background and Supporting Information

- Brachial plexitis (Parsonage-Turner syndrome or painful brachial amyotrophy) is a self-limited syndrome characterized by initial shoulder region pain followed by weakness of specific muscles in a pattern which does not conform to involvement of a single root or distal peripheral nerve.

Evidence Discussion (PN-4.1)

- MRI is the imaging study of choice to evaluate the brachial plexus due to superior soft-tissue contrast and good spatial resolution, providing detailed definition of intraneural anatomy as well as localizing pathologic lesions in conditions in which electrodiagnostic and physical findings are nonspecific. A variety of findings may be seen within the brachial plexus on MRI, including increased T2 signal intensity, focal or diffuse enhancement, or enlargement or edema of nerve segments. Furthermore, signal abnormalities or atrophy in muscles supplied by the brachial plexus can help support a plexopathy. MRI is more sensitive than CT at identifying subtle infiltrative lesions regions or areas of enhancement.
- Regarding fluorine-18-2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (FDG)-PET/CT, there is no relevant literature to support the use of FDG-PET/CT in the evaluation of traumatic or nontraumatic brachial plexopathy in the absence of a known malignancy.

References (PN-4)

v2.0.2026

1. Wittenberg, K. H., & Adkins, M. C. (2000). MR imaging of nontraumatic brachial plexopathies: frequency and spectrum of findings. *Radiographics : a review publication of the Radiological Society of North America, Inc*, 20(4), 1023–1032. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiographics.20.4.g00jl091023>
2. Expert Panel on Neurological and Musculoskeletal Imaging, Boulter, D. J., Job, J., Shah, L. M., Wessell, D. E., Lenchik, L., Parsons, M. S., Agarwal, V., Appel, M., Burns, J., Hutchins, T. A., Kendi, A. T., Khan, M. A., Liebeskind, D. S., Moritani, T., Ortiz, A. O., Shah, V. N., Singh, S., Than, K. D., Timpone, V. M., ... Corey, A. S. (2021). ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Plexopathy: 2021 Update. *Journal of the American College of Radiology : JACR*, 18(11S), S423–S441. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacr.2021.08.014>
3. Rubin D. I. (2020). Brachial and lumbosacral plexopathies: A review. *Clinical neurophysiology practice*, 5, 173–193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnp.2020.07.005>
4. Qayyum, A., MacVicar, A. D., Padhani, A. R., Revell, P., & Husband, J. E. (2000). Symptomatic brachial plexopathy following treatment for breast cancer: utility of MR imaging with surface-coil techniques. *Radiology*, 214(3), 837–842. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiology.214.3.r00mr11837>
5. Gasparotti, R., & Shah, L. (2020). Brachial and Lumbosacral Plexus and Peripheral Nerves. In J. Hodler (Eds.) et. al., *Diseases of the Brain, Head and Neck, Spine 2020–2023: Diagnostic Imaging*. (pp. 241–254). Springer. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-38490-6_20
6. Ohana, M., Moser, T., Moussaoui, A., Kremer, S., Carlier, R. Y., Liverneaux, P., & Dietemann, J. L. (2014). Current and future imaging of the peripheral nervous system. *Diagnostic and interventional imaging*, 95(1), 17–26. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.diii.2013.05.008>
7. Szaro, P., McGrath, A., Ciszek, B., & Geijer, M. (2021). Magnetic resonance imaging of the brachial plexus. Part 1: Anatomical considerations, magnetic resonance techniques, and non-traumatic lesions. *European journal of radiology open*, 9, 100392. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejro.2021.100392>
8. Szaro, P., Geijer, M., Ciszek, B., & McGrath, A. (2022). Magnetic resonance imaging of the brachial plexus. Part 2: Traumatic injuries. *European journal of radiology open*, 9, 100397. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejro.2022.100397>
9. Magill, S. T., Brus-Ramer, M., Weinstein, P. R., Chin, C. T., & Jacques, L. (2015). Neurogenic thoracic outlet syndrome: current diagnostic criteria and advances in MRI diagnostics. *Neurosurgical focus*, 39(3), E7. <https://doi.org/10.3171/2015.6.FOCUS15219>
10. Mallouhi, A., Marik, W., Prayer, D., Kainberger, F., Bodner, G., & Kasprian, G. (2012). 3T MR tomography of the brachial plexus: structural and microstructural evaluation. *European journal of radiology*, 81(9), 2231–2245. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejrad.2011.05.021>
11. Gilcrease-Garcia, B. M., Deshmukh, S. D., & Parsons, M. S. (2020). Anatomy, Imaging, and Pathologic Conditions of the Brachial Plexus. *Radiographics : a review publication of the Radiological Society of North America, Inc*, 40(6), 1686–1714. <https://doi.org/10.1148/rg.2020200012>
12. Wade, R. G., Takwoingi, Y., Wormald, J. C. R., Ridgway, J. P., Tanner, S., Rankine, J. J., & Bourke, G. (2019). MRI for Detecting Root Avulsions in Traumatic Adult Brachial Plexus Injuries: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Diagnostic Accuracy. *Radiology*, 293(1), 125–133. <https://doi.org/10.1148/radiol.2019190218>

Lumbar and Lumbosacral Plexus (PN-5)

Guideline

Lumbar and Lumbosacral Plexus (PN-5.1)

References (PN-5)

Lumbar and Lumbosacral Plexus (PN-5.1)

PN.LP.0005.1.A

v2.0.2026

- EMG/NCV examination is required prior to advanced imaging.
 - EMG/NCV is **NOT** required if there is concern for malignant infiltration.
- For suspected lumbar and/or lumbosacral plexopathy, **ONE** of the following is medically necessary:
 - MRI Pelvis without contrast (CPT[®] 72195) with fat suppression imaging, **OR**
 - MRI Pelvis without and with contrast (CPT[®] 72197) with fat suppression imaging, **OR**
 - MRI Abdomen without contrast (CPT[®] 74181) and MRI Pelvis without contrast (CPT[®] 72195) with fat suppression imaging, **OR**
 - MRI Abdomen without and with contrast (CPT[®] 74183) and MRI Pelvis without and with contrast (CPT[®] 72197) with fat suppression imaging
- If suspected lumbar and/or lumbosacral plexopathy is due to a traumatic injury, then MRI Lumbar Spine without contrast (CPT[®] 72148) is **ALSO** medically necessary.
 - See **Low Back (Lumbar Spine) Trauma (SP-6.2)**
- If MRI is not available or is contraindicated, CT offers the next highest level of anatomic visualization and can characterize local osseous or vascular anatomy and injury. In this circumstance, when requested for suspected lumbar and/or lumbosacral plexopathy, **EITHER** of the following is medically necessary:
 - CT Pelvis with contrast (CPT[®] 72193) **OR**
 - CT Abdomen and Pelvis with contrast (CPT[®] 74177)
- For PET imaging, see **PET Imaging in Oncology (ONC-1.4)** in the Oncology Imaging Guidelines.

Background and Supporting Information

- Lumbar and lumbosacral plexopathy may be caused by any of the following:
 - Malignant infiltration
 - Radiation
 - Traumatic injury
 - Inflammation including sarcoidosis and infection
 - Toxicity, including iatrogenic during delivery (obstetric) or related to nerve blocks (e.g., Botox[®])
 - Metabolic, including etiologies such as diabetes

Evidence Discussion (PN-5.1)

- MRI is the imaging study of choice to evaluate the lumbosacral plexus due to superior soft-tissue contrast and good spatial resolution, providing detailed definition of intraneural anatomy as well as localizing pathologic lesions in conditions in which electrodiagnostic and physical findings are nonspecific. Abnormal MRI findings in lumbosacral plexopathies include increased T2 signal intensity, focal or diffuse enhancement, or enlargement or edema of nerve segments. MRI is more sensitive than CT at identifying subtle infiltrative lesions, although CT may be useful to assess for psoas hematoma.
- Regarding fluorine-18-2-fluoro-2-deoxy-D-glucose (FDG)-PET/CT, there is no relevant literature to support the use of FDG-PET/CT in the evaluation of traumatic or nontraumatic lumbosacral plexopathy in the absence of a known malignancy.

References (PN-5)

v2.0.2026

1. Expert Panel on Neurological and Musculoskeletal Imaging, Boulter, D. J., Job, J., Shah, L. M., Wessell, D. E., Lenchik, L., Parsons, M. S., Agarwal, V., Appel, M., Burns, J., Hutchins, T. A., Kendi, A. T., Khan, M. A., Liebeskind, D. S., Moritani, T., Ortiz, A. O., Shah, V. N., Singh, S., Than, K. D., Timpone, V. M., ... Corey, A. S. (2021). ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Plexopathy: 2021 Update. *Journal of the American College of Radiology : JACR*, 18(11S), S423–S441. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacr.2021.08.014>
2. Pinto, M. V., Ng, P. S., Howe, B. M., Laughlin, R. S., Thapa, P., Dyck, P. J., & Dyck, P. J. B. (2021). Lumbosacral Radiculoplexus Neuropathy: Neurologic Outcomes and Survival in a Population-Based Study. *Neurology*, 96(16), e2098–e2108. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.00000000000011799>
3. Dyck, P. J., & Thaisetthawatkul, P. (2014). Lumbosacral plexopathy. *Continuum (Minneapolis, Minn.)*, 20(5 Peripheral Nervous System Disorders), 1343–1358. <https://doi.org/10.1212/01.CON.0000455877.60932.d3>
4. Rubin D. I. (2020). Brachial and lumbosacral plexopathies: A review. *Clinical neurophysiology practice*, 5, 173–193. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cnp.2020.07.005>

Muscle Disorders (PN-6)

Guideline

Muscle Disorders (PN-6)

Muscle Disorders (PN-6)

v2.0.2026

- See **Neuromuscular Junction Disorders (PN-8.4)**
- See **Muscle Disease (PN-8.5)**
- See **Gaucher Disease (Storage Disorders) (PN-8.6)**

Magnetic Resonance Neurography (MRN) (PN-7)

Guideline

Magnetic Resonance Neurography (MRN) (PN-7.1)
References (PN-7)

Magnetic Resonance Neurography (MRN) (PN-7.1)

PN.MR.0007.1.A
v2.0.2026

- MRN is supported when **ALL** of the following criteria are met:
 - The study is to evaluate a traumatic or compressive focal neuropathy **or** a brachial plexus injury.
 - The study is requested by a neurosurgeon, orthopedic surgeon, neurologist, or podiatrist after an in-person clinical evaluation **AND** when surgery is being considered.
 - EMG/NCV has been performed and results provided.
 - The diagnosis remains unclear following prior imaging of the region with x-ray, ultrasound, or conventional imaging (CT or MRI).
 - For conventional imaging criteria, see **Focal Neuropathy (PN-2.1)** and **Brachial Plexus (PN-4.1)**.
- MRN is reported as **ONE** of the following:
 - Unlisted MRI procedure code (CPT[®] 76498) **OR**
 - MRI extremity with **ONE** of the following codes:
 - MRI Upper Extremity, Other Than Joint, without contrast (CPT[®] 73218)
 - MRI Upper Extremity, Other Than Joint, without and with contrast (CPT[®] 73220)
 - MRI Lower Extremity, Other Than Joint, without contrast (CPT[®] 73718)
 - MRI Lower Extremity, Other Than Joint, without and with contrast (CPT[®] 73720)
- MRN for **ANY** other indication is considered **NOT medically necessary** at this time, including for assessment of lumbosacral plexopathy, neuromuscular disease, and polyneuropathy.

Background and Supporting Information

Magnetic resonance neurography utilizes standard MRI equipment with sequences and technology that allow for optimized viewing of the peripheral nerve. MRN creates greater contrast between the nerve and other surrounding soft tissue to allow a detailed view of the nerve tissue and layers. This allows for more accurate diagnosis of the location and degree of nerve injury.

Evidence Discussion (PN-7.1)

- Magnetic resonance neurography (MRN) offers advantages over standard MRI imaging by utilizing sequences and technology that optimize viewing of the peripheral nerve. MRN presents no increased risk to safety over standard MRI.¹ MRN is a non-

invasive, accurate, reliable method of demonstrating normal and abnormal nerve and assessing regional muscle denervation with good surgical correlation to findings.

- Efficacy and reliability of MRN have been clinically validated in the diagnosis and localization of traumatic and compressive focal neuropathies and brachial plexus injuries for the purpose of surgical consideration. A clinical study assessing the impact of MRN data on surgical planning noted that review of MRN altered the suspected nerve involvement in 23% and changed the nerve injury grade in 27% of individuals studied. Surgeons reported MRN altered their determination of the need for surgery in 63%, timing of surgery in 41%, length of skin incision in 27%, and time in the operating room in 30% of cases reviewed. This data suggests that MRN may improve the selection of candidates for surgical repair of these lesions and may narrow the focus of surgery.
- There is insufficient literature to support the role of MRN for evaluation of other pathologies, including, but not limited to, lumbosacral plexopathy, neuromuscular disease, and polyneuropathy. Thus, MRN is considered not medically necessary at this time for indications other than traumatic and compressive focal neuropathies and brachial plexus injuries.

References (PN-7)

v2.0.2026

1. Chhabra, A., Belzberg, A. J., Rosson, G. D., Thawait, G. K., Chalian, M., Farahani, S. J., Shores, J. T., Deune, G., Hashemi, S., Thawait, S. K., Subhawong, T. K., & Carrino, J. A. (2016). Impact of high resolution 3 tesla MR neurography (MRN) on diagnostic thinking and therapeutic patient management. *European radiology*, 26(5), 1235–1244. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-015-3958-y>
2. Chhabra, A., Madhuranthakam, A. J., & Andreisek, G. (2018). Magnetic resonance neurography: current perspectives and literature review. *European radiology*, 28(2), 698–707. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00330-017-4976-8>
3. Holzgrefe, R. E., Wagner, E. R., Singer, A. D., & Daly, C. A. (2019). Imaging of the Peripheral Nerve: Concepts and Future Direction of Magnetic Resonance Neurography and Ultrasound. *The Journal of hand surgery*, 44(12), 1066–1079. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jhsa.2019.06.021>
4. Sneag, D. B., & Queler, S. (2019). Technological Advancements in Magnetic Resonance Neurography. *Current neurology and neuroscience reports*, 19(10), 75. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11910-019-0996-x>

Neuromuscular Disorders (PN-8)

Guideline

Motor Neuron Disease/Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS) (PN-8.1)
Spinal Muscular Atrophy (PN-8.2)
Fasciculations (PN-8.3)
Neuromuscular Junction Disorders (PN-8.4)
Muscle Diseases (PN-8.5)
Gaucher Disease (Storage Disorders) (PN-8.6)
References (PN-8)

Motor Neuron Disease/Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS) (PN-8.1)

PN.ND.0008.1.A

v2.0.2026

- A neurological examination is **NOT** required for an individual with established diagnosis of motor neuron disease/ALS **or** when diagnosis is suspected by a neurologist, geneticist, or a physical medicine and rehabilitation (PM&R) specialist.
- For initial evaluation of suspected motor neuron disease/ALS, **ANY** of the following are medically necessary:
 - **Brain:** MRI Brain without contrast (CPT[®] 70551) **or** MRI Brain without and with contrast (CPT[®] 70553), **AND/OR**
 - **Cervical Spine:** MRI Cervical Spine without contrast (CPT[®] 72141) **or** MRI Cervical Spine without and with contrast (CPT[®] 72156), **AND/OR**
 - **Thoracic Spine:** MRI Thoracic Spine without contrast (CPT[®] 72146) **or** MRI Thoracic Spine without and with contrast (CPT[®] 72157), **AND/OR**
 - **Lumbar Spine:** MRI Lumbar Spine without contrast (CPT[®] 72148) **or** MRI Lumbar Spine without and with contrast (CPT[®] 72158)
- Repeat imaging can be evaluated based on the appropriate **Spine Imaging Guidelines**.

Background and Supporting Information

- Evidence of lower motor neuron dysfunction in a muscle may include clinical examination of muscle weakness/wasting or EMG abnormalities to meet the criteria for the diagnosis of ALS.
- Motor neuron diseases (also known as anterior horn cell diseases) are heterogeneous and encompass either upper motor neurons, or lower motor neurons, or both. Upper motor neurons begin in the cerebral cortex and descend into the brainstem (corticobulbar), or spinal cord, where there is a connection to the lower motor neuron that exits the central nervous system and reaches out to the muscle.
 - The various types can be divided into the areas so affected:
 - Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (Lou Gehrig's disease) – both upper and lower motor neurons
 - Primary lateral sclerosis – upper motor neurons
 - Progressive muscular atrophy – lower motor neurons
 - Progressive bulbar palsy – rare and limited to bulbar muscles (muscles innervated by the cranial nerves – dysarthria and dysphagia)
 - Other rare conditions:
 - Monomelic amyotrophy (Hirayama disease)

- Spinal bulbar muscular atrophy (Kennedy disease)
- Signs of lower motor neuron pathology include weakness, fasciculations, atrophy, decreased muscle tone, decreased reflexes, and a plantar extensor response (Babinski sign).
- Signs of upper motor neuron pathology include weakness, increased muscle tone, increased reflexes, and a plantar flexor response.

Evidence Discussion (PN-8.1)

MRI of the Brain and/or Spine is medically necessary to evaluate for amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS)-associated changes as well as evaluation for disorders that may mimic ALS.

Spinal Muscular Atrophy (PN-8.2)

PN.ND.0008.2.A

v2.0.2026

- Molecular genetic testing is the standard tool for diagnosis for the early consideration in any infant with weakness or hypotonia.
 - MRI is **NOT** supported for diagnosis in children unless other diseases are being considered. See **Spinal Muscular Atrophy (PEDPN-5.1)**.
- In individuals with adult-onset disease, the differential includes later-onset motor neuron disorders, such as ALS.
 - For these conditions, advanced imaging is medically necessary when upper and lower motor neuron findings are present. For imaging, see **Motor Neuron Disease/Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS) (PN-8.1)**.

Evidence Discussion (PN-8.2)

- Spinal muscular atrophy (SMA) is a genetic/hereditary disorder. Molecular genetic testing is the standard tool for diagnosis of SMA. MRI is NOT medically necessary for diagnosis of SMA unless other diseases are being considered.

Fasciculations (PN-8.3)

PN.ND.0008.3.A

v2.0.2026

Fasciculations are involuntary, irregular movements of muscle caused by activation of a single motor unit that may be secondary to benign or non-benign etiologies.

- **ALL** of the following evaluations are required prior to advanced imaging:
 - **History and physical exam** should include documentation of the following: time course of symptoms, areas of involvement, weakness, and any associated symptoms such as pain, sensory loss, or bowel or bladder dysfunction.
 - **EMG/NCV evaluation**
 - **Laboratory evaluation** (e.g., complete blood count; comprehensive metabolic panel; serum calcium; thyroid function testing; vitamin B12 level; sed rate; ANA; rheumatoid factor; serum protein electrophoresis with immunofixation; Lyme testing; HIV testing; testing for heavy metals; etc.)

In the setting of clinical concern for radiculopathy, neuromuscular disorders, or muscle disorders, see the following imaging guidelines:

- **Neuromuscular Junction Disorders (PN-8.4)**
- **Muscle Diseases (PN-8.5)**
- **Neck (Cervical Spine) Pain without and with Neurological Features (Including Stenosis) (SP-3.1)**
- **Lower Extremity Pain with Neurological Features (Radiculopathy, Radiculitis, or Plexopathy and Neuropathy) with or without Low Back (Lumbar Spine) Pain (SP-6.1)**
- In the presence of upper motor neuron signs (e.g., increased tone; hyperreflexia; presence of Babinski or Hoffman signs) when there is concern for motor neuron disease, including amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (ALS), **ANY** of the following CNS studies are medically necessary:
 - **Brain:** MRI Brain without contrast (CPT[®] 70551) **or** MRI Brain without and with contrast (CPT[®] 70553), **AND/OR**
 - **Cervical Spine:** MRI Cervical Spine without contrast (CPT[®] 72141) **or** MRI Cervical Spine without and with contrast (CPT[®] 72156), **AND/OR**
 - **Thoracic Spine:** MRI Thoracic Spine without contrast (CPT[®] 72146) **or** MRI Thoracic Spine without and with contrast (CPT[®] 72157)
 - See **Motor Neuron Disease/Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis (ALS) (PN-8.1)**
- **Lumbar Spine:** Lumbar spine imaging is **NOT** medically necessary unless there is sphincter involvement **or** there is a need to rule out lower motor neuron etiologies in the lower extremities (e.g., lumbar radiculopathy). See the following Spine Imaging Guidelines:

- **Red Flag Indications (SP-1.2)**
- **Lower Extremity Pain with Neurological Features (Radiculopathy, Radiculitis, or Plexopathy and Neuropathy) with or without Low Back (Lumbar Spine) Pain (SP-6.1)**

Evidence Discussion (PN-8.3)

- Fasciculations in isolation are usually benign, especially when they occur repetitively for seconds at a single site and in a single muscle. Fasciculations are more likely to be pathologic if they occur simultaneously in multiple muscles or if they are associated with objective weakness, atrophy, or hyperreflexia.
- Although fasciculations are characteristic of motor neuron disease/amyotrophic lateral sclerosis (MND/ALS) and may occur in other neurological conditions, they are also a very common occurrence in the general population, being noticed by about 70% of normal healthy individuals.
- EMG/NCV evaluation may help differentiate individuals with benign fasciculations from those who warrant further investigation.
- Appropriate laboratory evaluation and imaging would depend on the suspected etiology.

Neuromuscular Junction Disorders (PN-8.4)

PN.ND.0008.4.A

v2.0.2026

Myasthenia Gravis (MG)

- For imaging requests related to ptosis and ocular movements associated with MG, see **Eye Disorders and Visual Loss (HD-32.1)**
- After an established diagnosis of MG or when MG is suspected by a neurologist, rheumatologist, or ophthalmologist, ONE of the following is medically necessary to assess for MG-related thymic disease:
 - CT Chest with contrast (CPT[®] 71260), **OR**
 - CT Chest without contrast (CPT[®] 71250), **OR**
 - MRI Chest without and with contrast (CPT[®] 71552), **OR**
 - MRI Chest without contrast (CPT[®] 71550)
- Repeat of **ANY ONE** of the above imaging studies is medically necessary if the initial imaging study was negative for **ANY** of the following scenarios:
 - Symptoms of chest mass
 - Rising anti-striated muscle antibody titers
 - Need for pre-operative evaluation (clinical presentation, electro-diagnostic studies, and antibody titers)

Lambert–Eaton Myasthenic Syndrome (LEMS)

Lambert–Eaton myasthenic syndrome (LEMS) is associated with malignancies, especially small cell lung cancer.

- For a suspected diagnosis, **ANY** of the following are medically necessary: CT Chest with contrast (CPT[®] 71260) **AND/OR** CT Abdomen and Pelvis with contrast (CPT[®] 74177)
 - See **Paraneoplastic Syndromes (ONC-30.3)**
- If initial CT was negative and there is persistent suspicion, **ANY** of the above imaging studies are medically necessary every 6 months for 2 years from date of initial negative imaging.
 - See **Paraneoplastic Syndromes (ONC-30.3)**

Stiff-Person Syndrome

Stiff-person syndrome is associated with cancers such as, but not limited to, small cell lung cancer, pancreatic neuroendocrine cancer, and breast cancer.

- If stiff-person syndrome is suspected based on clinical findings, **ANY** of the following are medically necessary:
 - **Abdomen/Pelvis:** CT Abdomen and Pelvis with contrast (CPT[®] 74177), **or** CT Abdomen and Pelvis without and with contrast (CPT[®] 74178), **OR** MRI Abdomen without and with contrast (CPT[®] 74183), **and** MRI Pelvis without and with contrast (CPT[®] 72197)
 - **Chest:** CT Chest with contrast (CPT[®] 71260), **or** CT Chest without contrast (CPT[®] 71250)
 - **Symptomatic Body Areas:** CT with contrast **or** MRI without and with contrast of any other symptomatic body areas
 - See **Paraneoplastic Syndromes (ONC-30.3)**

Background and Supporting Information

- Myasthenia gravis is an autoimmune disease of the neuromuscular junctions, manifested by fatigable weakness of the cranial nerves (examples - ocular: ptosis, diplopia; bulbar: dysphagia, dysarthria, dysphonia), as well as generalized limb weakness, depending on the severity of the disease. Associated antibodies: acetylcholine receptor (AChR), muscle specific kinase (MuSK).
- Lambert Eaton myasthenic syndrome (LEMS) is also an autoimmune disease affecting the neuromuscular junction presenting with ocular and bulbar symptoms and proximal limb weakness. Associated antibodies: P/Q voltage-gated calcium channel (VGCC).
- LEMS can occur as a paraneoplastic syndrome associated with malignancy (cancer-associated LEMS) or as an autoimmune phenomenon in the absence of malignancy (non-tumor LEMS). Between 50% and 60% of all LEMS cases are associated with malignancy, particularly small cell lung carcinoma (SCLC), although LEMS has been described in individuals with non-small cell and mixed-cell lung carcinomas, neuroendocrine tumors such as prostate cancer, thymoma, and lymphoproliferative disorders.
- Stiff-person syndrome is an autoimmune disease associated with muscle spasm and muscle rigidity affecting the trunk and limb muscles. Associated antibodies: Glutamic acid decarboxylase (GAD).

Evidence Discussion (PN-8.4)

- In individuals with myasthenia gravis, advanced chest imaging with CT or MRI is preferred over x-ray for the evaluation of thymic disease and for planned thymectomy.

- Lambert-Eaton myasthenic syndrome has been associated with malignancies. Initial and repeat imaging with CT of Chest and/or Abdomen and Pelvis are supported to evaluate for associated cancers, especially small cell lung cancer and neuroendocrine tumors.
- Stiff-person syndrome has been associated with malignancies. Initial and repeat imaging of the chest and/or abdomen and/or pelvis and/or any symptomatic body area with CT Chest and/or CT Abdomen and Pelvis or MRI Abdomen and/or Pelvis and/or CT or MRI of any symptomatic body area are supported to evaluate for associated cancers, such as small cell lung cancer, pancreatic neuroendocrine cancer, and breast cancer.

Muscle Diseases (PN-8.5)

PN.ND.0008.5.A

v2.0.2026

- MRI may be helpful in demonstrating abnormalities in muscles that are difficult to examine or not clinically weak and can help distinguish between different types of muscle disease. MRI is also useful in determining sites for muscle biopsy.

Imaging for Muscle Disease		
Disease	Indication	Imaging
Any Known or Suspected Muscle Disease	To plan muscle biopsy	Typically an affected muscle is imaged.
Myopathy or Myositis	Additional evaluation after clinical exam, EMG/NCV, OR labs	◦ Upper Extremity: MRI Upper Extremity Other Than Joint without contrast (CPT[®] 73218) OR MRI Upper Extremity Other Than Joint without and with contrast (CPT[®] 73220)*
Inflammatory Muscle Diseases ◦ Dermatomyositis ◦ Polymyositis ◦ Inclusion body myositis	◦ Evaluation of differential diagnosis ◦ Selection of biopsy site ◦ Clinical concern for progression ◦ Treatment monitoring ◦ Detection of occult malignancy	AND/OR ◦ Lower Extremity: MRI Lower Extremity Other Than Joint without contrast (CPT[®] 73718) OR MRI Lower Extremity Other Than Joint without and with contrast (CPT[®] 73720)* * When indication column criteria are met, bilateral studies are supported if requested

- For interstitial lung disease associated with inflammatory myopathies, see **Interstitial Lung Disease (ILD)/Diffuse Lung Disease (DLD) (CH-11.1)** in the Chest Imaging Guidelines.
- For dermatomyositis and polymyositis with concern for occult neoplasm, see **Paraneoplastic Syndromes (ONC-30.3)** in the Oncology Imaging Guidelines.

Evidence Discussion (PN-8.5)

- MRI is supported in known or suspected muscle disease to identify involved muscle(s). MRI may highlight muscle edema and pathology at the potential biopsy site.² MRI is helpful to avoid a false-negative biopsy.
- The ordering of tests should be based on the differential diagnosis arrived at by the history and examination. Laboratory evaluation is often a critical initial step to guide further investigations. Nerve conduction studies and EMG aid in making the diagnosis of neuromuscular disorders and are best conceptualized as extensions of the history and neurologic examination.
- MRI of the affected muscle is supported in the evaluation of individuals with suspected inflammatory myopathy to help identify a reversible etiology such as immune-mediated necrotizing myopathy.
- MRI of affected muscle is supported in the diagnosis and follow-up of individuals with inflammatory myopathies, such as dermatomyositis, polymyositis and inclusion body myositis to identify disease-specific patterns and evaluate response to treatment.
- Inflammatory muscle diseases, including dermatomyositis and polymyositis, have been associated with malignancy. Initial and repeat imaging with CT Chest and/or Abdomen and Pelvis are supported to evaluate for associated cancers, such as adenocarcinomas.

Gaucher Disease (Storage Disorders) (PN-8.6)

PN.ND.0008.6.A

v2.0.2026

Imaging for Gaucher Disease

Initial Imaging: Any or all of the following:

- MRI Lumbar Spine without contrast (CPT[®] 72148)
- Bilateral Femurs with MRI Lower Extremity, Other Than Joint, without contrast (CPT[®] 73718)
- MRI Abdomen without contrast (CPT[®] 74181)
- CT Chest without contrast (CPT[®] 71250) for individuals with new or worsening pulmonary symptoms

Every 12 months: Any or all of the following:

- To assess treatment response for individuals on enzyme replacement therapy or assess disease progression for individuals in surveillance
 - MRI Lumbar Spine without contrast (CPT[®] 72148)
 - Bilateral Femurs with MRI Lower Extremity, Other Than Joint, without contrast (CPT[®] 73718)
 - MRI Abdomen without contrast (CPT[®] 74181)
 - CT Chest without contrast (CPT[®] 71250) for individuals with documented pulmonary involvement

New or worsening pulmonary symptoms

- CT Chest without contrast (CPT[®] 71250)

Acute bone pain

- An initial X-ray should be obtained
 - MRI of affected areas with and without contrast if x-ray is non-diagnostic or indicates the need for further imaging, such as equivocal for osteonecrosis, infection, or malignancy

- PET/CT imaging is considered not medically necessary in the evaluation of Gaucher disease. ¹⁸F-FDG does not reliably detect Gaucher disease in the marrow, and other isotopes are not yet FDA-approved for clinical use.

Background and Supporting Information

- Gaucher disease is group of autosomal recessive inborn errors of metabolism characterized by lack of the enzyme acid β -glucuronidase with destructive ceramide storage in various tissues. Gaucher disease is a treatable disorder (enzyme replacement) in which the liver, spleen, and bone marrow/bones are the most affected organs. Diagnosis is established by decreased enzyme activity or genetic testing.
- Three major types of Gaucher disease are recognized:
 - **Type I** (non-neuropathic form or adult form): progressive hepatomegaly, splenomegaly, anemia and thrombocytopenia, and marked skeletal involvement; lungs and kidneys may also be involved, but central nervous system is spared
 - **Type II** (acute neuropathic form or infantile form): severe progressive neurological involvement and death by 2 to 4 years of age; hepatomegaly and splenomegaly are also present (usually evident by 6 months of age)
 - **Type III**: type I with neurological involvement and slowly progressive disease. Onset may be present before 2 years of age with survival to the third or fourth decade of life.
- Additionally, there is a perinatal-lethal and a cardiovascular form. The cardiovascular form involves the heart, spleen and eyes. Note that cardiopulmonary complications may be present, with varying frequency and severity, in all subtypes.
- Individuals with Gaucher disease are at risk for osteonecrosis, osteomyelitis, and bony tumors

Evidence Discussion (PN-8.6)

- Initial imaging and lifelong re-imaging is supported due to Gaucher disease's progressive, multisystem involvement.
- Due to bone involvement, including increased risk for multiple myeloma, skeletal x-rays, MRI of Lumbar Spine and MRI Bilateral Femurs are supported. Delineating the extent of disease can have a positive impact on developing appropriate treatment strategies.
- CT is the preferred study for the evaluation of lung parenchyma and is supported to evaluate for pulmonary involvement.
- MRI Abdomen is supported to evaluate for associated visceral disease, such as hepatic, splenic and biliary disease. This modality has better signal-to noise-ratio and soft tissue contrast helping to make more precise diagnosis.
- The role of PET/CT imaging in Gaucher disease is yet to be established. In the absence of malignancy, PET/CT is not considered medically necessary in the

evaluation of Gaucher disease. Unnecessary use of this study would expose the individual to excess radiation and noncontributory imaging.

References (PN-8)

v2.0.2026

1. Kollwee, K., Körner, S., Dengler, R., Petri, S., & Mohammadi, B. (2012). Magnetic resonance imaging in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis. *Neurology research international*, 2012, 608501. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2012/608501>
2. Filippi, M., Agosta, F., Abrahams, S., Fazekas, F., Grosskreutz, J., Kalra, S., Kassubek, J., Silani, V., Turner, M. R., Masdeu, J. C., & European Federation of Neurological Societies (2010). EFNS guidelines on the use of neuroimaging in the management of motor neuron diseases. *European journal of neurology*, 17(4), 526–e20. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-1331.2010.02951.x>
3. Agosta, F., Spinelli, E. G., & Filippi, M. (2018). Neuroimaging in amyotrophic lateral sclerosis: current and emerging uses. *Expert review of neurotherapeutics*, 18(5), 395–406. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14737175.2018.1463160>
4. Garg, N., Park, S. B., Vucic, S., Yiannikas, C., Spies, J., Howells, J., Huynh, W., Matamala, J. M., Krishnan, A. V., Pollard, J. D., Cornblath, D. R., Reilly, M. M., & Kiernan, M. C. (2017). Differentiating lower motor neuron syndromes. *Journal of neurology, neurosurgery, and psychiatry*, 88(6), 474–483. <https://doi.org/10.1136/jnnp-2016-313526>
5. Shefner, J. M., Al-Chalabi, A., Baker, M. R., Cui, L. Y., de Carvalho, M., Eisen, A., Grosskreutz, J., Hardiman, O., Henderson, R., Matamala, J. M., Mitsumoto, H., Paulus, W., Simon, N., Swash, M., Talbot, K., Turner, M. R., Ugawa, Y., van den Berg, L. H., Verdugo, R., Vucic, S., ... Kiernan, M. C. (2020). A proposal for new diagnostic criteria for ALS. *Clinical neurophysiology : official journal of the International Federation of Clinical Neurophysiology*, 131(8), 1975–1978. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2020.04.005>
6. Glascock, J., Sampson, J., Haidet-Phillips, A., Connolly, A., Darras, B., Day, J., Finkel, R., Howell, R. R., Klinger, K., Kuntz, N., Prior, T., Shieh, P. B., Crawford, T. O., Kerr, D., & Jarecki, J. (2018). Treatment Algorithm for Infants Diagnosed with Spinal Muscular Atrophy through Newborn Screening. *Journal of neuromuscular diseases*, 5(2), 145–158. <https://doi.org/10.3233/JND-180304>
7. Silveira-Moriyama, L., & Paciorkowski, A. R. (2018). Genetic Diagnostics for Neurologists. *Continuum (Minneapolis, Minn.)*, 24(1, Child Neurology), 18–36. <https://doi.org/10.1212/CON.0000000000000556>
8. Hatcher-Martin, J. M., Adams, J. L., Anderson, E. R., Bove, R., Burrus, T. M., Chehrenama, M., Dolan O'Brien, M., Eliashiv, D. S., Erten-Lyons, D., Giesser, B. S., Moo, L. R., Narayanaswami, P., Rossi, M. A., Soni, M., Tariq, N., Tsao, J. W., Vargas, B. B., Vota, S. A., Wessels, S. R., Planalp, H., ... Govindarajan, R. (2020). Telemedicine in neurology: Telemedicine Work Group of the American Academy of Neurology update. *Neurology*, 94(1), 30–38. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.00000000000008708>
9. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/books/NBK1352/> Prior, T. W., Leach, M. E., & Finanger, E. L. (2000). *Spinal Muscular Atrophy*. In M. P. Adam (Eds.) et. al., *GeneReviews®*. University of Washington, Seattle.
10. Filippakis, A., Jara, J., Ventura, N., Scala, S., Scopa, C., Ruthazer, R., Karakis, I., Srinivasan, J., Russell, J. A., & Ho, D. T. (2018). A prospective study of benign fasciculation syndrome and anxiety. *Muscle & nerve*, 58(6), 852–854. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mus.26193>
11. Quinn, C., & Elman, L. (2020). Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis and Other Motor Neuron Diseases. *Continuum (Minneapolis, Minn.)*, 26(5), 1323–1347. <https://doi.org/10.1212/CON.0000000000000911>
12. Bashford, J., Chan, W. K., Coutinho, E., Norwood, F., Mills, K., & Shaw, C. E. (2021). Demystifying the spontaneous phenomena of motor hyperexcitability. *Clinical neurophysiology : official journal of the International Federation of Clinical Neurophysiology*, 132(8), 1830–1844. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.clinph.2021.03.053>
13. National Comprehensive Cancer Network. (2024). Thymoma and Thymic Carcinomas (Version 1.2024). Retrieved from <https://www.nccn.org/guidelines-detail?category=1&id=1469>. Referenced with permission from the NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology (NCCN Guidelines®) for Thymoma and Thymic Carcinomas V.1.2024. © National Comprehensive Cancer Network, Inc. 2025. All rights reserved. To view the most recent and complete version of the guideline, go online to NCCN.org.
14. Narayanaswami, P., Sanders, D. B., Wolfe, G., Benatar, M., Cea, G., Evoli, A., Gilhus, N. E., Illa, I., Kuntz, N. L., Massey, J., Melms, A., Murai, H., Nicolle, M., Palace, J., Richman, D., & Verschuuren, J. (2021). International Consensus Guidance for Management of Myasthenia Gravis: 2020 Update. *Neurology*, 96(3), 114–122. <https://doi.org/10.1212/WNL.0000000000011124>

15. Hehir, M. K., 2nd, & Li, Y. (2022). Diagnosis and Management of Myasthenia Gravis. *Continuum (Minneapolis, Minn.)*, 28(6), 1615–1642. <https://doi.org/10.1212/CON.0000000000001161>
16. Tuan, P. A., Vien, M. V., Dong, H. V., Sibell, D., & Giang, B. V. (2019). The Value of CT and MRI for Determining Thymoma in Patients With Myasthenia Gravis. *Cancer control : journal of the Moffitt Cancer Center*, 26(1), 1073274819865281. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1073274819865281>
17. Raja S. M. (2022). Lambert-Eaton Myasthenic Syndrome and Botulism. *Continuum (Minneapolis, Minn.)*, 28(6), 1596–1614. <https://doi.org/10.1212/CON.0000000000001205>
18. Kesner, V. G., Oh, S. J., Dimachkie, M. M., & Barohn, R. J. (2018). Lambert-Eaton Myasthenic Syndrome. *Neurologic clinics*, 36(2), 379–394. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ncl.2018.01.008>
19. Newsome, S. D., & Johnson, T. (2022). Stiff person syndrome spectrum disorders; more than meets the eye. *Journal of neuroimmunology*, 369, 577915. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneuroim.2022.577915>
20. Dade, M., Berzero, G., Izquierdo, C., Giry, M., Benazra, M., Delattre, J. Y., Psimaras, D., & Alentorn, A. (2020). Neurological Syndromes Associated with Anti-GAD Antibodies. *International journal of molecular sciences*, 21(10), 3701. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijms21103701>
21. Manousakis G. (2022). Inflammatory Myopathies. *Continuum (Minneapolis, Minn.)*, 28(6), 1643–1662. <https://doi.org/10.1212/CON.0000000000001179>
22. Malartre, S., Bachasson, D., Mercy, G., Sarkis, E., Anquetil, C., Benveniste, O., & Allenbach, Y. (2021). MRI and muscle imaging for idiopathic inflammatory myopathies. *Brain pathology (Zurich, Switzerland)*, 31(3), e12954. <https://doi.org/10.1111/bpa.12954>
23. Goyal N. A. (2022). Inclusion Body Myositis. *Continuum (Minneapolis, Minn.)*, 28(6), 1663–1677. <https://doi.org/10.1212/CON.0000000000001204>
24. Maas, M., Poll, L. W., & Terk, M. R. (2002). Imaging and quantifying skeletal involvement in Gaucher disease. *The British journal of radiology*, 75 Suppl 1, A13–A24. https://doi.org/10.1259/bjr.75.suppl_1.750013
25. Giraldo, P., Pocoví, M., Pérez-Calvo, J., Rubio-Félix, D., & Giralto, M. (2000). Report of the Spanish Gaucher's disease registry: clinical and genetic characteristics. *Haematologica*, 85(8), 792–799.
26. London Z. N. (2020). A Structured Approach to the Diagnosis of Peripheral Nervous System Disorders. *Continuum (Minneapolis, Minn.)*, 26(5), 1130–1160. <https://doi.org/10.1212/CON.0000000000000922>
27. Silvestri N. J. (2022). A Symptoms and Signs Approach to the Patient With Neuromuscular Weakness. *Continuum (Minneapolis, Minn.)*, 28(6), 1580–1595. <https://doi.org/10.1212/CON.0000000000001150>
28. Pastores, G. M., & Hughes, D. A. (2000). Gaucher Disease. In *GeneReviews* (pp. 1993-2022). University of Washington.
29. Degnan, A. J., Ho-Fung, V. M., Ahrens-Nicklas, R. C., Barrera, C. A., Serai, S. D., Wang, D. J., & Ficicioglu, C. (2019). Imaging of non-neuronopathic Gaucher disease: recent advances in quantitative imaging and comprehensive assessment of disease involvement. *Insights into imaging*, 10(1), 70. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13244-019-0743-5>
30. Kaplan, P., Baris, H., De Meirleir, L., Di Rocco, M., El-Beshlawy, A., Huemer, M., Martins, A. M., Nascu, I., Rohrbach, M., Steinbach, L., & Cohen, I. J. (2013). Revised recommendations for the management of Gaucher disease in children. *European journal of pediatrics*, 172(4), 447–458. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00431-012-1771-z>
31. Simpson, W. L., Hermann, G., & Balwani, M. (2014). Imaging of Gaucher disease. *World journal of radiology*, 6(9), 657–668. <https://doi.org/10.4329/wjr.v6.i9.657>
32. Leeuwenberg, K. E., van Alfen, N., Christopher-Stine, L., Paik, J. J., Tiniakou, E., Mecoli, C., Doorduyn, J., Saris, C. G. J., & Albayda, J. (2020). Ultrasound can differentiate inclusion body myositis from disease mimics. *Muscle & nerve*, 61(6), 783–788. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mus.26875>
33. Tudorancea, A. D., Ciurea, P. L., Vreju, A. F., Turcu-Stiolica, A., Gofita, C. E., Criveanu, C., Musetescu, A. E., & Dinescu, S. C. (2021). A Study on Dermatomyositis and the Relation to Malignancy. *Current health sciences journal*, 47(3), 377–382. <https://doi.org/10.12865/CHSJ.47.03.07>
34. Lancaster E. (2017). Paraneoplastic Disorders. *Continuum (Minneapolis, Minn.)*, 23(6, Neuro-oncology), 1653–1679. <https://doi.org/10.1212/CON.0000000000000542>
35. Guimarães, J. B., Nico, M. A., Omond, A. G., Aivazoglou, L. U., Jorge, R. B., Zanoteli, E., & Fernandes, A. R. C. (2019). Diagnostic Imaging of Inflammatory Myopathies: New Concepts and a Radiological Approach. *Current rheumatology reports*, 21(3), 8. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11926-019-0807-z>
36. Day, J. A., Bajic, N., Gentili, S., Patel, S., & Limaye, V. (2019). Radiographic patterns of muscle involvement in the idiopathic inflammatory myopathies. *Muscle & nerve*, 60(5), 549–557. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mus.26660>

37. Pilania, K., & Jankharia, B. (2022). Role of MRI in idiopathic inflammatory myopathies: a review article. *Acta radiologica* (Stockholm, Sweden : 1987), 63(2), 200–213. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0284185121990305>
38. Guimarães, J. B., Cavalcante, W. C. P., Cruz, I. A. N., Nico, M. A., Filho, A. G. O., da Silva, A. M. S., & Zanoteli, E. (2021). Musculoskeletal Ultrasound in Inclusion Body Myositis: A Comparative Study with Magnetic Resonance Imaging. *Ultrasound in medicine & biology*, 47(8), 2186–2192. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2021.04.019>
39. Flanagan E. P. (2020). Paraneoplastic Disorders of the Nervous System. *Continuum* (Minneapolis, Minn.), 26(6), 1602–1628. <https://doi.org/10.1212/CON.0000000000000941>
40. Izenberg A. (2023). Amyotrophic Lateral Sclerosis and Other Motor Neuron Diseases. *Continuum* (Minneapolis, Minn.), 29(5), 1538–1563. <https://doi.org/10.1212/CON.0000000000001345>
41. Oskoui, M., & Servais, L. (2023). Spinal Muscular Atrophy. *Continuum* (Minneapolis, Minn.), 29(5), 1564–1584. <https://doi.org/10.1212/CON.0000000000001338>
42. Montalvo, A., Swash, M., & de Carvalho, M. (2021). Benign fasciculations: A follow-up study with electrophysiological studies. *Muscle & nerve*, 64(6), 670–675. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mus.27411>
43. Expert Panel on Thoracic Imaging, Ackman, J. B., Chung, J. H., Walker, C. M., Bang, T. J., Carter, B. W., Hobbs, S. B., Kandathil, A., Lanuti, M., Madan, R., Moore, W. H., Shah, S. D., Verde, F., & Kanne, J. P. (2021). ACR Appropriateness Criteria® Imaging of Mediastinal Masses. *Journal of the American College of Radiology : JACR*, 18(5S), S37–S51. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jacr.2021.01.007>
44. Joyce, N. C., Oskarsson, B., & Jin, L. W. (2012). Muscle biopsy evaluation in neuromuscular disorders. *Physical medicine and rehabilitation clinics of North America*, 23(3), 609–631. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pmr.2012.06.006>
45. Walters, J., & Baborie, A. (2020). Muscle biopsy: what and why and when?. *Practical neurology*, 20(5), 385–395. <https://doi.org/10.1136/practneurol-2019-002465>
46. Wu, M. J., Liao, W. A., Lin, P. Y., & Sun, Y. T. (2022). Muscle Biopsy: A Requirement for Precision Medicine in Adult-Onset Myopathy. *Journal of clinical medicine*, 11(6), 1580. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jcm11061580>

Peripheral Nerve Sheath Tumors (PNST) (PN-9)

Guideline

Peripheral Nerve Sheath Tumors (PNST) (PN-9.1)
References (PN-9)

Peripheral Nerve Sheath Tumors (PNST) (PN-9.1)

PN.NS.0009.1.A
v2.0.2026

PNST such as Schwannomas or neurofibromas arise from Schwann cells or other connective tissue of the nerve. They can be located anywhere in the body.

When Peripheral Nerve Sheath Tumors (PNST) is suspected, the following advanced imaging is medically necessary:

Suspected Lesion/Indication	Imaging
Vestibular Schwannoma	MRI Brain without and with contrast (CPT[®] 70553) See Acoustic Neuroma and Other Cerebellopontine Angle Tumors (HD-33.1) in the Head Imaging Guidelines
Paraspinal Neurofibroma	ANY of the following imaging: - MRI Cervical Spine without and with contrast (CPT[®] 72156), AND/OR - MRI Thoracic Spine without and with contrast (CPT[®] 72157), AND/OR - MRI Lumbar Spine without and with contrast (CPT[®] 72158)
Neurofibroma of the Limb or Torso (other than Paraspinal)	- MRI without and with contrast or without contrast of the area of interest after plain x-ray* - See Soft Tissue Mass (MS-10.1) in the Musculoskeletal Imaging Guidelines *Plain x-ray is not required in an individual with a cancer predisposition syndrome. - See Screening Imaging in Cancer Predisposition Syndromes (PEDONC-2) in the Pediatric and Special Populations Oncology Imaging Guidelines

Routine follow-up imaging is NOT indicated except in the following scenarios:	
Suspected Lesion/Indication	Imaging
New symptoms or neurological findings	• MRI without and with contrast of the known body area containing PNST
Post-operatively for ANY of the following scenarios: • At the discretion of or in consultation with the surgeon; • If the tumor was not completely removed and the imaging is requested to re-establish baseline	• MRI without and with contrast of the known body area containing PNST or from which PNST was removed
Request for metastatic work-up when malignant transformation is known or suspected	ANY of the following imaging: • CT Chest with contrast (CPT[®] 71260) AND/OR • CT Abdomen with contrast (CPT[®] 74160)

- For guidelines related to known malignancies in individuals with neurofibromatosis 1 (NF1), see the appropriate imaging guideline for the specific cancer type.

Background and Supporting Information

- The role of PET imaging in peripheral nerve sheath tumors is not yet well established.
- Malignant transformation may be present in approximately 5% of peripheral nerve sheath tumors.

Evidence Discussion (PN-9.1)

- Peripheral nerve sheath tumors (PNSTs) may arise from any body region. PNSTs are susceptible to malignant transformation. Therefore, MRI of the known or suspected body region is supported for evaluation.
- MRI is the preferred imaging modality for soft tissue tumors, such as PNSTs, and is a relatively safe imaging modality since radiation exposure is not involved. The role of PET imaging in peripheral nerve sheath tumors is not yet well established. Otherwise, PET imaging in this clinical scenario would not add any clinical value and would unnecessarily expose individuals to radiation.

References (PN-9)

v2.0.2026

1. Ahlawat, S., Blakeley, J. O., Langmead, S., Belzberg, A. J., & Fayad, L. M. (2020). Current status and recommendations for imaging in neurofibromatosis type 1, neurofibromatosis type 2, and schwannomatosis. *Skeletal radiology*, 49(2), 199–219. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00256-019-03290-1>
2. Soldatos, T., Fisher, S., Karri, S., Ramzi, A., Sharma, R., & Chhabra, A. (2015). Advanced MR imaging of peripheral nerve sheath tumors including diffusion imaging. *Seminars in musculoskeletal radiology*, 19(2), 179–190. <https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0035-1546823>
3. Zhang, J., Li, Y., Zhao, Y., & Qiao, J. (2018). CT and MRI of superficial solid tumors. *Quantitative imaging in medicine and surgery*, 8(2), 232–251. <https://doi.org/10.21037/qims.2018.03.03>
4. Rosser T. (2018). Neurocutaneous Disorders. *Continuum (Minneapolis, Minn.)*, 24(1, Child Neurology), 96–129. <https://doi.org/10.1212/CON.0000000000000562>
5. Dare, A. J., Gupta, A. A., Thipphavong, S., Miettinen, M., & Gladly, R. A. (2020). Abdominal neoplastic manifestations of neurofibromatosis type 1. *Neuro-oncology advances*, 2(Suppl 1), i124–i133. <https://doi.org/10.1093/noajnl/vdaa032>
6. Bruno, F., Arrigoni, F., Mariani, S., Splendiani, A., Di Cesare, E., Masciocchi, C., & Barile, A. (2019). Advanced magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of soft tissue tumors: techniques and applications. *La Radiologia medica*, 124(4), 243–252. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11547-019-01035-7>
7. Ruggieri, M., Polizzi, A., Marceca, G. P., Catanzaro, S., Praticò, A. D., & Di Rocco, C. (2020). Introduction to phacomatoses (neurocutaneous disorders) in childhood. *Child's nervous system : ChNS : official journal of the International Society for Pediatric Neurosurgery*, 36(10), 2229–2268. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00381-020-04758-5>
8. Assadi, M., Velez, E., Najafi, M. H., Matcuk, G., & Gholamrezanezhad, A. (2019). PET Imaging of Peripheral Nerve Tumors. *PET clinics*, 14(1), 81–89. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cpet.2018.08.013>

Nuclear Imaging (PN-10)

Guideline

Nuclear Imaging (PN-10.1)

References (PN-10)

Nuclear Imaging (PN-10.1)

PN.NI.0010.1.A

v2.0.2026

- Nuclear Medicine
 - Nuclear medicine studies are **NOT** medically necessary in the evaluation of peripheral nerve disorders.

Evidence Discussion (PN-10)

- Though PET has well established roles in disorders other than peripheral neuropathies, the resolution of PET is on the order of millimeters, which limits its usefulness in evaluation of peripheral nerve disorders. As a result, PET may be most useful for nerve injury in combination with higher resolution structural imaging such as MRI.
- Fusion PET/MRI's role in peripheral nerve injuries is less defined and still largely limited to animal studies as an alternative non-invasive diagnostic modality.
- At this time, the use of PET/MRI for peripheral nerve disease is considered not medically necessary.

References (PN-10)

v2.0.2026

1. What is Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging?. Pamphlet. Society of Nuclear Medicine and Molecular Imaging; 2016.
2. Choi, J. S., Seo, H. G., Oh, B. M., Choi, H., Cheon, G. J., Lee, S. U., & Lee, S. H. (2019). 18 F-FDG uptake in denervated muscles of patients with peripheral nerve injury. *Annals of clinical and translational neurology*, 6(11), 2175–2185. <https://doi.org/10.1002/acn3.50899>
3. Luzhansky, I. D., Sudlow, L. C., Brogan, D. M., Wood, M. D., & Berezin, M. Y. (2019). Imaging in the repair of peripheral nerve injury. *Nanomedicine (London, England)*, 14(20), 2659–2677. <https://doi.org/10.2217/nnm-2019-0115>
4. Tan, R. E. S., Jeyaratnam, S., & Lim, A. Y. T. (2023). Updates in peripheral nerve surgery of the upper extremity: diagnosis and treatment options. *Annals of translational medicine*, 11(11), 391. <https://doi.org/10.21037/atm-23-1500>

Policy History and Instructions for Use

Guideline

Policy History and Instructions for Use

Policy History and Instructions for Use

Policy History and Instructions for Use v2.0.2026

Instructions for Use

This Medical Policy provides assistance in interpreting United HealthCare Services, Inc. standard benefit plans. When deciding coverage, the federal, state (Ohio Administrative Code [OAC]) or contractual requirements for benefit plan coverage must be referenced as the terms of the federal, state (OAC) or contractual requirements for benefit plan coverage may differ from the standard benefit plan. In the event of a conflict, the federal, state (OAC) or contractual requirements for benefit plan coverage govern.

Before using this policy, please check the federal, state (OAC) or contractual requirements for benefit plan coverage. United HealthCare Services, Inc. reserves the right to modify its Policies and Guidelines as necessary. This Medical Policy is provided for informational purposes. It does not constitute medical advice.

United HealthCare Services, Inc. uses InterQual[®] for the primary medical/surgical criteria, and the American Society of Addiction Medicine (ASAM) for substance use, in administering health benefits. If InterQual[®] does not have applicable criteria, United HealthCare Services, Inc. may also use United HealthCare Services, Inc.'s Medical Policies, Coverage Determination Guidelines, and/or Utilization Review Guidelines that have been approved by the Ohio Department for Medicaid Services. The United HealthCare Services, Inc.'s Medical Policies, Coverage Determination Guidelines, and Utilization Review Guidelines are intended to be used in connection with the independent professional medical judgment of a qualified health care provider and do not constitute the practice of medicine or medical advice.

Policy History/Revision Information

Date	Summary of Changes
02/01/2024	Annual evidence-based updates
07/01/2024	Interim evidence-based updates
05/01/2025	Annual evidence-based updates
11/06/2025	Annual evidence-based updates
05/07/2026	Interim evidence-based updates